2025 Current Fiscal Year Report: Cedar Creek and Belle Grove National **Historical Park Advisory Commission**

Report Run Date: 07/10/2025 03:39:59 AM

2. Fiscal 1. Department or Agency Year Department of the Interior 2025

3b. GSA

3. Committee or Subcommittee Committee

No.

Cedar Creek and Belle Grove National Historical Park Advisory Commission

20656

4. Is this New During 5. Current 6. Expected 7. Expected Fiscal Year? Charter Renewal Date **Term Date**

08/08/2022 08/08/2024 No

8b. Specific 8a. Was Terminated During 8c. Actual **Termination** FiscalYear? Term Date Authority

No

10b. 9. Agency 10a. Legislation

Recommendation for Next Legislation Reg to Terminate? **FiscalYear** Pending?

Continue Not Applicable Not Applicable

11. Establishment Authority Statutory (Congress Created)

13. 14. 12. Specific

14c. **Establishment Effective** Commitee Presidential?

Authority Date **Type**

P.L. 107-373 12/19/2002 Continuing No

15. Description of Committee Non Scientific Program Advisory

Board

16a. Total

No Reports for this FiscalYear

Number of

Reports

2 17b. Closed 0 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total 2

Meetings and Dates

Purpose Start End

The purpose of the meeting is to review	
status of nominations, review the Land	
Protection sections of the General	12/19/2024 - 12/19/2024
Management Plans and what actions/tasks	
park staff have accomplished/future plans,	
and provide a park operations update	
The purpose of the meeting is to review	
status of nominations, review the Natural	
Resources sections of the General	03/20/2025 - 03/20/2025
Management Plans and what actions/tasks	03/20/2023 - 03/20/2023
park staff have accomplished/future plans,	
and provide a park operations update	

Number of Committee Meetings Listed: 2

	Current Next		
	FY	F	Υ
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to	ው	00 đ	.0 00
Non-Federal Members	ΦО	.004	0.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to	¢ ∩	00 ¢	0.00
Federal Members	φО	.004	0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to	ΦΩ	00 ¢	0.00
Federal Staff	ΨΟ	.004	0.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to	\$ 0	ΛΛ Φ	0.00
Non-Member Consultants	ΨΟ	.004	0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to	\$0	00 ¢	0.00
Non-Federal Members	ΨΟ	.004	0.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to	\$0	00.9	0.00
Federal Members	ΨΟ	.004	0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to	\$0	00.9	0.00
Federal Staff	ΨΟ	.004	0.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to	\$0	00.9	0.00
Non-member Consultants	ΨΟ	.004	0.00
18c. Administrative Costs (FRNs,			
contractor support,	\$0	.00.9	0.00
In-person/hybrid/virtual	Ψυ	.004	70.00
meetings)			
18d. Other (all other funds not			
captured by any other cost	\$0	.00\$	0.00
category)			
18e. Total Costs	\$0	.00\$	0.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years	0	.00	0.00
(FTE)	J	- •	

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

The purpose of the Commission is to advise the Secretary of the Interior (Secretary) in the preparation and implementation of a General Management Plan and to advise the Secretary with respect to the identification of sites of significance outside the national park boundary deemed necessary to fulfill the purposes of this Act. The General Management Plan was completed in June 2011, and the Commission may continue to meet to advise on the implementation of the plan.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

15 Members representing the following entities: (a) one representative from the Commonwealth of Virginia; (b) one representative each from the local governments of Strasburg, Middletown, Frederick County, Shenandoah County, and Warren County; (c) two representatives of private landowners within the Park; (d) one representative from a citizen interest group; (e) one representative from the Cedar Creek Battlefield Foundation; (f) one representative from Belle Grove, Incorporated; (g) one representative from the National Trust for Historic Preservation; (h) one representative from the Shenandoah Valley Battlefields Foundation; (i) one ex-officio representative from the National Park Service; and (j) one ex-officio representative from the United States Forest Service.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

Estimated Number of Meetings per Year - 4. Each of the meetings will focus on some aspect of implementing the General Management Plan.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

The enabling legislation for the Park directs the National Park Service to develop a general management plan and to establish a park advisory commission to advise in the development and implementation of that plan and the identification of sites of significance outside the national park boundary deemed necessary to fulfill the purposes of this Act. The General Management Plan was completed in June 2011, and the Commission may continue to meet to advise on the implementation of the plan.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

All meetings are open to the public.

21. Remarks

In FY 24, the Commission made one recommendation that the National Park Service develop a program for educating landowners about significance of place, and to inform them about options for preserving lands in and around the Park boundaries. The Commission is subject to the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA), 5 U.S.C. Appendix (1994), and may take no action unless the charter filing requirements of Section 9 (a) of the Act have been complied with. The provisions of section 14(b) of FACA requiring a biennial rechartering are inapplicable to the Commission pursuant to section 301 of Public Law 102-525, October 26, 1992. However, there will be a biennial review of the Commission to determine if its goals and objectives are being fulfilled.

