## 2021 Current Fiscal Year Report: Ocean Research Advisory Panel

Report Run Date: 04/19/2024 08:28:54 PM

1. Department or Agency 2. Fiscal Year

Department of Defense 2021

3. Committee or Subcommittee 3b. GSA Committee No.

Ocean Research Advisory Panel 5214

4. Is this New During 5. Current6. Expected7. ExpectedFiscal Year?CharterRenewal DateTerm DateNo04/22/202004/22/202203/15/2021

8a. Was Terminated During 8b. Specific 8c. Actual Termination Authority Term Date

Sec. 1055(c) of the

Yes 633/15/2021 FY21 NDAA

9. Agency 10b.

Terminate Yes Enacted

11. Establishment Authority Statutory (Congress Created)

12. Specific 13. 14.

Establishment Effective Committee

Authority Date Type Presidential?

10 USC 8933 11/18/1997 Continuing No

15. Description of Committee Other Committee

16a. Total

No Reports for this FiscalYear

Reports

17a. 0 17b. Closed 0 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total 0 Open

**Meetings and Dates** 

No Meetings

Current Next FY FY

18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members

\$0.00\$0.00

18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$0.00\$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$0.00\$0.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$0.00\$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00\$0.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$0.00\$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$0.00\$0.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$0.00\$0.00
18c. Other(rents,user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	\$0.00\$0.00
18d. Total	\$0.00\$0.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)	0.00 0.00

# 20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

The Panel advised the Ocean Policy Committee (per EO 13840 of June 19, 2018) and provided advice on policies and procedures to implement the National Oceanographic Partnership Program; selection of partnership projects and allocation of funds for partnership projects for implementation under the program; matters relating to national oceanographic data requirements; and fulfilled any additional responsibilities that the Committee considered appropriate.

# 20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

Membership were composed of not less than 10 and not more than 18 members consisting of the following: a. One member who represented the National Academy of Sciences. b. One member

who represented the National Academy of Engineering. c. One member who represented the Institute of Medicine. d. Members selected from among individuals who represented the views on ocean industries, State Governments, academia, and such other views as the Chairs of the Committee consider appropriate. e. Members selected from individuals who were eminent in the fields of marine science, marine policy, or related fields. Candidates for appointment came from academia, the private sector, former senior government employees, or senior military officers. The Panel included members who represented the National Academy of Sciences, the National Academy of Engineering and the Institute of Medicine. Based upon an agreement among these institutions and the Council, one member designated by the institutions will represent all three institutions and his or her membership shall be approved by the DoD Appointing Authorities. There was also a desire for consideration of geographic balance to ensure the viewpoints of the different coastal and marine localities are represented.

# 20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

Regular meetings were normally held at least twice yearly. The Panel addressed topical issues raised by the National Ocean Council, and provided recommendations regarding the National Oceanographic Partnership Program investment strategy.

# 20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere? Federal statute (10 U.S.C. § 7903) required the Secretary of Defense to establish the committee.

# 20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

Meetings for the Panel were not closed to the public.

#### 21. Remarks

ORAP activities were suspended in FY18 and FY19 pending the Congressional action for a legislative proposal to terminate the Panel. Per the FY21 NDAA, ORAP is terminated under the Department of Defense and will be re-established under NOAA. No ORAP meetings were held in either FY 2019-FY 2021.

#### **Designated Federal Officer**

Thomas G. Drake Head, Ocean Battlespace and Expeditionary Access Dept (Office of Naval Research)

### **Narrative Description**

The ORAP supports the agency's mission by providing senior scientific advise to the National Ocean Council. Advice is provided on policies and procedures on National Ocean Partnership Program investments, resource management, and ocean policy.

# What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

	Checked if	
	Applies	
Improvements to health or safety		
Trust in government		
Major policy changes		
Advance in scientific research		✓
Effective grant making		✓
Improved service delivery		
Increased customer satisfaction		

Implementation of laws or regulatory requirements Other				
Outcome Comments NA				
What are the cost savings associated with this committee?				
	Checked if Applies			
None	₹			
Unable to Determine				
Under \$100,000				
\$100,000 - \$500,000				
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000				
\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000				
\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000				
Over \$10,000,000				
Cost Savings Other				
Cost Savings Comments NA				
What is the approximate <u>Number</u> of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?				

52

#### **Number of Recommendations Comments**

Recommendations are broad policy comments to the National Ocean Council. No recommendations were provided to the Department of Defense in FY 2019-2021.

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Fully implemented by the agency? 40%

## % of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

Recommendations are general policy comments relevant to multiple agencies used to assist and inform their investments. Tracking the implementation of recommendations is complicated as the onus for implementation, and the degree to which recommendations

are implemented, is dispersed among multiple government entities and other organizations.

What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations that have been or will be <u>Partially</u> implemented by the agency?

20%

## % of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

Recommendations are general policy comments relevant to multiple agencies used to assist and inform their investments. Tracking the implementation of recommendations is complicated as the onus for implementation, and the degree to which recommendations are implemented, is dispersed among multiple government entities and other organizations.

Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taker	n to
implement recommendations or advice offered?	

Yes No Not Applicable

## **Agency Feedback Comments**

Feedback is provided through direct communication between the Chair of the National Ocean Council and the Committee. Feedback is contained in the Panel minutes.

# What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?

	Checked if Applies
Reorganized Priorities	✓
Reallocated resources	✓
Issued new regulation	
Proposed legislation	✓
Approved grants or other payments	
Other	<b>✓</b>

#### **Action Comments**

Significant contributions were made to the National Ocean Council.

Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?

#### **Grant Review Comments**

## How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

	Checked if Applies
Contact DFO	
Online Agency Web Site	
Online Committee Web Site	✓
Online GSA FACA Web Site	₹
Publications	✓
Other	~

## **Access Comments**

Committee information and documentation is included

http://www.nopp.org/about-nopp/nopp-committees/orap/ as well as the FAC database