2025 Current Fiscal Year Report: Information Systems Technical Advisory Committee

Report Run Date: 08/20/2025 06:24:05 PM

2. Fiscal Year 1. Department or Agency

Department of Commerce 2025

3b. GSA 3. Committee or Subcommittee

Committee No.

Information Systems Technical Advisory

2075 Committee

4. Is this New During 5. Current 6. Expected 7. Expected Fiscal Year? Charter Renewal Date **Term Date**

No 06/03/2025 06/03/2027

8b. Specific 8a. Was Terminated During Termination 8c. Actual FiscalYear? **Term Date** Authority

No

9. Agency 10b.

10a. Legislation **Recommendation for Next** Legislation Reg to Terminate? **FiscalYear** Pending?

Continue Not Applicable Not Applicable

11. Establishment Authority Statutory (Congress Created)

14. 13. 12. Specific Establishment 14c.

Effective Committee Presidential? Authority Date Type

Sections 1754 and 1768 of

the Export Control Reform 07/12/1985 Continuing No Act of 2018 (ECRA), (50

U.S.C. §§ 4801-4852)

15. Description of Committee Scientific Technical Program

Advisory Board

16a. Total Number of

No Reports for this FiscalYear

Reports

17a.

0 17b. Closed 0 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total 0

Meetings and Dates

No Meetings

| | Currer FY | nt Next FY |
|---|--------------|---------------|
| 18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members | \$0.0 | 0\$0.00 |
| 18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members | \$0.C | 0\$0.00 |
| 18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff | \$0.0 | 0\$0.00 |
| 18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants | \$0.0 | 0\$0.00 |
| 18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members | \$0.0 | 0\$0.00 |
| 18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members | \$0.0 | 0\$0.00 |
| 18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff | \$0.0 | 0\$0.00 |
| 18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants | \$0.0 | 0\$0.00 |
| 18c. Administrative Costs (FRNs, contractor support, In-person/hybrid/virtual meetings) | | 0\$0.00 |
| 18d. Other (all other funds not captured by any other cost category) | \$0.0 | 0\$0.00 |
| 18e. Total Costs | \$0.0 | 0\$0.00 |
| 19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE) | 0.0 | 0.00 |

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

The Information Systems Technical Advisory
Committee (ISTAC or Committee) focused on the
following issues in FY2024: Developed
Wassenaar (WA) proposals for issues related to
Categories (Cat) 3, 4, 5p1 and 5p2, and provide
support as necessary for proposals that are

advanced to WA. There were 11 proposals submitted to the Bureau of Industry and Security (BIS) for consideration. One pertaining to 3A1b2/b3/b4 amplification devices; one for 3A1e1b pertaining to energy density of secondary cells; five in 3A1/3A2 pertaining to microwave test & measurement instruments; one for 3C4 pertaining to adsorbed gases; one pertaining to 5A1 lawful intercept and surreptitious listening; and two pertaining the scope of control of Cat 5p2. Of these, ten were forwarded to the USG interagency for consideration, and of those, two were agreed within the USG interagency and were among the slate that was forwarded to Wassenaar: US-011 (3A2a7 Update to Oscilloscope Entry) and US-014 (3A1e1b Secondary cell energy density threshold update). The ISTAC maintained active communication between industry and the interagency in FY24. The ISTAC meetings were well-attended by USG members from BIS, Department of Homeland Security, Defense Technology Security Administration, Transportation Security Administration, Naval Research Laboratory, National Security Agency and Department of State. Review and development of WA proposals for 2024 was primarily by email between BIS and the ISTAC. Standing Working Groups for each of Cat 3A, Cat 3B/3C, Cat 4, Cat 5p1 and Cat 5p2 were established in July 2008. A new Working Group for Cybertools was established in 2017. These groups remain in place and remain active. The purpose of these working groups is to create a formal structure with which to track activities in each category/subcategory, coordinate responses to BIS, develop Wassenaar proposals, etc. Experience with the working groups has been excellent: Cooperation and communication with the interagency on development of Wassenaar

