

2025 Current Fiscal Year Report: Information Security and Privacy Advisory Board

Report Run Date: 07/12/2025 05:26:48 AM

1. Department or Agency

Department of Commerce

2. Fiscal Year

2025

3. Committee or Subcommittee

Information Security and Privacy
Advisory Board

3b. GSA

Committee No.

324

4. Is this New During Fiscal Year?

No

5. Current Charter Renewal Date

02/23/2024 02/23/2026

6. Expected Term Date

7. Expected Term Date

8a. Was Terminated During Fiscal Year?

No

8b. Specific Termination Authority

8c. Actual Term Date

9. Agency Recommendation for Next Fiscal Year

Continue

10a. Legislation Req to Terminate?

Not Applicable

10b. Legislation Pending?

Not Applicable

11. Establishment Authority

Statutory (Congress Created)

12. Specific Establishment Authority

15 U.S.C. 278g-4

13. Effective Date

01/08/1988

14. Committee Type

Continuing

14c. Presidential?

No

15. Description of Committee

Scientific Technical Program
Advisory Board

16a. Total Number of Reports

No Reports for
this Fiscal Year

17a. Open

0

17b. Closed

0

17c. Partially Closed

0

Other Activities

0

17d. Total

0

Meetings and Dates

No Meetings

Current Next
FY FY

18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18c. Administrative Costs (FRNs, contractor support, In-person/hybrid/virtual meetings)	\$0.00	\$0.00
18d. Other (all other funds not captured by any other cost category)	\$0.00	\$0.00
18e. Total Costs	\$0.00	\$0.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)	0.00	0.00

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

The Information Security and Privacy Advisory Board's (Board or Advisory Board) advises the Director of the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), the Secretary of the Department of Homeland Security (DHS), and the Director of the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) on information security and privacy related issues. The Board accomplishes this through informational briefings and presentations by NIST

staff and external presenters at regular open meetings. Presenters often include program stakeholders and government/industry partners as well as experts in the information technology field, discussing trends, challenges, and potential solutions. These meetings, held 3-4 times a year, provide the opportunity for the Board to interact with subject matter experts and key stakeholders, combined with the Member's diverse perspective, offers a valued forum for discussing and proposing solutions to the U.S. Governments- and industry-related issues.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

The Board is comprised of members from academia, industry, expert advisors representing small businesses, and pertinent U.S. Government departments and agencies. Presently, the membership consists of twelve members including the Chairperson, and is currently in the process of vetting one new member. Current membership can be viewed at:

<https://csrc.nist.gov/Projects/ispab/members>.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

The Board holds open, public meetings 3-4 times a year. At the first meeting of every fiscal year, the Board reviews and updates its work plan items for fiscal year. Topics include NIST publications and guidance, cybersecurity threats facing the US Government, research and development, ONCD's National Cybersecurity Implementation Plan, Artificial Intelligence, NIST's National Vulnerability (NVD) Database and Vulnerability Program, and NIST's Cybersecurity and Privacy Frameworks. and Cybersecurity Workforce.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

In drafting the Computer Security Act of 1987, which created this Advisory Board, we understand that Congress saw a need for an independent, non-federally dominated group of computer security experts to offer its advice to senior government officials on emerging computer security areas. The Board members, with their individual and collective skills, responsibilities and experiences fulfill this requirement. No other similar group of experts meet regularly to review information security issues involved in unclassified Federal Government computer systems and networks. In today's emerging technology, privacy is ever moving into prominent importance, not just for security, but it instills confidence from industry and consumers. Also, Title III of the E-Government Act of 2002 reaffirmed the need for this Board by giving it additional responsibilities.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

N/A

21. Remarks

During this fiscal year, the Board submitted letters to DHS, NIST, and OMB regarding the EU's Cyber Resilience Act (CRA), letters to DHS, NIST, and OMB with a recommendation on Artificial Intelligence Coordination Throughout the US Government, letters to DHS and NIST for Support and Resources for NIST's National Vulnerability (NVD) Database, and letters to NIST and OMB for NIST to Further Engage in International Standards Development. Recommendations and subsequent responses received can be viewed at: <https://csrc.nist.gov/Projects/ispab/documentation>. Member appointments may be renewed for

another four-year term and therefore the appointment start/end dates may not match the listed appointment term.

