# 2024 Current Fiscal Year Report: Cape Cod National Seashore Advisory Commission

Report Run Date: 03/29/2024 08:52:14 AM

1. Department or Agency 2. Fiscal Year

Department of the Interior 2024

3b. GSA
3. Committee or Subcommittee

Committee No.

Cape Cod National Seashore Advisory

Commission 485

4. Is this New During 5. Current6. Expected7. ExpectedFiscal Year?CharterRenewal DateTerm DateNo04/26/202304/26/202509/26/2029

8a. Was Terminated
During FiscalYear?

8b. Specific
Termination
Authority

8c. Actual
Term Date

P.L. 87-126, as amended by P.L.

117-328

9. Agency 10b. 10a. Legislation Req

Recommendation for Next to Terminate?

FiscalYear Legislation
Pending?

Continue Not Applicable Not Applicable

11. Establishment Authority Statutory (Congress Created)

12. Specific

13. 14.

Effective Committee

Establishment Authority Date Type Presidential?

P.L. 87-126, as amended

08/07/1961 Continuing No by P.L. 117-328

**15. Description of Committee** Non Scientific Program Advisory

**Board** 

No

16a. Total

No Reports for this FiscalYear

Reports

17a.

0 17b. Closed 0 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total 0

Meetings and Dates

No Meetings

	<b>Current Next</b>	
	FY	FY
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to	ድስ (	00 \$0.00
Non-Federal Members	φυ.(	λΟ ΦΟ.ΟΟ
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to	<b>\$</b> 0.0	00 \$0.00
Federal Members	φυ.(	λο φυ.υυ
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to	\$0.0	00 \$0.00
Federal Staff	ψ0.0	λο φυ.υυ
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to	\$0.0	00 \$0.00
Non-Member Consultants	ψ0.0	λο ψο.οο
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to	\$0.0	00 \$0.00
Non-Federal Members	ΨΟ.	λο ψο.οο
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to	\$0.0	00 \$0.00
Federal Members	ΨΟ.	λο ψο.σο
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to	\$0.0	00\$0.00
Federal Staff	ΨΟ.	λο ψο.σο
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to	\$0.0	00 \$0.00
Non-member Consultants	ΨΟ.	<i>γ</i> ο φο.σο
18c. Other(rents,user charges,	\$0.0	00 \$0.00
graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	ΨΟ.	λο ψο.σο
18d. Total	\$0.0	00\$0.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years	0.0	00.00
(FTE)	0.0	0.00

# 20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

This Advisory Commission works through a combination of open discussions at public meetings and subcommittee meetings, and consultations of members with their represented constituencies. Because commission members are not representing the government (with the exception of the Secretary's representative) members of the public sometimes feel more comfortable that their comments are being heard with open minds. Also, Commission members often see issues from disparate viewpoints, which

makes for productive dialogue. An example of work accomplished recently is the finalization of a Preferred Alternative for the Herring Cove North Parking Lot. A parking lot may not seem like it should be a big deal or very controversial, however, the future of this particular lot was so controversial that its discussion was removed from the 1998 GMP (general management plan) process in order to move forward on larger topics. This mile long parking lot is built on a remnant of a 1920's State coastal tour road. An asphalt revetment (acting as a seawall) was installed to protect parking with direct beach access. The parking lot also allowed for year-round viewing of migratory whales and world famous sunsets. Sea level rise since it was constructed; erosion and storm action regularly damaged the revetment and parking lot, demonstrating the fact that a change was needed. Local concern was that the NPS would close the lot and require a remote lot with a shuttle. An Advisory Commission subcommittee was assembled by the Chair and solicited professional input from coastal scientists, town representatives, and state and federal policy experts. Seashore funds supported professional facilitation group (CBI) and professional coastal engineering and NEPA personnel. A Preferred Alternative was derived which is satisfactory to all the stake holders and is responsive to coastal change, sustainability goals, environmental requirements and maintains a remarkable visitor experience. This project will be public discussion at the Advisory Commission until it is constructed, projected 2018. The Commission continues to provide input on important National Seashore issues such as the Shore Bird Management including selective predator management (eliminating crows) to support the success of the listed Piping Plover, bike trail work, Climate

Friendly Parks, and Green initiatives. Commission members have also taken the lead expressing concerns over the re-authorization of the Pilgrim Nuclear Power Plant, Plymouth, MA. This aging plant, with the same design as the Fukushima Plant, Japan, is only 20 miles down-wind across Cape Cod Bay. However, there currently is no requirement for the plant owners to be concerned with evacuation plans or emergency plans for Cape residents or the millions of seasonal visitors. A serious accident will have a direct affect upon the millions of park and Cape visitors as well as the resources within the 44,000 acre National Seashore boundary.

# 20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

Membership is composed of one member from each of the six towns within the Seashore, two from the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, one from Barnstable County, and one member appointed at the discretion of the Secretary of the Interior. The most recent round of replacement nominations includes alternates for many of the represented bodies. Since many of the members are working people, having alternates makes a quorum at any given meeting more likely.

# 20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

The goal of the Commission is to meet every other month outside of the summer months. The interests of respective constituencies are well represented and their relevance in promoting good relations within the Seashore and the community cannot be overstated. Continuation of the Commission is considered a key administrative tool as Cape Cod National Seashore is located within six already-established towns. This

# 20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

As described in P.L. 87-126, the purpose of the Commission is to consult with the Secretary of the Interior, or the Secretary's designee, with respect to matters relating to the development of the Cape Cod National Seashore, and with respect to carrying out the provisions of the Act establishing the Seashore. Additionally, the advice of the Commission shall be sought before the issuance of permits for industrial or commercial use of property within the Seashore, and before the establishment of any public use area for recreational activity within the Seashore, and any proposed action which would create a substantive change in natural conditions or in existing structures within the Seashore. The purpose and need have not changed.

