2025 Current Fiscal Year Report: Shasta County Resource Advisory

Committee

Report Run Date: 06/28/2025 06:25:40 AM

1. Department or Agency Department of Agriculture		202	2. Fiscal Year 2025	
3. Committee or Subcommittee			3b. GSA Committee No.	
Shasta County Resource Adv Committee	visory	704	99	
4. Is this New During 5. Cur Fiscal Year? Charte	•	bected wal Date	7. Expected Term Date	
No 12/19/	2023 12/19/	2025		
8a. Was Terminated During FiscalYear?	8b. Specifi Terminatio Authority		8c. Actual Term Date	
No				
9. Agency Recommendation for Next FiscalYear	10a. Legisl Req to Ter		10b. Legislation Pending?	
Continue	Not Applica	ble	Not Applicable	
11. Establishment Authority	Statutory	(Congres	s Created)	
12. Specific Establishment Authority	13. Effective Date	14. Commit Type	14c. ee Presidential?	
SRS and Community Self-Determination Act as reauthorized in PL 110-343, PL 112-141 and as amended Agricultural Improvement Act of 2018 PL. 115-334.			ng No	
15. Description of Committee Other Committee				
16a. TotalNo ReportsNumber ofthis FiscalYeReportsthis FiscalYe				
17a. 1 17b. Closed 0 17c. I Open Meetings and Dates	Partially Clo	osed 0 Ot	ther Activities 0 17d. Total 1	

Purpose	Start	End
To meet the requirements of allocating		
funding under the Secure Rural Schools Act	04/23/2025	- 04/23/2025
- Title II.		

Number of Committee Meetings Listed: 1

	Current Next	
	FY	FY
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to	ድር በ	00\$0.00
Non-Federal Members	ψ0.0	οφο.ου
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to	\$0.0	00\$0.00
Federal Members	ψ0.0	οψο.ου
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to	\$0.0	00\$0.00
Federal Staff	ψ0.	οφο.ου
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to	\$0.0	00\$0.00
Non-Member Consultants	ψ0.	οφο.οο
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to	\$0 (00\$0.00
Non-Federal Members	ψ0.	οφο.οο
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to	\$0 (00\$0.00
Federal Members	ψ0.	οφο.ου
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to	\$0 (00\$0.00
Federal Staff	ψ0.	οφο.οο
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to	\$0 (00\$0.00
Non-member Consultants	φ0.	00000
18c. Administrative Costs (FRNs,		
contractor support,	\$0.0	00\$0.00
In-person/hybrid/virtual	+	
meetings)		
18d. Other (all other funds not		
captured by any other cost	\$0.0	00\$0.00
category)		
18e. Total Costs	\$0.0	0\$0.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years	0.0	00.00
(FTE)		

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

The purpose of a Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act resource advisory committee is to improve collaborative relations and to provide advice and recommendations to the Forest Service consistent with Division C, Title VI of Public Law 110-343. The Act describes the duties of the committees as follows: to review projects proposed by counties and other interested parties; to recommend projects and funding; to provide early and continuous coordination with Forest Service officials; to provide frequent opportunities for citizens, organizations, tribes, land management agencies and other interested parties to participate openly and meaningfully, beginning in the early stages of project development; to monitor projects approved under the Act; to advise the designated federal official on the progress of monitoring; and to make recommendations for any appropriate changes or adjustments to projects being monitored.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

The committee is composed of 15 members, five in each of three categories prescribed in the Act. Within each category, the Act identifies specific interests members are to represent. Committees are formed in geographic areas to ensure each national forest located within a participating county or counties has access to a resource advisory committee. The Act requires members to be residents of the state or states within which the committee has jurisdiction.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

The committee generally will meet 1-4 times per year as needed to perform its duties, or as often as needed.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this

committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

The Resource Advisory Committee (RAC) is mandated by the Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act, previously established under Public Law 106-393 ad entered in the FACA database as Shasta County RAC, a subcommittee of 10805. The RAC was reauthorized under PL 110-343, PL 112-141, PL 113-40, PL 114-10, and PL 115-141.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or

partially closed committee meetings?

All meetings are open to the public.

21. Remarks

The RAC did not meet in FY24, and 0 recommendations have been made to the FS.

