## 2025 Current Fiscal Year Report: Scientific and Technical Review Board on Biomedical and Behavioral Research Facilities

Report Run Date: 05/02/2025 08:48:48 PM

1. Department or Agency					2. Fiscal Year	
Department of Health and Human Services					2025	
3. Committee or S	ittee				3b. GSA Committee No.	
Scientific and Tech Biomedical and Be					1908	
4. Is this New During 5. Current 6.				pected	7. I	Expected
Fiscal Year?	Charte	er F	Rene	wal Date	Te	rm Date
No	06/01/	2024 (	06/01	/2026		
8a. Was Termina FiscalYear?	ted During	8b. Sj Termi Autho	inatio			Actual n Date
No						
9. Agency Recommendation for Next FiscalYear		10a. Legislation Req to Terminate?			-	islation ding?
Continue		Not Applicable		Not Applicable		
11. Establishmen	t Authority	y Statu	utory	(Congress	s Cre	ated)
12. Specific Esta Authority	blishment	13. Effect Date	tive	14. Commite Type	e 14 Pr	c. esidential?
402(b)(16), 404l, a 282(b)(16), 283K a		06/10/	/1993	Continuin	g No	)
15. Description o	f Committe	<b>ee</b> Gra	ant R	eview Con	nmitt	ee
Number of the Reports	No Reports his FiscalYo					
17a. 0 17b. Closed 0 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total 0 Open						
Meetings and Dates						
No Meetings						

Current Next

	FY	FY
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members	\$0.0	00\$0.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$0.0	00\$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$0.0	00\$0.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$0.0	00\$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$0.0	00\$0.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$0.0	00\$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$0.0	00\$0.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$0.0	00\$0.00
18c. Other(rents,user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	\$0.0	00\$0.00
18d. Total	\$0.0	00\$0.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)	0.0	00.00

## 20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

Section 492 of the PHS Act states that The Secretary...shall by regulation require appropriate technical and scientific peer review of -- (A) applications...; and (B) biomedical and behavioral research and development contracts.... This committee is composed of recognized biomedical and/or behavioral research and research facilities authorities who represent the forefront of research and technical knowledge and who provide first-level merit review of highly scientific and technical research grant applications in the fields of biomedical and behavioral research. The

committee reviewed 80 grants requesting \$570,127,195.00

## 20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

The authorized members of this Board are collectively (a) are experienced in the planning, construction, financing, and administration of entities that conduct biomedical or behavioral research sciences; (b) are knowledgeable in making determinations of the need of entities for biomedical or behavioral research facilities, including such facilities for the dentistry, nursing, pharmacy, and allied health professions; (c) are knowledgeable in evaluating the relative priorities for applications for grants under section 404I(a) of the PHS Act in view of the overall research needs of the United States, and (d) are experienced with emerging centers of excellence, as described in section 404I(c)(2) of the PHS Act.

## 20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

The Scientific and Technical Review Board on Biomedical and Behavioral Research Facilities held 2 meetings during this reporting period -03/28/2024 and 06/24/2024.

## 20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

This Board is composed of recognized biomedical and/or behavioral research and research facilities authorities who represent the forefront of research and technical knowledge and who provide first-level merit review of highly scientific and technical research grant applications. These evaluations and recommendations cannot be obtained from other sources because the specialized, complex nature of the applications requires a unique balance and breadth of expertise not available on the NIH staff or from other established sources.

## 20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

The meeting was closed to the public for the review of grant applications and/or contract proposals. Sections 552b(c)(4) and 552(c)(6) of the Government in the Sunshine Act permit the closing of meetings where discussion could reveal confidential trade secrets or commercial property such as patentable material and personal information, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

#### 21. Remarks

Reports: This committee did not produce any public reports during the fiscal year. Dr. Taisha Griffith's term ended on 4/21/2023; however, this early separation was not recorded in the FY 2023 report. Decision maker changed from Robert Eisinger to Tara Schwetz. URL: This committee does not have a website. In general, initial/integrated review group (IRG) members serve up to six years, which is documented in the Members list. The permanent membership of this IRG may be supplemented by temporary members who are individuals recruited based on expertise needed for each meeting. Some temporary members may attend one meeting only while others may attend multiple meetings. Instead of appointment start and end dates, each meeting date on which the temporary member served is identified on the Members list. Meeting rosters, including members' affiliations and zip codes are available online at https://public.era.nih.gov/pubroster/rosterIndex.era.

