

## 2019 Current Fiscal Year Report: John Day-Snake Resource Advisory Council

Report Run Date: 06/26/2019 08:20:10 AM

<b>1. Department or Agency</b>		<b>2. Fiscal Year</b>	
Department of the Interior		2019	
<b>3. Committee or Subcommittee</b>		<b>3b. GSA Committee No.</b>	
John Day-Snake Resource Advisory Council		2066	
<b>4. Is this New During Fiscal Year?</b>	<b>5. Current Charter</b>	<b>6. Expected Renewal Date</b>	<b>7. Expected Term Date</b>
No	03/05/2018	03/05/2020	
<b>8a. Was Terminated During FiscalYear?</b>	<b>8b. Specific Termination Authority</b>	<b>8c. Actual Term Date</b>	
No			
<b>9. Agency Recommendation for Next FiscalYear</b>	<b>10a. Legislation Req to Terminate?</b>	<b>10b. Legislation Pending?</b>	
Continue	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	
<b>11. Establishment Authority</b> Statutory (Congress Created)			
<b>12. Specific Establishment Authority</b>	<b>13. Effective Date</b>	<b>14. Committee Type</b>	<b>14c. Presidential?</b>
Federal Land Policy and Management Act, Sec. 309	10/21/1976	Continuing	No
<b>15. Description of Committee</b> Non Scientific Program Advisory Board			
<b>16a. Total Number of Reports</b>	No Reports for this FiscalYear		
<b>17a. Open Meetings and Dates</b>	<b>17b. Closed</b>	<b>17c. Partially Closed</b>	<b>17d. Total</b>
No Meetings	0	0	0

	Current FY	Next FY
<b>18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members</b>	\$0.00	\$0.00
<b>18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members</b>	\$0.00	\$0.00
<b>18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff</b>	\$0.00	\$0.00
<b>18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants</b>	\$0.00	\$0.00
<b>18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members</b>	\$0.00	\$0.00
<b>18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members</b>	\$0.00	\$0.00
<b>18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff</b>	\$0.00	\$0.00
<b>18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants</b>	\$0.00	\$0.00
<b>18c. Other(rents,user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)</b>	\$0.00	\$0.00

<b>18d. Total</b>	\$0.00	\$0.00
<b>19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)</b>	0.00	0.00

**20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?**

The members of the John Day-Snake Resource Advisory Council (RAC) work collaboratively to examine or refine planning efforts and collaborative efforts between agencies within the RAC's jurisdiction. The subgroups work closely with specialists in a given subject area to inform and make recommendations to the full RAC on those specific topics. The public is invited to raise concerns or make comments on projects or attend site visits to learn about resource issues. RAC members share an array of insights on emerging issues, which helps BLM managers meet the goal of improved service and accountability.

**20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?**

The John Day-Snake Resource Advisory council is composed of 15 members distributed in a balanced fashion among the following groups and within those groups. Specific interests include: Category I includes those holding Federal grazing permits or leases, interests associated with transportation or rights-of-way, developed outdoor recreation, OHV users, or commercial recreation activities, commercial timber industry, or energy and mineral development. Category II includes nationally or regionally recognized environmental organizations, dispersed recreation activities, archaeological and historical interests, or nationally or regionally recognized wild horse and burro interest groups. Category III includes state, county, or locally elected office-holders, employee of a State agency responsible for the management of natural resources, Indian Tribes within or adjacent to the area for which the RAC is organized, are employed as academicians in natural resource management or the natural sciences, or represent the public-at-large.

**20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?**

Meetings are scheduled 3-4 times a year, barring an emergency or cancellation due to lack of quorum.

**20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?**

This group is not only sanctioned by the Federal Government, it is tasked with independently reviewing land management practices and plans, and among the balanced members (in terms of interest), make formal recommendations which represent an agreed-upon perspective of a diverse group. There are numerous special-interest groups which make similar recommendations, but as a whole, the RAC themselves represent no single interest. As such, this is an invaluable tool with which to review land management

practices and to make appropriate recommendations without fear of undue influence by one singular interest or another.

**20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?**

N/A

**21. Remarks**

The John Day-Snake RAC met two times in FY 2018.

