

2020 Current Fiscal Year Report: Carrizo Plain National Monument Advisory Committee

Report Run Date: 05/21/2025 04:32:41 AM

1. Department or Agency

Department of the Interior

2. Fiscal Year

2020

3. Committee or Subcommittee

Carrizo Plain National Monument
Advisory Committee

3b. GSA

Committee No.

13876

4. Is this New Fiscal Year?

No

5. Current Charter

07/16/2018

6. Expected Renewal Date

07/16/2020

7. Expected Term Date

8a. Was

Terminated
During
FiscalYear?

Yes

8b. Specific Termination Authority

Executive Order 13875
"Evaluating and Improving the
Utility of Federal Advisory
Committees"

8c. Actual Term Date

11/01/2019

9. Agency

Recommendation
for Next
FiscalYear

Terminate

10a. Legislation Req to Terminate?

No

10b. Legislation Pending?

Not
Applicable

11. Establishment Authority

Agency Authority

12. Specific Establishment Authority

13.

Effective
Date

01/17/2001

14.

Committee
Type

Continuing

14c. Presidential?

No

15. Description of Committee

Non Scientific Program Advisory
Board

16a. Total Number of Reports

No Reports for
this FiscalYear

17a. 0 17b. Closed0 17c. Partially Closed0 Other Activities0 17d. Total0
Open

Meetings and Dates

No Meetings

	CurrentNext	
	FY	FY
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18c. Other(rents,user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	\$0.00	\$0.00
18d. Total	\$0.00	\$0.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)	0.00	0.00

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

The Carrizo Plain National Monument Advisory Committee (MAC) provided advice and developed recommendations regarding policies, programs, and long-range planning for the management and use of the National Monument. The MAC gathered and analyzed information, heard public testimony, and developed recommendations including, but

not limited to, the development and implementation of the Management Plan, establishment of resource management priorities, establishment of landscape goals and objectives, development of ecosystem approaches to management, and support of educational, cultural, recreational, interpretive and volunteer programs.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

Members included representatives of the San Luis Obispo County Board of Supervisors, the Kern County Board of Supervisors, the Carrizo Native American Advisory Committee, the BLM's Central California Resource Advisory Council, those authorized to graze livestock within the National Monument, and the public-at-large. Members had expertise through education or practical experience in matters relating to the purposes for which the Monument was established, including planning, cultural resource protection, natural resource management, and similar disciplines.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

The Monument Advisory Committee did not meet in FY2020, but the MAC typically met 2-3 times a year once new members are appointed. MAC meetings generated positive dialogue on issues concerning the Monument, local and statewide constituents, including shared conservation stewardship; recreation and public access; support for local economies and jobs; working landscapes; ongoing land use planning efforts; and energy projects. The involvement and contributions from the Advisory Committee contributed to the successful publication of the approved Carrizo Plain National Monument Resource Management Plan (RMP) in April 2010.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

The formation of this committee was required by the Secretary of the Interior after the Carrizo Plain National Monument was established by Presidential Proclamation.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

All meetings were open to the public. Notices of the meetings were published in the Federal Register, posted on the BLM California website, and distributed to local newspapers.

21. Remarks

The Carrizo Plain National Monument Advisory Committee terminated on November 1, 2019, pursuant to Executive Order 13875 "Evaluating and Improving the Utility of Federal Advisory Committees", Section 9(a)(2) - Authorized by Agency.

Designated Federal Officer

Gabe Garcia BLM Bakersfield Field Office
Manager

Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
Hillyard, Deborah	02/11/2019	11/01/2019	Pur of Monument Established	Representative Member
Kuhnle, Dale	06/28/2013	11/01/2019	Rancher	Representative Member

Number of Committee Members Listed: 2

Narrative Description

The approved Carrizo Plain National Monument Resource Management Plan (RMP) and Record of Decision was signed April 10, 2010. Input from the Monument Advisory Committee (MAC) meetings contributed to the development and successful

completion of the plan. The MAC provided a forum for public involvement as the RMP is implemented. At the June 30, 2012, meeting the BLM received scoping-level comments on the travel management plan that is being prepared. MAC members provided further input on the plan at Dec. 1, 2012, and March 15, 2014, meetings. BLM staff is using the input from the March 15, 2014, meeting to refine the travel plan.

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

	Checked if Applies
Improvements to health or safety	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Trust in government	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Major policy changes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Advance in scientific research	<input type="checkbox"/>
Effective grant making	<input type="checkbox"/>
Improved service delivery	<input type="checkbox"/>
Increased customer satisfaction	<input type="checkbox"/>
Implementation of laws or regulatory requirements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

Outcome Comments

N/A

What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

	Checked if Applies
None	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unable to Determine	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Under \$100,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
\$100,000 - \$500,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
Over \$10,000,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
Cost Savings Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

Cost Savings Comments

An in-depth analysis has not been done to determine cost savings associated with the Carrizo Plain National Monument Advisory Committee (MAC). However, the contributions of the MAC were of great benefit to the BLM.

What is the approximate Number of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?

5

Number of Recommendations Comments

No recommendations were made in FY 2020.

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Fully implemented by the agency?

100%

% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

The BLM was working with the local Native American Tribes on permit issues for the Painted Rock. The BLM included cumulative impacts from the surrounding area in the resource management plan. The BLM conducted a public field trip to explore sub-regions of the Carrizo Plain National Monument. The Native American Advisory Committee is working with the BLM on Painted Rock access issues.

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Partially implemented by the agency?

0%

% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

N/A

Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered?

Yes ☒ No ☐ Not Applicable ☐

Agency Feedback Comments

The BLM provided feedback through informal contacts, as well as minutes, bulletins, and conversations during meetings.

What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?

Checked if Applies

- | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Reorganized Priorities | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Reallocated resources | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Issued new regulation | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Proposed legislation | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Approved grants or other payments | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Other | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

Action Comments

Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?

No

Grant Review Comments

N/A

How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

Checked if Applies

- | | |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Contact DFO | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Online Agency Web Site | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Online Committee Web Site | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Online GSA FACA Web Site | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Publications | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Other | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Access Comments

N/A