

2019 Current Fiscal Year Report: Secretary of the Navy Advisory Panel

Report Run Date: 06/17/2025 08:03:09 PM

1. Department or Agency

Department of Defense

2. Fiscal Year

2019

3. Committee or Subcommittee

Secretary of the Navy Advisory
Panel

3b. GSA Committee No.

33456

4. Is this New During Fiscal Year? 5. Current Charter 6. Expected Renewal Date 7. Expected Term Date

No 01/02/2018 01/02/2020

8a. Was Terminated During Fiscal Year?

Yes

8b. Specific Termination Authority

8c. Actual Term Date

04/01/2019

9. Agency Recommendation for Next Fiscal Year

Terminate

10a. Legislation Req to Terminate?

No

10b. Legislation Pending?

Not Applicable

11. Establishment Authority Agency Authority

12. Specific Establishment Authority

Director, Administration and
Management Memorandum

13. Effective Date

10/03/2007 Continuing

14. Committee Type

No

14c. Presidential?

15. Description of Committee National Policy Issue Advisory Board

16a. Total Number of Reports

No Reports for
this Fiscal Year

17a. 0 17b. Closed 0 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total 0 Open

Meetings and Dates

No Meetings

Current Next
FY FY

18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$35,000.00	\$0.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18c. Other(rents,user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	\$0.00	\$0.00
18d. Total	\$35,000.00	\$0.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)	0.20	0.00

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

The Secretary of the Navy determined that this parent and subcommittee are not required.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

21. Remarks

This discretionary advisory committee, the Secretary of the Navy Advisory Panel was terminated by the Department of Defense (DoD) prior to the signing of Executive Order (EO) 13875, "Evaluating and Improving the Utility of Federal Advisory Committees", dated June 14, 2019. Notwithstanding, the DoD in accordance with EO 13875 and OMB guidance included this discretionary advisory committee as part of DoD's 1/3 reduction in discretionary advisory committees which was directed by EO 13875. In FY-2019, the Secretary of the Navy Advisory Panel conducted only private, 1 v 1, advice via phone or seldom in person. All discussions were administrative in nature. James Custer is not able to certify as DFO as of 8-7-20.

Designated Federal Officer

James R Custer DFO

Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
Bovin, Denis	03/17/2016	03/16/2020	Senior Advisor to Evercore Partners	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Carson, Brad	12/15/2016	12/15/2020	Attorney	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Green, Jerrold	02/24/2010	03/16/2020	President and CEO Pacific Council on International Policy in Los Angeles	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member

Lerner, Jennifer	06/16/2016	06/15/2020	Thornton F. Bradshaw Professor of Public Policy, Decision Science, and Management Science at the Harvard Kennedy School and Co-Founder of the Harvard Decision Science Laboratory Employee (SGE) Member
Minana, Alicia	06/16/2016	06/15/2020	Attorney Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Nolfi, George	03/17/2016	03/16/2020	Writer, Director, and Producer of Film and Television Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Studeman, William	02/28/2008	03/16/2020	Former Deputy Director of the Central Intelligence Agency Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Walsh, Patrick	06/16/2016	06/15/2020	Vice President for Customer Education at FireEye, Inc. Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Bowes, William [Naval Research Advisory Committee]	02/10/2010	02/09/2020	Subcommittee Member Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Bruno, Michael [Naval Research Advisory Committee]	01/01/2010	12/31/2018	Subcommittee Member Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Padilla, Ingrid [Naval Research Advisory Committee]	03/03/2016	03/02/2020	Subcommittee Member Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Quiroz Gates, Ann [Naval Research Advisory Committee]	03/03/2016	03/02/2020	Subcommittee Member Special Government Employee (SGE) Member

Walsh, Joseph [Naval Research Advisory Committee]	03/17/2016	03/16/2020	Subcommittee Member	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Blackman, Robert [Secretary of the Navy History Advisory Subcommittee]	11/19/2015	11/19/2019	Subcommittee Member	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Chadbourn, Charles [Secretary of the Navy History Advisory Subcommittee]	11/19/2015	11/19/2019	Subcommittee Member	Regular Government Employee (RGE) Member
Creswell, Michael [Secretary of the Navy History Advisory Subcommittee]	11/19/2015	11/19/2019	Subcommittee Member	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Dunn, Robert [Secretary of the Navy History Advisory Subcommittee]	11/19/2015	11/19/2019	Subcommittee Member	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Ezell, Lin [Secretary of the Navy History Advisory Subcommittee]	11/19/2015	11/19/2019	Subcommittee Member	Regular Government Employee (RGE) Member
Felker, Craig [Secretary of the Navy History Advisory Subcommittee]	11/19/2015	11/19/2019	Subcommittee Member	Regular Government Employee (RGE) Member
Gardner, Donald [Secretary of the Navy History Advisory Subcommittee]	11/19/2015	11/19/2019	Subcommittee Member	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Hawkins, Richard [Secretary of the Navy History Advisory Subcommittee]	11/19/2015	11/19/2019	Subcommittee Member	Regular Government Employee (RGE) Member
Hornfischer, James [Secretary of the Navy History Advisory Subcommittee]	11/19/2015	11/19/2019	Subcommittee Member	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Kiesling, Eugenia [Secretary of the Navy History Advisory Subcommittee]	11/19/2015	11/19/2019	Subcommittee Member	Regular Government Employee (RGE) Member