**Designated Federal Officer**

Dennis Teitzel Prineville District Manager

<b>Committee Members</b>	<b>Start</b>	<b>End</b>	<b>Occupation</b>	<b>Member Designation</b>
Boethin, James	07/06/2018	07/06/2021	Retired	Representative Member
Brummer, Jerry	07/06/2018	07/06/2021	County Commissioner	Representative Member
Burleight, Glenn	10/17/2016	10/17/2019	Woodworker, faller	Representative Member
Drever-Gee, Terry	09/14/1995	07/06/2021	Retired County Employee	Representative Member
Gustafson, Gus	11/20/2015	11/20/2018	Retiree	Representative Member
Jackle, Greg	11/12/2014	07/06/2021	District Wildlife Biologist, OR Dept. of Fish and Wildlife	Representative Member
Jennings (AKA Kenneth Doggett), Brian	10/04/2016	10/04/2019	Outreach Coord - OR Backcountry Hunters & Anglers	Representative Member
Jones, Randall	11/12/2014	07/06/2021	Operations Policy Analyst, Oregon DEQ	Representative Member
Maltz, Erica	09/30/2013	10/04/2019	Natural Res. Director, Burns-Paiute Tribe	Representative Member
Reiss, James	11/14/2011	07/06/2021	Retiree/Recreationist	Representative Member
Sykes, Brian	11/20/2015	11/20/2018	Oregon Rafting	Representative Member
Waugh, Art	10/20/2003	10/04/2019	Retired/OHV and Motorized Use	Representative Member

**Number of Committee Members Listed: 12**

**Narrative Description**

The Bureau of Land Management and the U.S. Forest Service both strive to manage public lands for numerous interests. In an effort to achieve that balance, RACs serve an important role in tempering public input and formulating well-thought-out and balanced recommendations to the land management agencies. Additionally, as RAC meetings are open to the public, members of the public are able to attend, allowing for transparency, and to directly address the RAC with specific concerns. Also, the RAC model allows for self-nomination and general nomination of representatives of numerous interests which

affect, and are affected by, public lands. This allows public, special interest groups and others to have an avenue to serve their respective interest while contributing to a collaborative solution which is in turn endorsed and recognized by the land management agencies.

**What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?**

	Checked if Applies
Improvements to health or safety	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Trust in government	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Major policy changes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Advance in scientific research	<input type="checkbox"/>
Effective grant making	<input type="checkbox"/>
Improved service delivery	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Increased customer satisfaction	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Implementation of laws or regulatory requirements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Outcome Comments**

The annual work plan identified multi-year issues and flexibilities that the agencies need to be responsive to emerging issues. Emphasis areas include sustainable recreation and fees, NEPA projects, management plans, wildfire risk reduction, wild horse management and other issues for the two districts and five forests.

**What are the cost savings associated with this committee?**

	Checked if Applies
None	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unable to Determine	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Under \$100,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
\$100,000 - \$500,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
Over \$10,000,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
Cost Savings Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Cost Savings Comments**

There has been no formal exploration of any associated cost-savings associated with the John Day - Snake River RAC. However, there is immeasurable value to the land management agencies, as input by way of the representatives can serve to substitute for

official surveys of public sentiment related to any number of land management issues. Similarly, the collaborative and consultative service that the RAC provides as a means of interacting with the public also serves to better inform land management agencies of sentiments associated with certain groups or members of the public.

**What is the approximate Number of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?**

53

**Number of Recommendations Comments**

Three decisions in FY2018 - approval of fee season extension for Deschutes National Forest, approval to go out for public comment on a new fee structure for the Lower Deschutes River and approval to go out for public comment on a new "all user" fee for the Lower Deschutes River. The total of recommendations conveyed during the life of the committee is 56.

**What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Fully implemented by the agency?**

70%

**% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments**

N/A

**What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Partially implemented by the agency?**

51%

**% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments**

N/A

**Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered?**

Yes  No  Not Applicable

**Agency Feedback Comments**

Land management agency representatives routinely address the John Day - Snake River RAC on activities on their respective Districts and areas of responsibility. This includes answering questions associated with any land management practices or plans as well as keeping the RAC apprised of the progress, or lack thereof, of any recommendations made

by the group--to include updates on implementation.

**What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?**

	Checked if Applies
Reorganized Priorities	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reallocated resources	<input type="checkbox"/>
Issued new regulation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Proposed legislation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Approved grants or other payments	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Action Comments**

N/A

**Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?**

No

**Grant Review Comments**

N/A

**How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?**

	Checked if Applies
Contact DFO	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Online Agency Web Site	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Online Committee Web Site	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Online GSA FACA Web Site	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Publications	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Access Comments**

N/A