

2025 Current Fiscal Year Report: Civil Nuclear Trade Advisory Committee

Report Run Date: 05/16/2025 06:07:38 AM

1. Department or Agency

Department of Commerce

2. Fiscal Year

2025

3. Committee or Subcommittee

Civil Nuclear Trade Advisory
Committee

3b. GSA Committee No.

34762

4. Is this New During Fiscal Year?	5. Current Charter	6. Expected Renewal Date	7. Expected Term Date
No	08/02/2024	08/02/2026	

8a. Was Terminated During Fiscal Year?	8b. Specific Termination Authority	8c. Actual Term Date
Yes		02/28/2025

9. Agency Recommendation for Next Fiscal Year	10a. Legislation Req to Terminate?	10b. Legislation Pending?
Terminate	No	Not Applicable

11. Establishment Authority

12. Specific Establishment Authority	13. Effective Date	14. Committee Type	14c. Presidential?
Secretarial Decision Memorandum	07/29/2008	Continuing	No

15. Description of Committee

Non Scientific Program Advisory Board

16a. Total Number of Reports
No Reports for this Fiscal Year

17a. Open	17b. Closed	17c. Partially Closed	Other Activities	17d. Total
1	0	0	0	1

Meetings and Dates

Purpose	Start	End
To advise the Secretary of Commerce on the development and administration of programs to expand U.S. exports of civil nuclear goods and services.	02/06/2025	02/06/2025

Number of Committee Meetings Listed: 1

	Current FY	Next FY
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$68,000.00	\$0.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18c. Other(rents,user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	\$0.00	\$0.00
18d. Total	\$68,000.00	\$0.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)	0.50	0.00

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

The Civil Nuclear Trade Advisory Committee (CINTAC or Committee) advised the Secretary of Commerce on the development and administration of programs to expand U.S. exports of civil nuclear goods and services in accordance with applicable U.S. regulations. Its advice was used to develop U.S. Department of Commerce (Department) programs and activities in its role as a member of the Trade Promotion Coordinating Committee Working Group on Civil Nuclear Trade. The committee, comprised of industry representatives,

provided for greater U.S. Government (USG) and U.S. industry coordination on international civil nuclear energy issues and on U.S. international and commercial strategic objectives.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

When recruiting and appointing members, the Department aimed to have members representing U.S. exporters of civil nuclear products and services that reflect the diversity of this sector, including company size and geographic location, and come from U.S. trade associations and private sector organizations involved in the manufacture, promotion and export of civil nuclear products and services. Subsectors of the industry included, but were not limited to consulting and legal services, reactor designers, engineering and construction companies and fuel services technologies providers.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

In FY25 the committee met once. Discussions provided the Department with perspective on industry's interests in the international market share. Foreign state-owned competitors were one of the U.S. industry's greatest challenges. This Committee empowered the Department to support increased U.S. exports and U.S. job creation in the civil nuclear sector in ways not previously addressed. Meeting agendas were focused around pressing trade challenges for which the Department seeks industry advice.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

The CINTAC was the only Federal advisory body with a balanced representation of civil nuclear

energy companies and organizations tasked with examining the export competitiveness of the civil nuclear energy industry. These viewpoints were not available from other advisory committees.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

Meetings were partially closed when there was discussion of matters determined to be exempt from the provisions relating to public meetings. This information was found in the FACA code at 5 U.S.C. § 1009(d). For partially closed meetings, potential topics discussed by the Committee included: nuclear cooperation agreements, CSC, international used nuclear fuel options, trade barriers, research and development, new innovations and USG advocacy. As such, these topics contained information that was business confidential, may have addressed particularly sensitive programs and activities of the U.S. government, revealed financial information about the industry or Committee participants and was of a sensitive nature (fuel supply and nonproliferation issues, for example).

21. Remarks

The following member had a change in appointment dates for the 2024-2026 charter term due to taking another job: Ted Garrish, 12/5/24 - 1/7/25. Per EO 14217, the Secretary of Commerce has determined that the purposes for which CINTAC was established have been fulfilled, and the committee has been terminated effective February 28, 2025.

Designated Federal Officer

Jonathan Michael James Chesebro Senior
Nuclear Trade Specialist

Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
----------------------	-------	-----	------------	-----------------------

Abrajano, Todd	12/05/2024	02/28/2025	United States Nuclear Industry Council	Representative Member
Adams, Jarret	12/05/2024	02/28/2025	Full On Communications LLC	Representative Member
Ahn, Alan	12/05/2024	02/28/2025	Third Way	Representative Member
Austin, Colin	12/05/2024	02/28/2025	EnergySolutions	Representative Member
Berrigan, Carol	12/05/2024	02/28/2025	Nuclear Energy Institute	Representative Member
Blankenhorn, James	12/05/2024	02/28/2025	Amentum	Representative Member
Brooks, Brandon	12/05/2024	02/28/2025	General Atomics – Electromagnetics (GA-EMS)	Representative Member
Cable, Graham	12/05/2024	02/28/2025	X-Energy	Representative Member
Carpenter, Gene	12/05/2024	02/28/2025	Booz Allen Hamilton	Representative Member
DeMella, Adam	12/05/2024	02/28/2025	GE-Hitachi	Representative Member
Deegan, Colleen	12/05/2024	02/28/2025	Bechtel Global Corporation	Representative Member
Dempsey, Scott	12/05/2024	02/28/2025	NAC International	Representative Member
Dobchuk, James	12/05/2024	02/28/2025	Global Laser Enrichment	Representative Member
Esposito, Vincent	12/05/2024	02/28/2025	University of Pittsburgh	Representative Member
Garrish, Ted	12/05/2024	01/07/2025	New Horizons Nuclear Associates, LLC	Representative Member
Grygier, Colleen	12/05/2024	02/28/2025	Hunton Andrews Kurth, LLP	Representative Member
Hartlage, Bristol	12/05/2024	02/28/2025	Numerical Advisory Solutions, LLC	Representative Member
Hashemian, Hash	12/05/2024	02/28/2025	Analysis and Measurement Services Corporation (AMS)	Representative Member
Hassan, Yassin	12/05/2024	02/28/2025	Center for Advanced and Small Modular Microreactors (CASMR)	Representative Member
Hoffman, Donald	12/05/2024	02/28/2025	EXCEL Services Corporation	Representative Member
Kaczmarsky, Myron	12/05/2024	02/28/2025	Holtec Government Services	Representative Member