Melson, Charles					Regular
[Secretary of the Navy History Advisory	11/19/2015	11/19/2019	Subcommittee		Government
Subcommittee]			Member		Employee
					(RGE)
					Member
Nenninger, Timothy					Regular
[Secretary of the Navy History Advisory	11/19/2015	11/19/2019	Subcommittee		Government
Subcommittee]			Member		Employee
					(RGE)
					Member
Speelman, Jennifer					Regular
[Secretary of the Navy History Advisory	11/19/2015	11/19/2019	Subcommittee		Government
Subcommittee]			Member		Employee
					(RGE)
					Member
Williams, Kathleen					Special
[Secretary of the Navy History Advisory	11/19/2015	11/19/2019	Subcommittee		Government
Subcommittee]			Member		Employee
					(SGE)
					Member
Zilfi, Madeline					Special
[Secretary of the Navy History Advisory	11/19/2015	11/19/2019	Subcommittee		Government
Subcommittee]			Member		Employee
					(SGE)
					Member

Number of Committee Members Listed: 28

Narrative Description

The Secretary of the Navy Advisory Panel provided the Secretaries of the Navy and the Department of Defense with independent advice and recommendations on critical issues facing the Department of the Navy and the Navy Secretariat in the conduct of the Department's mission. These issues included, but were not limited to management, organization, acquisition reform, the shipbuilding defense industrial base, and related maritime issues.

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

Checked if
Applies

Improvements to health or safety
Trust in government
Major policy changes
Advance in scientific research
Effective grant making

☐
☒
☒
☐
☐

- | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|
| Improved service delivery | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Increased customer satisfaction | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Implementation of laws or regulatory requirements | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Other | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Outcome Comments

Not Applicable

What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

Checked if Applies

- | | |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| None | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Unable to Determine | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Under \$100,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| \$100,000 - \$500,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| \$500,001 - \$1,000,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| \$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| \$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Over \$10,000,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Cost Savings Other | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Cost Savings Comments

This Advisory Panel was intended to provide expert advice to the Secretary of the Navy pertaining to his/her oversight of the Department of the Navy...an organization of over 700,000 personnel and a budget exceeding \$170B per year performing continuous worldwide operations. Recommendations have typically pertained to shifts in policy, organization, resources, or capabilities. Intent is to remain ahead of forecast issues, challenges, threats, and risks. Results of recommendations have more directly resulted in affecting measures of relevance than capturing measurable cost savings.

What is the approximate Number of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?

141

Number of Recommendations Comments

This number has been updated following a review and accounting of the SecNav Advisory Panel's 11 reports submitted to the Secretary, since 2009.

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Fully implemented by the agency?

33%

% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

47 of 141 SNAP recommendations (33%) have been fully implemented since the forming of the Advisory Panel.

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Partially implemented by the agency?

15%

% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

21 of 141 SNAP recommendations (15%) have been fully implemented since the forming of the Advisory Panel. Combining the fully and partially implemented recommendations (47 + 21 = 68) determines that 48% of the Panel's 141 recommendations (reports spanning 2009 to 2018) have affected the leadership, management, administration, operations, or policy of the Department of the Navy.

Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered?

Yes ☒ No ☐ Not Applicable ☐

Agency Feedback Comments

Feedback must be managed with awareness to any information it conveys pertaining to national security. Feedback is certainly provided during Chair/Panel interaction with the Secretary. FACA staff recognizes feedback as resulting changes to policy, regulations, actions, resources, reorganizing, or proposed legislation.

What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?

Checked if Applies

Reorganized Priorities	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reallocated resources	<input type="checkbox"/>
Issued new regulation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Proposed legislation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Approved grants or other payments	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

Action Comments

Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?

No

Grant Review Comments

Not Applicable

How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

Checked if Applies

Contact DFO	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Online Agency Web Site	<input type="checkbox"/>
Online Committee Web Site	<input type="checkbox"/>
Online GSA FACA Web Site	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Publications	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

Access Comments

N/A