Designated Federal Officer

Jeff Brewer DFO

Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
Baker, Brett	03/15/2022	03/14/2026	Inspector General for the National Archives, National Archives and Records Administration	Regular Government Employee (RGE) Member
Duffy, Michael	03/14/2024	03/13/2028	Associate Director for Capacity, Cybersecurity Division, CISA, DHS	Regular Government Employee (RGE) Member
Fanti, Giulia	07/09/2021	07/08/2025	Angel Jordan Associate Professor of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Carnegie Mellon University	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Fitzgerald-McKay, Jessica	12/11/2020	03/03/2027	Co-Lead, Center for Cyber Security Standards, NSA	Regular Government Employee (RGE) Member
Gantman, Alex	12/21/2022	12/20/2026	Vice President, Security Engineering: Head of Product Security, Qualcomm	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Gattoni, Brian	08/07/2023	08/06/2027	Federal Reserve Board (Board of Governors of the US Federal Reserve System)	Regular Government Employee (RGE) Member

Goodwin, Cristin	05/09/2022	05/08/2026	Founder, Advancing Cyber	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Groman, Marc	09/12/2021	09/11/2025	Principal, Groman Consulting, Adjunct Professor at Georgetown University Law Center	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Lipner, Steven	05/31/2018	05/30/2026	Executive Director, Safecode	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Miller, Essye	06/04/2021	06/03/2025	President, Executive Business Management	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Moussouris, Katie	07/09/2021	07/08/2025	CEO, Luta Security	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Venables, Philip	03/05/2024	03/04/2028	Chief Information Security Officer, Google Cloud	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member

Number of Committee Members Listed: 12

Narrative Description

The Board advises NIST, the Secretary of Commerce and the Director of OMB on information security and privacy issues pertaining to Federal government unclassified information systems. This includes through review of proposed standards and guidelines developed under Section 20 of the National Institute of Standards and Technology Act (15 U.S.C. 278g-3) as amended by Title III of the E-Government Act of 2002.

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

Checked if
Applies

Improvements to health or safety	<input type="checkbox"/>
Trust in government	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Major policy changes	<input type="checkbox"/>
Advance in scientific research	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Effective grant making	<input type="checkbox"/>
Improved service delivery	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Increased customer satisfaction	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Implementation of laws or regulatory requirements	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

Outcome Comments

NA

What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

Checked if Applies

None	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unable to Determine	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Under \$100,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
\$100,000 - \$500,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
Over \$10,000,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
Cost Savings Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

Cost Savings Comments

Many of the recommendations address information security and privacy policy government-wide. Cost savings would vary based on agency-specific implementation.

What is the approximate Number of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?

56

Number of Recommendations Comments

For fiscal year 2024, the Board submitted a total of 4 recommendations.

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or

will be Fully implemented by the agency?

30%

% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

All recommendations do not address the agency. They may be directed to OMB for government-wide impact, which is difficult to report or monitor percentage of implementation. Those time lines are driven by the OMB directives. Board recommendations specific to NIST have been or will be addressed and implemented.

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Partially implemented by the agency?

0%

% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

NA

Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered?

Yes ☒ No ☐ Not Applicable ☐

Agency Feedback Comments

Feedback to the Advisory Board are filtered in several ways: through email to the DFO and members; formal statements during the public opening session in meetings; or the dedicated ISPAB website.

What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?

Checked if Applies

Reorganized Priorities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Reallocated resources	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Issued new regulation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Proposed legislation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Approved grants or other payments	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Action Comments

NIST continues to refine their strategy based on objective feedback related to presentations and submissions of the Board.

Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?

No

Grant Review Comments

NA

How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

Checked if Applies

Contact DFO	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Online Agency Web Site	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Online Committee Web Site	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Online GSA FACA Web Site	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Publications	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Access Comments

Information is published in the FEDERAL REGISTER announcing the meetings and agendas and announcing an annual request for nomination consideration to the membership of the Board.