2025 Current Fiscal Year Report: Information Systems Technical Advisory Committee

Report Run Date: 08/20/2025 06:24:05 PM

1. Department or Agency Department of Commerce				20	 2. Fiscal Year 2025 3b. GSA Committee No. 	
3. Committee or Subcommittee						
Information Systems Technical Advisory Committee				2075		
4. Is this New During 5. Curr			-			7. Expected
Fiscal Year? No	Charte 06/03/		Rene	val Da ⁄2027	te	Term Date
8a. Was Termin FiscalYear?		8b. 9 Terr	Specifi ninatic	с		8c. Actual Term Date
No						
9. Agency Recommendation FiscalYear	on for Next		Legis to Ter		e?	10b. Legislation Pending?
Continue		Not	Applica	ble		Not Applicable
11. Establishme	nt Authority	/ Sta	atutory	(Congr	ress	s Created)
12. Specific Est Authority	ablishment	13. Effe Dat	ective	14. Comi Type		14c. ee Presidential?
Sections 1754 and 1768 of the Export Control Reform Act of 2018 (ECRA), (50 U.S.C. §§ 4801-4852)		07/12/1985 Continui		nuir	ng No	
15. Description of Committee Scientific Technical Program Advisory Board						
16a. Total Number of Reports	No Reports this FiscalYe					
17a. 0 17b. Closed 0 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Open						
Meetings and Da No Meetings	ates					

Total 0

Current Next

	FY FY
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to	\$0.00\$0.00
Non-Federal Members	20.0020.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to	\$0.00\$0.00
Federal Members	ψ0.00ψ0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to	\$0.00\$0.00
Federal Staff	φ0.00 φ0.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to	\$0.00\$0.00
Non-Member Consultants	φ0.00 φ0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to	\$0.00\$0.00
Non-Federal Members	φο.ουφο.ου
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to	\$0.00\$0.00
Federal Members	<i>Q</i> 0000000000000
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to	\$0.00\$0.00
Federal Staff	<i></i>
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to	\$0.00\$0.00
Non-member Consultants	
18c. Administrative Costs (FRNs,	,
contractor support,	\$0.00\$0.00
In-person/hybrid/virtual	
meetings)	
18d. Other (all other funds not	\$ 0,00, \$ 0,00
captured by any other cost	\$0.00\$0.00
category)	
18e. Total Costs	\$0.00\$0.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years	0.00 0.00
(FTE)	

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

The Information Systems Technical Advisory Committee (ISTAC or Committee) focused on the following issues in FY2024: Developed Wassenaar (WA) proposals for issues related to Categories (Cat) 3, 4, 5p1 and 5p2, and provide support as necessary for proposals that are advanced to WA. There were 11 proposals submitted to the Bureau of Industry and Security (BIS) for consideration. One pertaining to 3A1b2/b3/b4 amplification devices; one for 3A1e1b pertaining to energy density of secondary cells; five in 3A1/3A2 pertaining to microwave test & measurement instruments; one for 3C4 pertaining to adsorbed gases; one pertaining to 5A1 lawful intercept and surreptitious listening; and two pertaining the scope of control of Cat 5p2. Of these, ten were forwarded to the USG interagency for consideration, and of those, two were agreed within the USG interagency and were among the slate that was forwarded to Wassenaar: US-011 (3A2a7 Update to Oscilloscope Entry) and US-014 (3A1e1b Secondary cell energy density threshold update). The ISTAC maintained active communication between industry and the interagency in FY24. The ISTAC meetings were well-attended by USG members from BIS, Department of Homeland Security, Defense Technology Security Administration, Transportation Security Administration, Naval Research Laboratory, National Security Agency and Department of State. Review and development of WA proposals for 2024 was primarily by email between BIS and the ISTAC. Standing Working Groups for each of Cat 3A, Cat 3B/3C, Cat 4, Cat 5p1 and Cat 5p2 were established in July 2008. A new Working Group for Cybertools was established in 2017. These groups remain in place and remain active. The purpose of these working groups is to create a formal structure with which to track activities in each category/subcategory, coordinate responses to BIS, develop Wassenaar proposals, etc. Experience with the working groups has been excellent: Cooperation and communication with the interagency on development of Wassenaar

proposals has continued; and ISTAC activities are more clearly understood by meeting attendees, both ISTAC members and non-members. Each of the Working Groups presented reports of their activities at each of the four ISTAC meetings held in FY23. Additionally, Cat 3A, Cat 4, Cat 5 Part 2 and Cybertools working group each held multiple teleconferences among its industry members. The tradition of technical presentations from subject-matter experts continued. Topics this year included: Status of the Commercial Analog-to-Digital Converter Market; Radio Frequency Amplifiers Controls; CryoMOS/Superconductive Integrated Circuits; Confidential Computing; Electronic Design Automation Software; Confluence of Artificial Intelligence with Cybersecurity; Computer Performance Benchmarks; and Microscaling Data Formats. The ISTAC provided feedback on the following: -Coordinated individual comments to specific BIS requests, including draft rules; -Artificial intelligence related topics: Transistor count measurements and thresholds; Dynamic random access memory thresholds and Model weights; -Activities related to advanced-node integrated circuits and -US-India strategic export controls alignment. The ISTAC has 36 industry members, representing Categories 3, 4, 5p1 and 5p2. Additionally, in FY24 the ISTAC drew upon the expertise of three non-member industry experts. We continued to promote broader awareness for ISTAC members of other aspects of export controls. In FY24 we had one overview briefing on a topic outside the scope of traditional ISTAC activities: Industry perspective on deemed exports (counter to Final Memorandum No. OIG-24-001-M). The ISTAC looks forward to continuing to provide advice and support for BIS activities in FY25. The ISTAC work plan for