proposals has continued; and ISTAC activities are more clearly understood by meeting attendees, both ISTAC members and non-members. Each of the Working Groups presented reports of their activities at each of the four ISTAC meetings held in FY23. Additionally, Cat 3A, Cat 4, Cat 5 Part 2 and Cybertools working group each held multiple teleconferences among its industry members. The tradition of technical presentations from subject-matter experts continued. Topics this year included: Status of the Commercial Analog-to-Digital Converter Market; Radio Frequency Amplifiers Controls; CryoMOS/Superconductive Integrated Circuits; Confidential Computing; Electronic Design Automation Software; Confluence of Artificial Intelligence with Cybersecurity; Computer Performance Benchmarks; and Microscaling Data Formats. The ISTAC provided feedback on the following: -Coordinated individual comments to specific BIS requests, including draft rules; -Artificial intelligence related topics: Transistor count measurements and thresholds; Dynamic random access memory thresholds and Model weights; -Activities related to advanced-node integrated circuits and -US-India strategic export controls alignment. The ISTAC has 36 industry members, representing Categories 3, 4, 5p1 and 5p2. Additionally, in FY24 the ISTAC drew upon the expertise of three non-member industry experts. We continued to promote broader awareness for ISTAC members of other aspects of export controls. In FY24 we had one overview briefing on a topic outside the scope of traditional ISTAC activities: Industry perspective on deemed exports (counter to Final Memorandum No. OIG-24-001-M). The ISTAC looks forward to continuing to provide advice and support for BIS activities in FY25. The ISTAC work plan for

FY2025 is to: Develop Wassenaar proposals for issues related to Categories 3, 4, 5p1 and 5p2, and provide support as necessary for proposals that are advanced to Wassenaar. Maintain close communication and cooperation between industry and the interagency during the review/vetting process of Wassenaar proposals. Maintain the standing working groups for Cat 3A, Cat 3B/3C, Cat 4, Cat 5p1, Cat 5p2, and Cybertools; these working groups provide structure for ISTAC activities. Continue to provide BIS with timely forecasts of industry technology improvements, product roadmaps, and feedback on proposed regulations. Provide BIS with ideas on emerging and fundamental technology with Categories 3, 4, 5p1 and 5p2. Maintain active engagement of technical experts from industry in support of these activities. Provide advice as requested by BIS.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

The ISTAC is composed of representatives of industry and government with specific technical expertise in the areas of semiconductors, computers, telecommunications and information security. The principal source for the members from industry are firms that export commodities and technology controlled under Commerce Control Lists 3, 4, and 5 (part 1 and 2).

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

The ISTAC met three times in FY 2024. It is successfully advising on the export control parameters for three interrelated Control List categories.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

The ISTAC addresses issues relating to Control List Categories 3 (electronics -semiconductor section), 4 (computers), and 5 (telecommunictions and information security). Few people possess the technical expertise needed to advise on controls in these areas. The ISTAC is the only group of its kind directly accessible to Government officials.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

Closed sessions are held to allow for discussion of information classified for reasons of national security, information the premature disclosure of which would be likely to significantly frustrate implementation of a proposed agency action, or information of a commercial or financial nature that is privileged or confidential.

21. Remarks

Authorized by the Export Administration Act of 1979 (50 U.S.C. app. 2401-2420) as amended by the Export Administration Amendments Act of 1985, P.L. 99-64, 99 Stat. 120 (July 12, 1985). Although the Export Administration Act of 1979 (EAA), as amended, has expired, its provisions and those of the Export Administration Regulations were continued in effect, and to the extent permitted by law, when the President by Executive Order invoked the International Emergency Economic Powers Act.