Designated Federal Officer

Sara Acridge District Ranger

Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
Baker, Laurie	05/04/2021	05/03/2025	General Manager - Shasta-Cascade Wonderland Association	Representative Member
Byzick, Loree	05/04/2021	05/03/2025	Superior California Economic Development - Special Projects Manager	Representative Member
Carter, Alex	05/04/2021	05/03/2025	Director of Land Management - The McConnell Foundation	Representative Member
Doyle, Matthew	05/04/2021	05/03/2025	General Manager - Lake Shasta Caverns National Natural Landmark	Representative Member
Hardy, Jill	05/04/2021	05/03/2025	Enterprise High School - Science Teacher	Representative Member
Haynes, Brenda	05/04/2021	05/03/2025	Congressman Doug LaMalfa - District Representative	Representative Member
Heiman, Dennis	05/04/2021	05/03/2025	Western Shasta RCD	Representative Member

Johnston, Wendy	05/04/2021	05/03/2025	Environmental Scientist - VESTRA Resources, Inc.	Representative Member
Knudsen, Nathan	01/08/2025	01/08/2029	Redding Trail Alliance	Representative Member
Ledger, David	05/04/2021	05/03/2025	Shasta Environmental Alliance	Representative Member
Lette, Gabriel	01/08/2025	01/08/2029	Shasta Historical Society	Representative Member
Perea, Carol	01/08/2025	01/08/2029	Sierra Cascade Logging Conference	Representative Member
Seely, Genevieve	05/04/2021	05/03/2025	Teacher - Retired	Representative Member
Stone, Richard	01/08/2025	01/08/2029	Special Uses Permit Holder for Recreational Fishing	Representative Member
Uhles, Stephen	01/08/2025	01/08/2029	Retires OHV Manager for BLM	Representative Member

Number of Committee Members Listed: 15

Narrative Description

By recommending projects that maintain and improve the health of national forest ecosystems and improve cooperative relationships among the people who use and care for the National Forests, the Secure Rural Schools Resource Advisory Committees support the Forest Service mission to sustain the health, diversity, and productivity of the nation's forests and grasslands to meet the needs of present and future generations. The committees specifically support Forest Service strategic goals to 1) restore, sustain, and enhance the national forests and 2) provide and sustain benefits to the American people.

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

	Checked if
	Applies
Improvements to health or safety	\checkmark
Trust in government	\checkmark
Major policy changes	
Advance in scientific research	
Effective grant making	\checkmark

Improved service delivery	
Increased customer satisfaction	
Implementation of laws or regulatory	1
requirements	
Other	

Outcome Comments

Not applicable.

What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

None		Checked if Applies
Under \$100,000 []] \$100,000 - \$500,000 []] \$500,001 - \$1,000,000 []] \$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000 []] \$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000 []] Over \$10,000,000 []]	None	
\$100,000 - \$500,000 IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	Unable to Determine	\checkmark
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000 \$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000 \$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000 Over \$10,000,000	Under \$100,000	
\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000 \$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000 Over \$10,000,000	\$100,000 - \$500,000	
\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000	\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	
Over \$10,000,000	\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000	
	\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000	
Cost Savings Other	Over \$10,000,000	
	Cost Savings Other	

Cost Savings Comments

Not applicable.

What is the approximate <u>Number</u> of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?

Number of Recommendations Comments

0 recommendations were made for FY24. 4 new recommendations for FY23. To date, the total # of recommendations is 53 + 4 = 57.

What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations that have been or will be <u>Fully</u> implemented by the agency?

100%

% of Recommendations <u>Fully</u> Implemented Comments

57 of 57 recommended proposals have been fully implemented.

What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations that have been or will be <u>Partially</u> implemented by the agency?

0%

% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

All projects have been implemented by Forest Service staff and/or Partners.

Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered?

Yes 🗹 No 🗌 Not Applicable 🗌

Agency Feedback Comments

The Forest Service (FS) Designated Federal Official regularly reports to the committee on whether recommended projects proposals are or are not approved by the FS. The DFO also reports on actions taken to implement approved projects. This may take the form of verbal reports and presentations at committee meetings, committee field trips and monitoring activities.

What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?

	Checked if Applies
Reorganized Priorities	
Reallocated resources	
Issued new regulation	
Proposed legislation	
Approved grants or other payments	
Other	

Action Comments

Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants? No

Grant Review Comments

Since the charter renewal, 0 proposals were reviewed by the RAC, 0 proposals were

How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

	Checked if Applies
Contact DFO	\checkmark
Online Agency Web Site	\checkmark
Online Committee Web Site	\checkmark
Online GSA FACA Web Site	\checkmark
Publications	\checkmark
Other	

Access Comments