

2022 Current Fiscal Year Report: Eastern Washington Resource Advisory Council

Report Run Date: 02/06/2023 11:47:34 PM

1. Department or Agency

Department of the Interior

2. Fiscal Year

2022

3. Committee or Subcommittee

Eastern Washington Resource Advisory Council

3b. GSA

Committee No.

2068

4. Is this New During Fiscal Year?

No

5. Current Charter

11/08/2021

6. Expected Renewal Date

11/08/2023

7. Expected Term Date

8a. Was Terminated During Fiscal Year?

No

8b. Specific Termination Authority

8c. Actual Term Date

9. Agency Recommendation for Next Fiscal Year

Continue

10a. Legislation Req to Terminate?

Not Applicable

10b. Legislation Pending?

Not Applicable

11. Establishment Authority

Statutory (Congress Created)

12. Specific Establishment Authority

Federal Land Policy and Management Act, Sec. 309

13. Effective Date

10/21/1976

14. Committee Type

Continuing

14c. Presidential?

No

15. Description of Committee

Non Scientific Program Advisory Board

16a. Total Number of Reports

No Reports for this Fiscal Year

17a. Open

0

17b. Closed

0

17c. Partially Closed

0

Other Activities

0

17d. Total

0

Meetings and Dates

No Meetings

| | Current FY | Next FY |
|---|-----------------------|----------------|
| 18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| 18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| 18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff | \$200.00 | \$2,500.00 |
| 18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| 18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| 18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| 18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| 18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| 18c. Other(rents,user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.) | \$0.00 | \$500.00 |
| 18d. Total | \$200.00 | \$3,000.00 |
| 19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE) | 0.01 | 0.10 |

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

The District is committed to fully engaging the RAC and boosting the performance to ensure effectiveness. The current Resource Management Plan Amendment requires RAC input and review of all plan alternatives.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

This RAC is composed of 15 members, representing 3 categories of balanced interests: commodity, non-commodity, and local area

interest. Each category has 5 members.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

The RAC did not have a quorum or meet in FY2021. The RAC has been engaged and highly interested in the RMP as well as public access to Juniper Dunes which could allow for future development.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

The RAC provides valuable input to the Spokane District managers on a host of important land management issues. The current issue is the Juniper Dunes access road and development. The varied interests and backgrounds of the RAC members are invaluable to us.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

N/A

21. Remarks

The RAC did not meet in FY 2022.

Designated Federal Officer

Kurt Pindel Spokane District Manager

| Committee Members | Start | End | Occupation | Member Designation |
|--------------------------|--------------|------------|----------------------|---------------------------|
| Carmichael, Ken | 05/21/2019 | 05/21/2022 | Backcountry Horsemen | Representative Member |
| Hildesheim, Marc | 11/03/2020 | 11/03/2023 | Retired | Representative Member |
| Hubbard, Chase | 05/21/2019 | 05/21/2022 | Rancher | Representative Member |
| Mellick, Paul | 05/21/2019 | 05/21/2022 | Backcountry Horsemen | Representative Member |
| Parrish, Bradely | 09/10/2020 | 09/10/2023 | Outdoor Recreation | Representative Member |
| Thompson, John | 05/21/2019 | 05/21/2022 | Midnite Mudders OHV | Representative Member |
| Williamson, Maurice | 09/10/2020 | 09/10/2023 | Commercial Timber | Representative Member |

Number of Committee Members Listed: 7

Narrative Description

The Eastern Washington Resource Advisory Council helps to ensure the Spokane District sustains the health, diversity and productivity of our lands by providing recommendations that represent the varied interests and uses of public land in central and eastern Washington.

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

| | Checked if Applies |
|---|-------------------------------------|
| Improvements to health or safety | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Trust in government | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Major policy changes | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Advance in scientific research | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Effective grant making | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Improved service delivery | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Increased customer satisfaction | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Implementation of laws or regulatory requirements | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Other | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Outcome Comments

N/A

What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

| | Checked if Applies |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| None | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Unable to Determine | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Under \$100,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| \$100,000 - \$500,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| \$500,001 - \$1,000,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| \$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| \$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Over \$10,000,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Cost Savings Other



Cost Savings Comments

An in-depth analysis has not been done to determine cost savings associated with the RAC. However, the contributions of the RAC are of great benefit to the BLM.

What is the approximate Number of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?

34

Number of Recommendations Comments

The RAC did not meet or submit recommendations in FY2022.

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Fully implemented by the agency?

35%

% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

The RAC recommendations have great merit, but cannot always be implemented fully due to legal or policy constraints. Recommendations on resource management plans are sometimes included in the analysis.

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Partially implemented by the agency?

65%

% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

The value of these RAC recommendations is such that the agency will try to implement as much of a recommendation as is realistically and legally possible.

Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered?

Yes No Not Applicable

Agency Feedback Comments

During meetings, the BLM briefs the RAC on how the Agency has implemented their recommendations.

What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?

Checked if Applies

- Reorganized Priorities
- Reallocated resources
- Issued new regulation
- Proposed legislation
- Approved grants or other payments
- Other

Action Comments

N/A

Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?

No

Grant Review Comments

N/A

How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

Checked if Applies

- Contact DFO
- Online Agency Web Site
- Online Committee Web Site
- Online GSA FACA Web Site
- Publications
- Other

Access Comments

RAC documentation is available at BLM district offices and on the BLM website.