2025 Current Fiscal Year Report: Advisory Committee on Supply Chain Competitiveness

Report Run Date: 11/18/2026 10:41:29 PM

1. Department or Agency	2. Fiscal Year
Department of Commerce	2025

3. Committee or Subcommittee	3b. GSA Committee No.
Advisory Committee on Supply Chain Competitiveness	76884

4. Is this New During Fiscal Year?	5. Current Charter	6. Expected Renewal Date	7. Expected Term Date
No	11/09/2023	11/09/2025	

8a. Was Terminated During FiscalYear?	8b. Specific Termination Authority	8c. Actual Term Date
Yes	Agency head in response to EO 14217, Commencing the Reduction of the Federal Bureaucracy (2/19/2025)	02/28/2025

9. Agency Recommendation for Next FiscalYear	10a. Legislation Req to Terminate?	10b. Legislation Pending?
Terminate	No	Not Applicable

11. Establishment Authority Agency Authority

12. Specific Establishment Authority	13. Effective Date	14. Commitee Type	14c. Presidential?
Secretarial Decision Memorandum	11/24/2011	Continuing	No

15. Description of Committee National Policy Issue Advisory Board

16a. Total Number of Reports	No Reports for this FiscalYear

17a. Open 0 **17b. Closed** 0 **17c. Partially Closed** 0 **Other Activities** 0 **17d. Total** 0

Meetings and Dates

No Meetings

	Current FY	Next FY
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members	$0.00	$0.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	$0.00	$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	$0.00	$0.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	$0.00	$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	$0.00	$0.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	$0.00	$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	$0.00	$0.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	$0.00	$0.00
18c. Administrative Costs (FRNs, contractor support, In-person/hybrid/virtual meetings)	$0.00	$0.00
18d. Other (all other funds not captured by any other cost category)	$0.00	$0.00
18e. Total Costs	$0.00	$0.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)	0.00	0.00

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

The Advisory Committee on Supply Chain Competitiveness (Committee or ACSCC) advised

the Secretary on the necessary elements of a comprehensive, holistic national freight infrastructure and a national freight policy designed to support U.S. export growth and competitiveness, fostered national economic competitiveness, and improved U.S. supply chain competitiveness in the domestic and global economy. This Committee provided detailed policy and technical advice, information, and recommendations to the Secretary regarding: national, state, or local factors that inhibited the efficient domestic and international movement of goods from point of origin to destination and the competitiveness of domestic and international supply chains; infrastructure capacity, inter- and cross-modal connectivity, investment, regulatory, and intra- or inter-governmental coordination factors that affected supply chain competitiveness, goods movement, and sustainability; emerging trends in goods movement that affected supply chain competitiveness; and metrics that could be used to quantify supply chain performance. The Secretary used this advice, information, and recommendations in interagency discussions in the development of a national freight policy. The Committee acted as a liaison among the stakeholders represented by the membership and provided a forum for those stakeholders on current and emerging issues in goods movement, ensuring regular contact between the government and the supply chain industries. The Committee advised and provided policy advice, information, and recommendations to the Secretary with respect to the formulation, coordination, and oversight of domestic and foreign policy related to supply chains for goods and services. In administering this committee, the Department of Commerce worked closely with the Department of Transportation.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

The Committee had a maximum of forty five (45) private sector members with a balanced and broad range of interests, including representatives from supply chain firms or their associations, stakeholders, community organizations, and others directly affected by the supply chain, as well as experts from academia. Appointments were made by the Secretary, in consultation with the Department of Transportation, EPA, and other agencies, as appropriate. Members were appointed for terms of two years. (Membership Balance Plan was available in the FACA Database.)

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

The Committee met as often as necessary as determined by the DFO, but not less than once per year.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

The Committee advised the Secretary on the necessary elements of a comprehensive, holistic national freight infrastructure and a national freight policy designed to support U.S. export growth and competitiveness, foster national economic competitiveness, and improve U.S. supply chain competitiveness in the domestic and global economy. The functions of the ACSCC were not able to be performed by the Department, another existing advisory committee, or any other federal agency. The input, advice, and recommendations on supply chain-related issues provided by the ACSCC were unique, representing the views of knowledgeable and experienced representatives

of the supply chain industry and its stakeholders. These viewpoints were not available within the Department itself or from other advisory committees.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

N/A

21. Remarks

Per EO 14217, the Secretary of Commerce has determined that the purposes for which ACSCC was established have been fulfilled, and the committee has been terminated effective on February 28, 2025.