Designated Federal Officer

J. Tracy Stakely Superintendent/Park Manager, Cedar Creek & Belle Grove NHP

Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
Beck-Herzog, Karen	12/23/2022	12/23/2025	National Park Service	Ex Officio Member
Black, Brenda	12/23/2022	12/23/2025	Shenandoah County, VA	Representative Member
Blount, David	12/23/2022	12/23/2025	Private Land Owner	Representative Member
Bradford, Ross	12/23/2022	12/23/2025	Deputy General Council	Representative Member
Campi, James	08/21/2024	08/21/2027	American Battlefield Trust	Representative Member
Fredericksen, John	01/16/2025	01/16/2028	Retired	Representative Member
Kehoe, Michael	03/06/2024	03/06/2027	Private Landowner	Representative Member
Meyer, Elizabeth	12/23/2022	12/23/2025	Warren County, VA	Representative Member
Mitchell, Stephanie	12/23/2022	12/23/2025	Town of Middletown, VA	Representative Member
Morgan, Curtis	12/23/2022	12/23/2025	Town of Strasburg, VA	Representative Member
Pearson, Wyatt	12/23/2022	12/23/2025	Frederick County, VA	Representative Member
Polkinghorn, Carol	12/23/2022	12/23/2025	Cedar Creek Battlefield Foundation	Representative Member
Sulfridge, Wayne	12/23/2022	12/23/2025	Belle Grove, Inc.	Representative Member
Vehorn, Andrew	06/01/2023	06/01/2026	Shenandoah Valley Battlefields Foundation	Representative Member
Voyles, Travis	08/21/2024	08/21/2027	Commonwealth of Virginia	Representative Member
Yonce, Mary	12/23/2022	12/22/2025	District Ranger US Forest Service	Ex Officio Member

Number of Committee Members Listed: 16

Narrative Description

The Commission was originally created to assist in the development and implementation of a General Management Plan. The General Management Plan was completed in June 2011, and the Commission now advises on its implementation.

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

	Checked if	
	Applies	
Improvements to health or safety		
Trust in government		✓
Major policy changes		
Advance in scientific research		
Effective grant making		
Improved service delivery		✓
Increased customer satisfaction		✓
Implementation of laws or regulatory		
requirements		: . Yi
Other		

Outcome Comments

Trust in Government: Representatives of several local governments, non profit entities, Federal agencies, private landowners and civic organizations are represented on the Commission. Their representation on the Commission increase public trust that their concerns will be taken into account in the development, management and operation of the national park. Improved Delivery Service: The Commission will advise on desired experiences for national park visitors, and the staffing and facilities requirements to support those experiences. Increased Customer Satisfaction: Representation of this wide spectrum of entities will facilitate coordination and integration of the management plan with local government comprehensive plans, objectives of nonprofit organizations, and needs of private landowners in and around the national park.

What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

	Checked if Applies
None	
Unable to Determine	✓
Under \$100,000	
\$100,000 - \$500,000	
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	
\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000	
\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000	
Over \$10,000,000	
Cost Savings Other	

Cost Savings Comments

NA

What is the approximate <u>Number</u> of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?

21

Number of Recommendations Comments

In 2024 there was 1 recommendation that the National Park Service develop a program for educating landowners about significance of place, and to inform them about options for preserving lands in and around the Park boundaries.

What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations that have been or will be <u>Fully</u> implemented by the agency?

95%

% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

The FAC's input on the Park's foundational document was fully accepted and integrated into the General Management Plan (GMP). Recommendations provided since the adoption of the GMP have been regarding implementation.

What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations that have been or will be <u>Partially</u> implemented by the agency?

5%

% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

Since implementation of the 2014 short- and long-term priorities, the park continues to work on implementing GMP objectives with advice from the Commission.

Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered?

Yes 🗸	No 🗔	Not Applicable
		110171000010

Agency Feedback Comments

Yes. The DFO and regional park staff provide feedback and updates on recommendations at quarterly commission meetings.

What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?

	Checked if Applies
Reorganized Priorities	~
Reallocated resources	X
Issued new regulation	
Proposed legislation	
Approved grants or other payments	
Other	

Action Comments

The national park has focused its funding and staffing priorities and resources to continued development and installation of interpretive signage, the shared graphic identity, implement a trails management strategy, and shared partnership projects including outreach to students as a result of the commission's recommendations.

Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?

Grant Review Comments

Not applicable.

How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

Chacked if Applies

	Checked if Applies
Contact DFO	✓
Online Agency Web Site	✓
Online Committee Web Site	✓
Online GSA FACA Web Site	✓
Publications	
Other	✓

Access Comments

A public review copy of all meeting agendas, minutes and handouts are kept at park headquarters for public review. Meeting agendas, list of handouts and meeting minutes are posted on the agency web site at

https://irma.nps.gov/DataStore/Reference/Profile/2287395