2024 Current Fiscal Year Report: Gila Box Riparian National Conservation Area Advisory Committee

Report Run Date: 04/20/2024 02:39:18 AM

1. Department or Agency 2. Fiscal Year

Department of the Interior 2024

3b. GSA

3. Committee or Subcommittee Committee

No.

Gila Box Riparian National Conservation

Area Advisory Committee

515

4. Is this New During 5. Current 6. Expected 7. Expected

Fiscal Year? Charter Renewal Date Term Date

No 04/03/2006

8a. Was

Terminated 8b. Specific Termination 8c. Actual During Authority Term Date

FiscalYear?

Executive Order 13875

"Evaluating and Improving the

No Utility of Federal Advisory

Committees"

9. Agency

Recommendation 10a. Legislation Req to

for Next Terminate?

Legislation
Pending?

FiscalYear

Terminate Yes Pending

11. Establishment Authority Statutory (Congress Created)

12. Specific 13. 14.

Establishment Effective Committee

Presidential?

Authority Date Type

16 U.S.C. 460 11/28/2004 Continuing No

15. Description of Committee Non Scientific Program Advisory

Board

16a. Total

No Reports for this FiscalYear

Reports

17a. 0 17b. Closed 0 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total 0 Open

Meetings and Dates

No Meetings

	Current FY	Next FY
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to	\$0.00	20.00
Non-Federal Members	ψ0.00	ψ0.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to	\$0.00	20.00
Federal Members	ψ0.00	ψ0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to	\$0.00	20.00
Federal Staff	ψ0.00	ψυ.υυ
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to	\$0.00	20.00
Non-Member Consultants	\$0.00\$0.00	
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to	\$0.00	20 00
Non-Federal Members	ψ0.00	ψ0.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to	\$0.00	\$0 00
Federal Members	ψ0.00	ψ0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to	\$0.00	\$0.00
Federal Staff	ψ0.00	ψ0.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to	\$0.00	\$0.00
Non-member Consultants	ψ0.00	ψ0.00
18c. Other(rents,user charges,	\$0.00	\$0.00
graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	ψ0.00	ψ0.00
18d. Total	\$0.00	\$0.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years	0.00	0.00
(FTE)	0.00	0.00

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

The Committee did not meet in Fiscal Year 2023, and hasn't met since 2006. The Gila Box Advisory Committee met, as scheduled by the BLM Safford Field Office, to discuss ongoing projects within the Gila Box Riparian National Conservation Area (RNCA). They were briefed by managers and staff at these meetings and sometimes taken on a field

tour to view specific projects. The BLM welcomed comments and suggestions from the Committee.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

As directed by Congress, the committee include four members with natural and/or cultural resource expertise and three members with political affiliations. Resources represented include watershed, hydrology, fish and wildlife, environmental education, cultural resources and recreation. Politically affiliated positions include the Governor's representative, Greenlee County representative, and Graham County representative. See the attched membership list for committee members (all are technically expired due to the expiration of the Charter).

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

The BLM goal was to host 1-2 meetings per year. This is determined by (a) the need for updates from BLM to the Committee, (b) the need for input from the Committee to the BLM, and (c) requests from the committee to discuss a specific topic or view an ongoing project. The Committee was very active during its early years when they provided great support to the preparation of the Gila Box Management Plan. During the implementation phase, there has been much less input. The issues of the past two years have been the inability to get vacant positions filled. The Charter is currently expired and will need to be renewed and new members appointed before meetings can be held. There isn't much community interest in the committee and it is hard to solicit applications.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