Designated Federal Officer

Richard P Boll International Trade Specialist

Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
Advaithi, Revathi	01/13/2022	02/28/2025	Flex, Ltd.	Representative Member
Blair, Rainer	01/13/2022	02/28/2025	Danaher Corporation	Representative Member
Blasgen, Rick	11/21/2011	02/28/2025	Council of Supply Chain Management Professionals (CSCMP)	Representative Member
Brown, Roxanne	02/28/2024	02/28/2025	United Steelworkers	Representative Member
Bunge, Michael	02/28/2024	02/28/2025	Hamilton Beach Brands, Inc.	Representative Member
Burns, Ursula	01/13/2022	12/31/2024	Uber	Representative Member
Cannizzaro, Rob	02/28/2024	02/28/2025	Intermodal Association of America	Representative Member
Ceva, Doug	07/31/2020	02/28/2025	Prologis	Representative Member
Costello, Robert	04/27/2018	02/28/2025	American Trucking Associations	Representative Member
Craig, Jason	07/31/2020	02/28/2025	C.H. Robinson	Representative Member
Elliott, Cindy	02/07/2023	02/28/2025	ESRI	Representative Member

Gasperov, Joseph	06/05/2023	02/28/2025	International Longshore and Warehouse Union	Representative Member
Jeffries, Ian	02/07/2023	02/28/2025	Association of American Railroads	Representative Member
Kelly, Gary	01/13/2022	02/28/2025	Southwest Airlines	Representative Member
Lee, Hau	01/13/2022	02/28/2025	Stanford University	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Melvin, Barbara	01/13/2022	02/28/2025	South Carolina Ports Authority	Representative Member
Mullen, Mike	02/07/2023	02/28/2025	Express Association of America	Representative Member
Nicolas, Ernest	02/07/2023	02/28/2025	HP, Inc.	Representative Member
O'Brien, Sean	01/13/2022	02/28/2025	International Brotherhood of Teamsters	Representative Member
Pann, Stu	02/07/2023	12/12/2024	Intel	Representative Member
Polen, Tom	01/13/2022	02/28/2025	BD	Representative Member
Seroka, Gene	11/17/2015	02/28/2025	Port of Los Angeles	Representative Member
Shih, Willy	01/13/2022	02/28/2025	Harvard Business School	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Solimani, Robert	02/28/2024	02/28/2025	Stevens Transport	Representative Member
Tumbarello, Gina	02/28/2024	01/17/2025	American Feed Industry Association	Representative Member
Villa, Juan	11/20/2013	02/28/2025	Texas A&M Transportation Institute	Representative Member
Wilke, Jeff	01/13/2022	12/31/2024	Re: Build Manufacturing	Representative Member

Number of Committee Members Listed: 27

Narrative Description

Committee provided advice to the Secretary on the necessary elements of a comprehensive policy approach to supply chain competitiveness designed to support U.S. export growth and national economic competitiveness, encouraged innovation, facilitated the movement of goods, and improved the

competitiveness of U.S. supply chains for goods and services in the domestic and global economy, and provided advice to the Secretary on regulatory policies and programs and investment priorities that affected the competitiveness of U.S. supply chains.

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

	Checked if Applies
Improvements to health or safety	☐
Trust in government	☑
Major policy changes	☑
Advance in scientific research	☐
Effective grant making	☐
Improved service delivery	☐
Increased customer satisfaction	☑
Implementation of laws or regulatory requirements	☐
Other	☐

Outcome Comments

ACSCC was the only major advisory committee dedicated to providing U.S government officials advice on U.S. supply chain issues. Their expertise and input helped to achieve the mission of ITA.

What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

	Checked if Applies
None	☑
Unable to Determine	☐
Under $100,000	☐
$100,000 - $500,000	☐
$500,001 - $1,000,000	☐
$1,000,001 - $5,000,000	☐
$5,000,001 - $10,000,000	☐
Over $10,000,000	☐
Cost Savings Other	☐

Cost Savings Comments

Not Applicable

What is the approximate Number of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?
61

Number of Recommendations Comments
All recommendations were made to improve our domestic and global supply chains for our nation's goods and services. No recommendations were made in 2025 as the advisory committee was terminated on February 28, 2025.

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Fully implemented by the agency?
40%

% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments
Single window was implemented. Hanjin Bankruptcy - ACSCC was intricately involved with Secretary of Commerce to mitigate impact of the Hanjin Bankruptcy. Workforce Development, Infrastructure permitting requirements were reduced. Several workforce recommendations implemented along with other recommendations implemented. Restarting of President's Export Council, numerous trucking initiatives including funding for truck parking, CHIPS funding, and DOE and DOC work on critical minerals, on other supply chain programs.

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Partially implemented by the agency?
30%

% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments
Not Applicable

Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered?
Yes ☑ No ☐ Not Applicable ☐

Agency Feedback Comments
The public was able to obtain information on agency feedback on the committee website. Any written comments provided by the public were shared with committee members and discussed, as needed.

What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?

	Checked if Applies
Reorganized Priorities	☐
Reallocated resources	☐
Issued new regulation	☐
Proposed legislation	☐
Approved grants or other payments	☐
Other	☐

Action Comments

Not Applicable

Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?

No

Grant Review Comments

Not Applicable

How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

	Checked if Applies
Contact DFO	☑
Online Agency Web Site	☐
Online Committee Web Site	☐
Online GSA FACA Web Site	☑
Publications	☐
Other	☐

Access Comments

N/A