2019 Current Fiscal Year Report: OJP Science Advisory Board

Report Run Date: 04/19/2024 05:10:18 PM

1. Department or Agency 2. Fiscal Year

Department of Justice 2019

3. Committee or Subcommittee 3b. GSA Committee No.

OJP Science Advisory Board 73674

4. Is this New During 5. Current 6. Expected 7. Expected Fiscal Year? Charter Renewal Date Term Date No 12/07/2016 12/07/2018 12/07/2018

8a. Was Terminated During 8b. Specific Termination

Termination Term Date

Authority

8c. Actual

Yes Charter 12/07/2018

9. Agency 10b.

Recommendation for Next Req to Terminate?

| Continue of the c

Terminate No Not Applicable

11. Establishment Authority Agency Authority

12. Specific Establishment 13. 14. 14c. Effective Committee

Authority Date Type Presidential?

Agency Decision

documentation dtd January 06/10/1977 Continuing No 2010

15. Description of Committee Scientific Technical Program

Advisory Board

16a. Total

No Reports for this FiscalYear

Reports

17a.

Open 0 17b. Closed 0 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total 0

Jpen

Meetings and Dates

No Meetings

Current Next

FY FY

18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00\$0.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$0.00\$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$0.00\$0.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$0.00\$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00\$0.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$0.00\$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$0.00\$0.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$0.00\$0.00
18c. Other(rents,user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	\$0.00\$0.00
18d. Total	\$0.00\$0.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)	0.00 0.00

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

Through meeting at least two times each year and through multiple consultations with agency leadership and participation in OJP meetings and conferences.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

The composition of the OJP SAB is determined through nominations from the leadership in the Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Department of Justice. Final decisions on appointments are made by the Attorney General. FAC members are drawn from the knowledge base of OJP leadership, with emphasis given to individuals with a demonstrated commitment to scientific integrity

in criminal and juvenile justice research and evaluation. SAB members are selected from the following areas of expertise: criminology, criminal justice, juvenile justice, tribal justice, statistics, economics, sociology, political science, and other relevant behavioral sciences. The selection of SAB members achieves a balance of backgrounds, experiences, viewpoints, and expertise in both scientific areas as well as criminal and juvenile justice professionals. This distribution ensures that multiple views are represented in each of the areas of expertise. The SAB designates the FAC members as special government employees with the purpose of each member providing advice and guidance that represents the views of practioners, experts, and advocates in each of the respective areas of expertise from which they are chosen.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

Estimated Number of Meetings per Year - two in-person meetings of the SAB (more frequent subcommittee meetings and executive committee meetings by phone).

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

To support DOJ efforts to integrate data-driven approaches to reduce crime. To provide the office of the Assistant Attorney General (AAG) of the Office of Justice Programs (OJP) with valuable advice in the areas of social science and statistics for the purpose of enhancing the overall impact and performance of its programs and activities in the areas of criminal and juvenile justice. The Board helps develop long-range plans, advises on program development, and provides guidance to assist in OJP's adherence to the highest levels of

scientific rigor as appropriate. The Board provides an important base of contact with the criminal justice academic and practitioner communities.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

21. Remarks

Committee terminated in response to EO 13875

Designated Federal Officer

Katherine Darke Schmitt Senior Policy Advisor, Office of the Assistant Attorney General, Office of Justice Programs

Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
Allen, Nicole	04/17/2015	04/17/2019	University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign	Representative Member
Braga, Anthony	04/17/2015	04/17/2019	Rutgers University	Representative Member
Bueermann, Jim	04/17/2015	04/17/2019	Police Foundation	Representative Member
Burton, Sue	04/17/2015	04/17/2019	Florida Statistical Analysis Center	Representative Member
Butts, Jeffrey	04/17/2015	04/17/2019	John Jay College of Criminal JusticeCity University of New York	Representative Member
Chen, Elsa	04/17/2015	04/17/2019	Santa Clara University	Representative Member
Creuzot, John	12/19/2016	12/06/2019	Attorney	Representative Member
Crutchfield, Robert	04/17/2015	04/17/2019	University of Washington - Seattle	Representative Member
Hall, Ebony	12/19/2016	12/07/2019	Assistant Professor, Tarleton University	Representative Member
Harris, Alexes	04/17/2015	04/17/2019	University of Washington - Seattle	Representative Member
Herek, Gregory	04/17/2015	04/17/2019	University of California- Davis	Representative Member
LaFree, Gary	04/17/2015	04/17/2019	University of Maryland - College Park	Representative Member