# **20e.** Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings? All meetings are open.

#### 21. Remarks

(1) EXPECTED CHARTER RENEWAL DATE -The FACA section 14(b) biennial rechartering
requirement has been waived for this committee
pursuant to P.L. 102-525, Oct. 26, 1992. (2) Re
MEMBERSHIP -- In accordance with P.L. 102-525
and the Commission's charter, members may
continue to serve after the expiration of their terms
until successors are appointed. Note that all
meetings have a simple information component
consisting of the superintendent's report in which
more or less detailed updates are given by park
staff on matters in which the represented bodies
have had or do have an interest. Even absent a

formal agenda action item, this steady and reliable exchange of information is very helpful to the overall transparency of park management decisions. All meetings are open to the public and the media. Commission was extended by Title VII, Subtitle E, Section 7402 of Public Law 111-11, March 30, 2009; the commission's new termination date was September 26, 2018. The Commission was reestablished by Division DD, Title VI, Subtitle B, section 613 of Public Law 117-328, the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2023. The committee's new termination date is September 26, 2029.

## **Designated Federal Officer**

Jennifer Flynn Superintendent, Cape Cod National Seashore

Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
Areson, Susan	09/20/2023	09/20/2025	Retired	Representative Member
Avellar, Mary Jo	09/20/2023	09/20/2025	Resident	Representative Member
Crary, David	09/20/2023	09/20/2025	Resident	Representative Member
Delaney, Richard	09/20/2023	09/20/2025	Founder and Executive Director, Cape Cod Climate Change Collaborative	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Dougherty, Thomas	09/20/2023	09/20/2025	Resident	Representative Member
Green, Lilli-Ann	09/20/2023	09/20/2025	Resident	Representative Member
Lyons, Sheila	09/20/2023	09/20/2025	Resident	Representative Member
Spaulding, Lawrence	09/20/2023	09/20/2025	Retired	Representative Member

**Number of Committee Members Listed: 8** 

## **Narrative Description**

The Commission serves as a link between Cape Cod National Seashore, six Lower Cape towns, Barnstable County, and the Commonwealth of Massachusetts on issues of mutual interest. In

many cases, park goals on such matters as ground water utilization and protection, wetland restoration, T & E species protection, and protection, off-road vehicle use, and visitor services cannot be accomplished without cooperation among multiple parties. At Commission meetings many such issues are explored. Unlike operational meetings, the FACA designation of the Commission allows for substantive discussion on policy issues, which are critical to the accomplishment of park goals.

# What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

	Checked if
	Applies
Improvements to health or safety	
Trust in government	✓
Major policy changes	
Advance in scientific research	
Effective grant making	✓
Improved service delivery	
Increased customer satisfaction	✓
Implementation of laws or regulatory	:/
requirements	<b>X</b> .
Other	
Outcome Comments	
Not Applicable	
What are the cost savings associated with	this committee?
	Checked if Applies
None	
Unable to Determine	✓
Under \$100,000	
\$100,000 - \$500,000	
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	
\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000	
\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000	

Over \$10,000,000

**Cost Savings Other** 

## **Cost Savings Comments**

NA

What is the approximate <u>Number</u> of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?

14

#### **Number of Recommendations Comments**

No recommendations were made in FY 23. The Commission was re-established on April 26, 2023 and new members were appointed on September 20, 2023 but no meetings occurred during the Fiscal Year.

What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations that have been or will be <u>Fully</u> implemented by the agency?

90%

## % of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

The vast majority of recommendations are implemented as received. Typically, the Commission is thoughtful in considering agency policy constraints and funding limitations prior to formalizing its recommendations and gives only advice that the park can legally or practically follow.

What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations that have been or will be <u>Partially</u> implemented by the agency?

10%

# % of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

A small percentage of recommendations are modified by the agency prior to implementation. This occurs rarely and usually only when new information that the Commission did not have the opportunity to consider comes to the agency's attention. Even in such limited cases, when some details may change after the recommendation has been made; the Commission recommendation plays a significant factor in the final outcome.

Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken	to
implement recommendations or advice offered?	

Yes 🗸	No 🗔	Not Applicable
163	140	INUL Applicable

### **Agency Feedback Comments**

The superintendent reports on all actions of interest to the Commission in oral reports at each commission meeting. The superintendent or his assistant also utilizes e-mail for update information between meetings. This includes matters on which the Commission has rendered advice.

# What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?

	Checked if Applies
Reorganized Priorities	<b>√</b>
Reallocated resources	✓
Issued new regulation	<b>√</b>
Proposed legislation	
Approved grants or other payments	
Other	✓

#### **Action Comments**

Based on commission advice, the park has continued to issue Commercial Certificates of Suspension from Condemnation to certain pre-existing businesses located in the park and revised its process for distributing off-road vehicle permits to the public, to much positive feedback.

## Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?

Yes

What is the estimated <a href="Number">Number</a> of grants reviewed for approval 0
What is the estimated <a href="Number">Number</a> of grants recommended for approval
What is the estimated <a href="Dollar Value">Dollar Value</a> of grants recommended for approval
\$0

#### **Grant Review Comments**

The Commission did not meet in FY 23.

## How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

	Cnecked if Applies
Contact DFO	✓
Online Agency Web Site	✓
Online Committee Web Site	✓
Online GSA FACA Web Site	✓

Publications	
Other	

## **Access Comments**

The park has a link on its website for access to basic information about the Commission, its membership, and its role. Minutes of meeting can be obtained by accessing through the seashore's WEB site at http://www.nps.gov/caco/parkmgmt/advisory-commission.htm. Minutes are uploaded once they are approved by the Commission.