## 2024 Current Fiscal Year Report: Technical Pipeline Safety Standards Committee

Report Run Date: 04/20/2024 10:25:22 AM

1. Department or Agency 2. Fiscal Year

Department of Transportation 2024

3b. GSA

703

3. Committee or Subcommittee

Committee No.

Committee N

**Technical Pipeline Safety Standards** 

Committee

4. Is this New During 5. Current6. Expected7. ExpectedFiscal Year?CharterRenewal DateTerm DateNo10/23/202010/23/202210/21/2022

8a. Was Terminated During 8b. Specific Termination Authority 8c. Actual Term Date

No N/A

9. Agency 10b.

Recommendation for Next Req to Terminate?

FiscalYear Legislation Pending?

Continue Not Applicable Not Applicable

**11. Establishment Authority** Statutory (Congress Created)

13. 14. 14c.

Section 60115 of Title 49

United States Code 08/12/1968 Continuing No

**15. Description of Committee** Scientific Technical Program

Advisory Board

16a. Total

No Reports for this FiscalYear

Reports

17a. 0 17b. Closed 0 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total 0 Open

**Meetings and Dates** 

No Meetings

	<b>Current Next</b>		
	FY	FY	
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to	<b>ድ</b> ስ (	00 \$0.00	`
Non-Federal Members	φυ.(	.υφυ.υc	J
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to	<b>ድ</b> ስ (	00 \$0.00	<b>1</b>
Federal Members	φυ.(	<i>ο</i> φυ.υς	J
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to	<b>ድ</b> ስ (	00 \$0.00	<b>1</b>
Federal Staff	φυ.(	<i>ο</i> φυ.υς	,
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to	ድብ (	00 \$0.00	<b>1</b>
Non-Member Consultants	ψ0.0	λο ψο.ος	,
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to	\$0.0	00 \$0.00	<b>1</b>
Non-Federal Members	ψ0.0	λο ψο.ος	,
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to	ድስ (	00 \$0.00	<b>1</b>
Federal Members	ψ0.0	λο ψο.ος	,
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to	ድስ (	00 \$0.00	<b>1</b>
Federal Staff	ψ0.0	λο ψο.ος	,
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to	ድስ (	00 \$0.00	<b>1</b>
Non-member Consultants	ψ0.0	λο ψο.ος	,
18c. Other(rents,user charges,	ድስ (	00 \$0.00	<b>1</b>
graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	ψ0.0	λο ψο.ος	,
18d. Total	\$0.0	00\$0.00	)
19. Federal Staff Support Years	0.0	0.00	<b>1</b>
(FTE)	0.0	JU U.UC	J

## 20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

The committee reviews each proposal to determine the technical feasibility, cost effectiveness, reasonableness, and practicability of each proposal. The committee also reviews matters relating to risk assessment. The members provide valuable technical knowledge and practical experience to supplement staff resources at relatively low cost. Since it is composed of government, industry, and public members, the committee serves as a unique forum for give and take, facilitating discussion of complex and often controversial issues. The balance of views on the

Committee aids the Office of Pipeline Safety in evaluating conflicting demands placed on us by NTSB, GAO, Congress, and other external stakeholders.

## 20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

The membership of the Committee represents a unique mix of government, industry and public members. This balance of views reflects varying interests in pipeline safety. The Committee provides a valuable, independent base of technical knowledge and practical experience.

## 20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

The Committee generally meets at least twice each calendar year.

## 20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

The Committee brings together experts with technical knowledge and practical experience who represent all constituent groups (government, industry, public) having an interest in pipeline safety. Frank and open discussion of proposed gas pipeline safety standards by these experts is both economical and productive. The result is a stronger pipeline safety program. No other readily available source of similar expertise exists within government or industry.

# 20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

#### 21. Remarks

#### **Designated Federal Officer**

Alan K. Mayberry Associate Administrator for Pipeline Safety, PHMSA

Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
Ariaratnam, Samuel	03/16/2022	03/16/2025	Professor and Beavers-Ames Chair in Heavy Construction, Arizona State University	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Dewar, Alex	03/16/2022	03/16/2025	Senior Director Center for Energy Impact Boston Consulting Group	Representative Member
Gilbert, William (Chad)	03/16/2022	03/16/2025	United Association International Representative Pipeline and Gas Distribution Department	Representative Member
Longan, Sara	01/07/2021	01/07/2024	Executive Director, North Slope Science Initiative, BLM	Representative Member
Murphy, Erin	03/16/2022	03/16/2025	Senior Attorney, Energy Markets and Utility Regulation, Environmental Defense Fund	Representative Member
Ravikumar, Arvind	03/16/2022	03/16/2025	Associate Professor Department of Petroleum and Geosystems Engineering, University of Texas at Austin	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member

**Number of Committee Members Listed: 6** 

#### **Narrative Description**

The committee considers each proposed pipeline safety rule to assure technical feasibility, reasonableness, cost-effectiveness and practicability of proposed standards. The members also review and evaluate each associated cost-benefit analysis and risk assessment. This fiscal year GPAC did not meet.

## What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

	Checked if	
	Applies	
Improvements to health or safety		✓
Trust in government		✓
Major policy changes		✓
Advance in scientific research		✓
Effective grant making		
Improved service delivery		✓
Increased customer satisfaction		✓
Implementation of laws or regulatory		/
requirements		: <b>Y</b> .:
Other		

#### **Outcome Comments**

During this reporting period, the committee did not have any meetings.

#### What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

	Checked if Applies
None	¥.
Unable to Determine	
Under \$100,000	
\$100,000 - \$500,000	
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	
\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000	
\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000	
Over \$10,000,000	
Cost Savings Other	

### **Cost Savings Comments**

None

What is the approximate <u>Number</u> of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?

<b>Number of Recommendations Comment</b>	s
PHMSA uses one recommendation per rule	e; however, there are several
recommendations within a proposed rule; the	nerefore, the estimate would be 41 for 41
proposed rules.	
What is the approximate Percentage of twill be Fully implemented by the agency 92%	hese recommendations that have been or ?
% of Recommendations Fully Implement Not Applicable	ed Comments
What is the approximate Percentage of twill be Partially implemented by the ager 0%	hese recommendations that have been or ncy?
% of Recommendations Partially Implement Not Applicable	nented Comments
Does the agency provide the committee	with feedback regarding actions taken to
implement recommendations or advice of	
Yes No Not Applicable	
Agency Feedback Comments	
	all of PHMSA's proposed rules applicable to
· ·	e GPAC did not meet. During FY23, the GPAC
What other actions has the agency taker	n as a result of the committee's advice or
recommendation?	
	Checked if Applies
Reorganized Priorities	<b>.</b>
Reallocated resources	
Issued new regulation	✓
Proposed legislation	
Approved grants or other payments	

#### **Action Comments**

Other

N/A

Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?	
<b>Grant Review Comments</b> NA	
How is access provided to the information	tion for the Committee's documentation?
	Checked if Applies
Contact DFO	
Online Agency Web Site	<b>✓</b>
Online Committee Web Site	<b>✓</b>
Online GSA FACA Web Site	·
Publications	
Other	
Access Comments	