Designated Federal Officer

Aaron Amundson DFO

| Committee Members | Start | End | Occupation | Member Designation |
|------------------------------|------------|------------|------------------|--------------------------|
| Davidson, Donald | 06/18/2021 | 06/18/2025 | SYNOPSYS, LLC | Representative Member |
| Giakouminakis, Anastasios | 06/18/2021 | 06/18/2025 | Rapid7, Inc. | Representative Member |
| Hinds, Chris | 06/18/2021 | 06/18/2025 | Arm, Inc. | Representative Member |

| Hislop, Sherry | 06/18/2021 | 06/18/2025 | TrellisWare Technologies, Inc. | Representative Member |
|------------------------|------------|------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Laggisetty, Karthik | 06/18/2021 | 06/18/2025 | Hitachi Vantara | Representative Member |
| Ledet, Paul | 03/20/2023 | 03/20/2027 | Advanced Micro Devices | Representative Member |
| Lindsay, David | 09/01/2021 | 09/01/2025 | Intel Corporation | Representative Member |
| Moussouris, Katie | 04/15/2024 | 04/15/2028 | Luta Security | Representative Member |
| Popa, Andrea | 06/18/2021 | 06/18/2025 | NetApp | Representative Member |
| Rivera, Hector | 06/18/2021 | 06/18/2025 | Texas Instruments, Inc. | Representative Member |
| Robertson, David | 06/18/2021 | 06/18/2025 | Analog Devices, Inc. | Representative Member |
| Rogers, Jeffrey | 06/18/2021 | 06/18/2025 | Applied Materials, Inc. | Representative Member |

Number of Committee Members Listed: 12

Narrative Description

Industry input regarding controls on computers, semiconductors and related equipment, telecommunications, and information security is crucial in developing an export control policy that will maintain competitiveness in the global marketplace while addressing vital national security concerns. In the Information Systems Technical Advisory Committee, the Department of Commerce has a resource as dynamic and imaginative as the industry sectors it encompasses.

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

| | Checked if | |
|----------------------------------|------------|--|
| | Applies | |
| Improvements to health or safety | | |
| Trust in government | | |
| Major policy changes | | |
| Advance in scientific research | | |
| Effective grant making | | |
| Improved service delivery | | |
| Increased customer satisfaction | | |

| Implementation of laws or regulatory requirements Other | | |
|--|--------------------|--|
| Outcome Comments NA | | |
| What are the cost savings associated with th | is committee? | |
| | Checked if Applies | |
| None | | |
| Unable to Determine | ✓ | |
| Under \$100,000 | | |
| \$100,000 - \$500,000 | | |
| \$500,001 - \$1,000,000 | | |
| \$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000 | | |
| \$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000 | | |
| Over \$10,000,000 | | |
| Cost Savings Other | | |
| Cost Savings Comments N/A | | |
| What is the approximate Number of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee? 103 | | |

Number of Recommendations Comments

The Committee met three times in FY24. There were eleven recommendations/proposals in FY24.

What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations that have been or will be <u>Fully</u> implemented by the agency?

10%

% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

For this metric we are counting the number of Wassenaar proposals the ISTAC wrote or provided input to, that were adopted as multilateral controls as Wassenaar. One of ten were agreed.

| What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations that have been or will be <u>Partially</u> implemented by the agency? 20% | | |
|---|--|--|
| % of Recommendations Partially Implement For this metric we are counting the number or became Wassenaar proposals. The ISTAC su consideration in FY2024. Two of the ten proposed | proposals submitted to the USG that bmitted ten proposals to BIS for | |
| Does the agency provide the committee with implement recommendations or advice offers. Yes No Not Applicable | | |
| Agency Feedback Comments The agency includes the Information Systems process of incorporating the determinations of Export Administration Regulations. For additio https://tac.bis.doc.gov. | the various multilateral regimes into the | |
| What other actions has the agency taken a | s a result of the committee's advice or | |
| recommendation? | Observation | |
| Decrees and Drievities | Checked if Applies | |
| Reorganized Priorities | | |
| Reallocated resources | | |
| Issued new regulation Proposed legislation | | |
| Approved grants or other payments | | |
| Other | | |
| Action Comments | | |
| N/A | | |
| Is the Committee engaged in the review of No | applications for grants? | |

Grant Review Comments

How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

| | Checked if Applies |
|---------------------------|--------------------|
| Contact DFO | ✓ |
| Online Agency Web Site | ✓ |
| Online Committee Web Site | ✓ |
| Online GSA FACA Web Site | ✓ |
| Publications | |
| Other | |

Access Comments

N/A