Kalantari, Robert	12/05/2024	02/28/2025	Engineering Planning and Management (EPM), Inc.	Representative Member
Mack, Billy	12/05/2024	02/28/2025	Accelerant Solutions	Representative Member
Matthews, Timothy	12/05/2024	02/28/2025	Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP	Representative Member
McMurray, Nicholas	12/05/2024	02/28/2025	Clear Path	Representative Member
Merrifield, Jeffrey	12/05/2024	02/28/2025	Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman, LLP	Representative Member
Miller, Jeffrey	12/05/2024	02/28/2025	TerraPower	Representative Member
Morris, Alan	12/05/2024	02/28/2025	Anbex, Inc.	Representative Member
Murphy, Paul	12/05/2024	02/28/2025	Murphy Energy & Infrastructure Consulting, LLC	Representative Member
Neises, Glenn	12/05/2024	02/28/2025	Burns & McDonnell Engineering Company, Inc.	Representative Member
Nicholas, Kyle	12/05/2024	02/28/2025	Westinghouse Electric Company	Representative Member
Oehlbert, Sean	12/05/2024	02/28/2025	Centrus Energy Corp.	Representative Member
Parker, Joshua	12/05/2024	02/28/2025	BWXT Advanced Technologies, LLC	Representative Member
Piercy, Craig	12/05/2024	02/28/2025	American Nuclear Society (ANS)	Representative Member
Renner, Alex	12/05/2024	02/28/2025	Oklo	Representative Member
Roma, Amy	12/05/2024	02/28/2025	Hogan Lovells	Representative Member
Schukei, Nathan	12/05/2024	02/28/2025	Rosemount Nuclear Instruments, Inc.	Representative Member
Wharton, Art	12/05/2024	02/28/2025	Studsvik Scandpower Inc	Representative Member
Wolski, Gary	12/05/2024	02/28/2025	Curtiss-Wright	Representative Member

Number of Committee Members Listed: 39

Narrative Description

To ensure that U.S. civil nuclear commercial interests advance alongside U.S. nonproliferation policy in the expansion of nuclear

energy, a need existed for consensus advice from U.S. industry to the USG on how U.S. foreign policies, programs, and activities would affect the U.S. nuclear industry's competitiveness and ability to participate in the international market. CINTAC advised the Secretary of Commerce regarding the development and administration of programs to expand U.S. exports of civil nuclear goods and services in accordance with applicable U.S. regulations. The Department of Commerce used this advice to carry out its activities and in its role as a member of the Trade Promotion Coordinating Committee (TPCC) Working Group on Civil Nuclear Trade. The CINTAC, comprised of industry representatives, provided for greater USG and U.S. industry coordination on international civil nuclear energy issues and on U.S. international and commercial strategic objectives.

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

Checked if
Applies

- | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|
| Improvements to health or safety | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Trust in government | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Major policy changes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Advance in scientific research | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Effective grant making | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Improved service delivery | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Increased customer satisfaction | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Implementation of laws or regulatory requirements | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Other | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Outcome Comments

Not Applicable

What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

Checked if Applies

- | | |
|---------------------|-------------------------------------|
| None | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Unable to Determine | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Under \$100,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |

\$100,000 - \$500,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
Over \$10,000,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
Cost Savings Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

Cost Savings Comments

Not Applicable

What is the approximate Number of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?

75

Number of Recommendations Comments

In FY25 the CINTAC did not send any letters to the Secretary.

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Fully implemented by the agency?

38%

% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

As of the close of FY2025, 28 recommendations had been implemented fully.

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Partially implemented by the agency?

43%

% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

As of the close of FY2025, 32 recommendations had been partially implemented.

Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered?

Yes ☒ No ☐ Not Applicable ☐

Agency Feedback Comments

We provided feedback in the form of a formal letter from the Secretary of Commerce in response to the recommendations. In addition, the DFOs developed a communications matrix to convey the status of all recommendations. This matrix was shared as part of

each committee meeting. The public was able to obtain information on agency feedback by contacting the DFO.

What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?

Checked if Applies

Reorganized Priorities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Reallocated resources	<input type="checkbox"/>
Issued new regulation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Proposed legislation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Approved grants or other payments	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

Action Comments

Not Applicable

Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?

No

Grant Review Comments

Not Applicable

How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

Checked if Applies

Contact DFO	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Online Agency Web Site	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Online Committee Web Site	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Online GSA FACA Web Site	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Publications	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

Access Comments