The Committee was mandated by Congress when it created the Gila Box Riparian National Conservation Area as part of the Arizona Desert Wilderness Act of 1990. Congress specified the cross-section of member backgrounds and regional views to increase public input and advise to the BLM on the Gila Box Management Plan. Advisory Committee members are active in various fields and represent a good mix of the resource expertise needed to provide input on the Gila Box. This regional input is helpful to balance local issues and concerns and ensure the area is managed an developed for its resource values. The fact that the Advisory Committee meetings are open to the public enables the BLM to reach a larger segment of interested publics than can be done with limited staff and budget locally; community turnout at the meetings in generally very low though. Unfortunately, interest in Committee participation has also been low. Sometimes, a Call for Nominations must be issued twice before enough qualified candidates submit applications to fill vacant positions. Each time, the BLM must publish a Federal Register notice which costs additional money. In the past several years, the value of this investment in relation to the input we receive has been discussed. Also, the same type of committee was required by Congress for the San Pedro Riparian National Conservation Area, which is also within our District. That committee has not been active for at least nine years and there has been no pressure to re-energize it. There needs to be a consistent approach so that both committees are handled the same.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

Meetings are not closed; all are open to the public.

They are well advertised via the Federal Register and, locally, through newspaper and radio stations. All meetings include a public comment period on the agenda.

21. Remarks

No meeting were held in FY 2023. Committee is currently inactive.

Designated Federal Officer

Tom Schnell Assistant Field Manager for Non-Renewable Resources

Narrative Description

No meetings were held this Fiscal Year, and the Committee hasn't met since 2006. The Advisory Committee was established by Congress to provide public input to the BLM's management of the Gila Box Riparian National Conservation Area.

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

	Checked if	
	Applies	
Improvements to health or safety		
Trust in government		✓
Major policy changes		
Advance in scientific research		
Effective grant making		
Improved service delivery		
Increased customer satisfaction		
Implementation of laws or regulatory		√
requirements		: .
Other		

Outcome Comments

The Committee did not meet this Fiscal Year.

What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

	Checked if Applies
None	
Unable to Determine	✓
Under \$100,000	
\$100,000 - \$500,000	
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	
\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000	
\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000	
Over \$10,000,000	
Cost Savings Other	

Cost Savings Comments

The Committee did not meet this Fiscal Year.

What is the approximate <u>Number</u> of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?

100

Number of Recommendations Comments

The Committee did not meet this Fiscal Year.

What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations that have been or will be <u>Fully</u> implemented by the agency?

100%

% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

100% of the Committees consensus-driven recommendations became part of the Plan. 98% of the decisions made in the Plan were a result of recommendations made by the Committee; two items did not reach full agreement and the Committee failed to make a recommendation on these two. In this case, implementation meant that the recommendations were incorporated in the Final Management Plan. The Safford Field Office is still implementating this Plan so not all actions resulting from those recommendation have been implemented but will be completed during the life of the Plan given adequate levels of future funding and staffing.

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or

will be Partially implemented by the agency?	
0%	
% of Recommendations Partially Implemented	Comments
The two issues that did not reach consensus in th	e Committee had opposing viewpoints
within the Committee, forcing the BLM to make th	ose decisions. Therefore, these two
were not formal recommendations of the Committ	ee. The BLM decision, on these two
topics, represented the viewpoints expressed thro	ough the Committee, but there was no
agreement within the Committee. The BLM incorp	orated all the formal recommendations
of the Committee into the Management Plan.	
Does the agency provide the committee with f	eedback regarding actions taken to
implement recommendations or advice offered	d?
Yes No Not Applicable	
Agency Feedback Comments	
The Committee did not meet this Fiscal Year. Dur	ing Gila Box Advisory Committee
meetings, BLM managers and staff provide updat	es on the status of projects and
decisions made in the Plan.	
What other actions has the agency taken as a	result of the committee's advice or
recommendation?	
(Checked if Applies
Reorganized Priorities	
Reallocated resources	
Issued new regulation	
Proposed legislation	
Approved grants or other payments	
Other	
Action Comments	
The majority of recommendations were part of the	Management Plan and involved overall
management of the area and need for future proje	ects.
Is the Committee engaged in the review of app	olications for grants?
No	

Grant Review Comments

How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

	Checked if Applies
Contact DFO	x
Online Agency Web Site	
Online Committee Web Site	
Online GSA FACA Web Site	¥
Publications	¥
Other	

Access Comments

No meetings were held this Fiscal Year. Meeting minutes are available to the public within 30 days following meetings. The public is invited to attend all committee meetings via publicity in local newspapers and a period of time is available for public comments at each meeting.