## **Designated Federal Officer**

### Jonathan Ivins Branch Chief

oonaanan			, i	
Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
			Associate	Peer Review
Baker, Jack	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Laboratory	Consultant
Banor, Baon	01/01/2021	00,00,2020	Planner, HOK, INC	
				Member
			Principal,	Peer Review
Bugyis,	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Laboratory	Consultant
Paulina	01/01/2022	00/00/2020	Research and	Member
			Design, LLC	Member
				Peer Review
Chatterjee,	07/31/2023	06/30/2027	Director, Millipore	Consultant
Anirban	07/31/2023	00/30/2027	Corporation	
				Member
Dickson,			Managing	Peer Review
Ellen	08/03/2023	06/30/2027	Principal, Bailey	Consultant
Clien			Edward	Member
			Professor,	Peer Review
Eisen. Judith	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	University of	Consultant
Lisen, oddim	01/01/2022	00/00/2020	Oregon	Member
			8	Member
			Principal Research	
			Scientist and	Peer Review
Erdman,	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Assistant Director,	Consultant
Susan	07/01/2022	00/30/2020	Massachusetts	
			Institute of	Member
			Technology	
			Associate Vice	
				Peer Review
Hendrickson,	00/07/0000	00/00/0007	Chancellor,	
Peter	08/07/2023	06/30/2027	University of	Consultant
			California, Los	Member
			Angeles	
			Director of Animal	
laakaan			Welfare and	Peer Review
Jackson,	07/31/2023	06/30/2027	Research Integrity,	Consultant
Tanise			Florida A&M	Member
			University	
			Associate Dean,	
			Research	Peer Review
Langan,	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Resources, Animal	Consultant
George			Resource Center,	Member
			University of	monibol
			Chicago	
			Professor, Yale	Peer Review
Macy, James	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	University School	Consultant
			of Medicine	Member
Reed,	07/04/005	00/00/000-	Associate Director,	
Danielle	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Monell Chemical	Consultant
			Senses Center	Member

Number of Committee Members Listed: 11

#### Narrative Description

NIH's mission is to seek fundamental knowledge about the nature and behavior of living systems and the application of that knowledge to enhance health, lengthen life, and reduce illness and disability. NIH works toward that mission by supporting the research of non-Federal scientists in universities, medical schools, hospitals, and research institutions throughout the country and abroad. Section 492 of the PHS Act states that The Secretary...shall by regulation require appropriate technical and scientific peer review of -- (A) applications; and (B) biomedical and behavioral research and development contracts...

# What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

Checked if Applies Improvements to health or safety √ Trust in government Major policy changes Advance in scientific research √ √ Effective grant making Improved service delivery ✓ ~ Increased customer satisfaction Implementation of laws or regulatory requirements Other

#### **Outcome Comments**

NA

#### What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

	Checked if Applies
None	
Unable to Determine	$\checkmark$
Under \$100,000	
\$100,000 - \$500,000	
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	
\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000	

\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000 Over \$10,000,000 Cost Savings Other

#### **Cost Savings Comments**

NIH supported basic and clinical research accomplishments often take many years to unfold into new diagnostic tests and new ways to treat and prevent diseases.

# What is the approximate <u>Number</u> of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?

1,669

Number of Recommendations Comments Grant Review

What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations that have been or will be Fully implemented by the agency?

0%

#### % of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

NIH Peer Review Committees are involved in the initial review of research grant applications. The NIH dual peer review system is mandated by statute in accordance with section 492 of the Public Health Service Act. The charge to this committee is to determine scientific and technical merit of the individual grants or contracts. These recommendations are forwarded to Federal officials who generally accept the committee's recommendations and favorable applications are then forwarded for the second level of review performed by Institute and Center (IC) National Advisory Councils or Boards. Only applications that are favorably recommended by both the initial peer review committee and the Advisory Council may be recommended for funding.

What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations that have been or will be <u>Partially</u> implemented by the agency? 0%

#### % of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

NIH Peer Review Committees are involved in the initial review of research grant applications. The NIH dual peer review system is mandated by statute in accordance with section 492 of the Public Health Service Act. The charge to this committee is to determine scientific and technical merit of the individual grants or contracts. These recommendations are forwarded to Federal officials who generally accept the committee's recommendations and favorable applications are then forwarded for the second level of review performed by Institute and Center (IC) National Advisory Councils or Boards. Only applications that are favorably recommended by both the initial peer review committee and the Advisory Council may be recommended for funding.

# Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered?

Yes 🗹 No 🗌 Not Applicable 🗌

#### Agency Feedback Comments

Information resulting from closed initial peer review meetings is subject to the Freedom of Information Act. The public can view information on research projects funded by NIH on the RePORT (Research Portfolio Online Reporting Tool) website located at http://report.nih.gov.

# What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?

	Checked if Applies
Reorganized Priorities	×
Reallocated resources	$\checkmark$
Issued new regulation	
Proposed legislation	
Approved grants or other payments	$\checkmark$
Other	

#### **Action Comments**

NA

#### Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants? Yes

 What is the estimated Number of grants reviewed for approval
 80

 What is the estimated Number of grants recommended for approval
 80

 What is the estimated Dollar Value of grants recommended for approval
 80

 Solution (Section 1)
 80

 What is the estimated Dollar Value of grants recommended for approval
 80

#### **Grant Review Comments**

#### How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

Checked if Applies

Contact DFO	$\checkmark$
Online Agency Web Site	$\checkmark$
Online Committee Web Site	
Online GSA FACA Web Site	$\checkmark$
Publications	
Other	$\checkmark$

#### **Access Comments**

Contact IC Committee Management Officer, Ms. Sharon Sealey, at 301-435-1142.

NA