Lee, Patricia	12/19/2016	12/06/2019	Managing Attorney	Representative Member
Lepkowski, James	11/23/2010	03/01/2019	Institute for Social Research, University of Michigan	Representative Member
Loftin, Colin	11/23/2010	03/01/2019	Professor, School of Criminal Justice	Representative Member
Mitchell, Ojmarrh	04/17/2015	04/17/2019	University of South Florida	Representative Member
Mulvey, Edward	11/23/2010	03/01/2019	University of Pittsburgh	Representative Member
Myers, Samuel	04/17/2015	04/17/2019	University of Minnesota - Minneapolis	Representative Member
Piquero, Alex	04/17/2015	04/17/2019	University of Texas at Dallas	Representative Member
Ratcliffe, Jerry	04/17/2015	04/17/2019	Center for Security and Crime Science at Temple University	Representative Member
Rosenfeld, Richard	11/23/2010	03/01/2019	Professor of Social Sciences	Representative Member
Saunders, Ben	04/17/2015	04/17/2019	Medical University of South Carolina	Representative Member
Valdez, Avelardo	04/17/2015	04/17/2019	University of Southern California	Representative Member
Warner, Bernie	12/19/2016	12/06/2019	Corrections	Representative Member
Weisburd, David	11/23/2010	03/01/2019	George Mason University	Representative Member

Number of Committee Members Listed: 25

Narrative Description

The objectives and scope of activity of the Board are to provide the office of the Assistant Attorney General (AAG), Office of Justice Programs (OJP) with valuable advice in the areas of social science and statistics for the purpose of enhancing the overall impact and performance of its programs and activities in criminal and juvenile justice. The Board will provide input into developing long-range plans, advise on program development, and provide guidance to ensure adherence to the highest levels of scientific rigor, as appropriate. The Board will provide an important base of contact with the criminal justice academic and practitioner communities.

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

	Checked if
	Applies
Improvements to health or safety	
Trust in government	×
Major policy changes	
Advance in scientific research	✓
Effective grant making	✓
Improved service delivery	X
Increased customer satisfaction	✓
Implementation of laws or regulatory	
requirements	
Other	
Outcome Comments	
Not Applicable	
What are the cost savings associated with th	is committee?
	Checked if Applies
None	✓
Unable to Determine	
Under \$100,000	
\$100,000 - \$500,000	
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	
\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000	
\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000	
Over \$10,000,000	
Cost Savings Other	
Cost Savings Comments	
Not Applicable	
11	
What is the approximate Number of recomme	endations produced by this committee
for the life of the committee?	

Number of Recommendations Comments

16

The recommendations are detailed in the meeting summary posted at: http://ojp.gov/sab.htm.

What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these will be <u>Fully</u> implemented by the agency?	e recommendations that have been or
% of Recommendations <u>Fully</u> Implemented (No recommendations in FY2018	Comments
What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these will be <u>Partially</u> implemented by the agency?	
% of Recommendations <u>Partially</u> Implemented Agency leadership will review the recommendation can help OJP further its mission.	
Does the agency provide the committee with implement recommendations or advice offer Yes □ No □ Not Applicable ✓	5 5
Agency Feedback Comments Agency leadership will review the recommendation can help OJP further its mission.	tions to determine whether and how they
What other actions has the agency taken as	a result of the committee's advice or
recommendation?	
	Checked if Applies
Reorganized Priorities	
Reallocated resources	
Issued new regulation	
Proposed legislation	
Approved grants or other payments	
Other	
Action Comments	
Not Applicable	

Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?

No

Grant Review Comments

Not Applicable

How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

	Checked if Applies
Contact DFO	✓
Online Agency Web Site	✓
Online Committee Web Site	
Online GSA FACA Web Site	✓
Publications	
Other	

Access Comments

http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/sab.htm