FY2025 is to: Develop Wassenaar proposals for issues related to Categories 3, 4, 5p1 and 5p2, and provide support as necessary for proposals that are advanced to Wassenaar. Maintain close communication and cooperation between industry and the interagency during the review/vetting process of Wassenaar proposals. Maintain the standing working groups for Cat 3A, Cat 3B/3C, Cat 4, Cat 5p1, Cat 5p2, and Cybertools; these working groups provide structure for ISTAC activities. Continue to provide BIS with timely forecasts of industry technology improvements, product roadmaps, and feedback on proposed regulations. Provide BIS with ideas on emerging and fundamental technology with Categories 3, 4, 5p1 and 5p2. Maintain active engagement of technical experts from industry in support of these activities. Provide advice as requested by BIS.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

The ISTAC is composed of representatives of industry and government with specific technical expertise in the areas of semiconductors, computers, telecommunications and information security. The principal source for the members from industry are firms that export commodities and technology controlled under Commerce Control Lists 3, 4, and 5 (part 1 and 2).

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

The ISTAC met three times in FY 2024. It is successfully advising on the export control parameters for three interrelated Control List categories.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

The ISTAC addresses issues relating to Control List Categories 3 (electronics -semiconductor section), 4 (computers), and 5 (telecommunictions and information security). Few people possess the technical expertise needed to advise on controls in these areas. The ISTAC is the only group of its kind directly accessible to Government officials.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

Closed sessions are held to allow for discussion of information classified for reasons of national security, information the premature disclosure of which would be likely to significantly frustrate implementation of a proposed agency action, or information of a commercial or financial nature that is privileged or confidential.

21. Remarks

Authorized by the Export Administration Act of 1979 (50 U.S.C. app. 2401-2420) as amended by the Export Administration Amendments Act of 1985, P.L. 99-64, 99 Stat. 120 (July 12, 1985). Although the Export Administration Act of 1979 (EAA), as amended, has expired, its provisions and those of the Export Administration Regulations were continued in effect, and to the extent permitted by law, when the President by Executive Order invoked the International Emergency Economic Powers Act.

Designated Federal Officer

Aaron Amundson DFO

Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
Davidson, Donald	06/18/2021	06/18/2025	SYNOPSYS, LLC	Representative Member
Giakouminakis, Anastasios	06/18/2021	06/18/2025	Rapid7, Inc.	Representative Member
Hinds, Chris	06/18/2021	06/18/2025	Arm, Inc.	Representative Member

Hislop, Sherry	06/18/2021	06/18/2025	TrellisWare Technologies, Inc.	Representative Member
Laggisetty, Karthik	06/18/2021	06/18/2025	Hitachi Vantara	Representative Member
Ledet, Paul	03/20/2023	03/20/2027	Advanced Micro Devices	Representative Member
Lindsay, David	09/01/2021	09/01/2025	Intel Corporation	Representative Member
Moussouris, Katie	04/15/2024	04/15/2028	Luta Security	Representative Member
Popa, Andrea	06/18/2021	06/18/2025	NetApp	Representative Member
Rivera, Hector	06/18/2021	06/18/2025	Texas Instruments, Inc.	Representative Member
Robertson, David	06/18/2021	06/18/2025	Analog Devices, Inc.	Representative Member
Rogers, Jeffrey	06/18/2021	06/18/2025	Applied Materials, Inc.	Representative Member

Number of Committee Members Listed: 12

Narrative Description

Industry input regarding controls on computers, semiconductors and related equipment, telecommunications, and information security is crucial in developing an export control policy that will maintain competitiveness in the global marketplace while addressing vital national security concerns. In the Information Systems Technical Advisory Committee, the Department of Commerce has a resource as dynamic and imaginative as the industry sectors it encompasses.

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

Checked if

Applies

Improvements to health or safety	
Trust in government	
Major policy changes	
Advance in scientific research	
Effective grant making	
Improved service delivery	
Increased customer satisfaction	

Implementation of laws or regulatory requirements Other

Outcome Comments

NA

What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

 None
 ...

 Unable to Determine
 ...

 Under \$100,000
 ...

 \$100,000 - \$500,000
 ...

 \$500,001 - \$1,000,000
 ...

 \$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000
 ...

 \$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000
 ...

 \$0ver \$10,000,000
 ...

 Cost Savings Other
 ...

Cost Savings Comments N/A

What is the approximate <u>Number</u> of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee? 103

~

Number of Recommendations Comments

The Committee met three times in FY24. There were eleven recommendations/proposals in FY24.

What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations that have been or will be <u>Fully</u> implemented by the agency?

% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

For this metric we are counting the number of Wassenaar proposals the ISTAC wrote or provided input to, that were adopted as multilateral controls as Wassenaar. One of ten were agreed.

What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations that have been or will be <u>Partially</u> implemented by the agency?

20%

% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

For this metric we are counting the number or proposals submitted to the USG that became Wassenaar proposals. The ISTAC submitted ten proposals to BIS for consideration in FY2024. Two of the ten proposals were forwarded to Wassenaar.

Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered?

Yes 🗹 No 🗌 Not Applicable 🗌

Agency Feedback Comments

The agency includes the Information Systems Technical Advisory Committee in the process of incorporating the determinations of the various multilateral regimes into the Export Administration Regulations. For additional information or comments, go to https://tac.bis.doc.gov.

What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?

	Checked if Applies
Reorganized Priorities	
Reallocated resources	
Issued new regulation	\checkmark
Proposed legislation	
Approved grants or other payments	
Other	

Action Comments

N/A

Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants? No

Grant Review Comments

How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

Checked if Applies

Contact DFO	\checkmark
Online Agency Web Site	✓
Online Committee Web Site	~
Online GSA FACA Web Site	✓
Publications	
Other	

Access Comments

N/A