# 2024 Current Fiscal Year Report: John Day-Snake Resource Advisory Council

Report Run Date: 04/25/2024 04:23:22 PM

1. Department or Agency 2. Fiscal Year

Department of the Interior 2024

3b. GSA Committee

3. Committee or Subcommittee

John Day-Snake Resource Advisory

Council 2066

4. Is this New During 5. Current6. Expected7. ExpectedFiscal Year?CharterRenewal DateTerm Date

No 11/09/2023 11/09/2025

8a. Was Terminated During 8b. Specific Termination Authority 8c. Actual Term Date

No

9. Agency 10b.

Recommendation for Next Req to Terminate?

| Continue of the c

Continue Not Applicable Not Applicable

**11. Establishment Authority** Statutory (Congress Created)

12. Specific Establishment 13. 14. Effective Committee \_

Authority Presidential?

Date Type

Federal Land Policy and 10/21/1976 Continuing No

Management Act, Sec. 309

**15. Description of Committee** Non Scientific Program Advisory

Board

16a. Total

No Reports for this FiscalYear

Reports

17a. 0 17b. Closed 0 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total 0 Open

**Meetings and Dates** 

No Meetings

		nt Next
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members	<b>FY</b> \$0.0	<b>FY</b> 00\$0.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$0.0	00\$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$0.0	00\$0.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$0.0	00\$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$0.0	00\$0.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$0.0	00\$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$0.0	00\$0.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$0.0	00\$0.00
18c. Other(rents,user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	\$0.0	00\$0.00
<ul><li>18d. Total</li><li>19. Federal Staff Support Years</li></ul>	·	00 \$0.00
(FTE)	0.0	0.00

# 20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

The members of the John Day-Snake Resource Advisory Council (RAC) work collaboratively to examine or refine planning efforts and collaborative efforts between agencies within the RAC's jurisdiction. The subcommittee works closely with specialists in a given subject area to inform and make recommendations to the full RAC on those specific topics. The public is invited to raise concerns or make comments on projects or attend site visits to learn about resource issues. RAC members share an array of insights on emerging issues, which helps BLM managers

meet the goal of improved service and accountability.

# 20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

The RAC is composed of 15 members distributed in a balanced fashion among the following groups and within those groups. Specific interests include: Category I includes those holding Federal grazing permits or leases, interests associated with transportation or rights-of-way, developed outdoor recreation, OHV users, or commercial recreation activities, commercial timber industry, or energy and mineral development. Category II includes nationally or regionally recognized environmental organizations, dispersed recreation activities, archaeological and historical interests, or nationally or regionally recognized wild horse and burro interest groups. Category III includes state, county, or locally elected office-holders, employee of a State agency responsible for the management of natural resources, Indian Tribes within or adjacent to the area for which the RAC is organized, are employed as academicians in natural resource management or the natural sciences, or represent the public-at-large.

# 20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

The RAC generally meets 3-4 times a year.

# 20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

The RAC allows BLM managers to receive advice and feedback from a wide variety of interested citizens in a single, interactive forum at regularly scheduled meetings. This FACA committee consists of members appointed by the Secretary of the Interior that provide valuable recommendations and advice from the prospective of local citizens. This committee also provides recommendations for recreation fee proposals for two BLM districts and 5 National Forests. This role is vital and is a required element of the FLREA.

# 20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

All meetings are open to the public.

### 21. Remarks

The John Day-Snake RAC met on October 20-21, 2022; February 22-23, 2023; and June 22-23, 2023.

## **Designated Federal Officer**

Amanda Roberts Prineville District Manager

				•
Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
Brummer, Jerry	10/12/2021	10/12/2024	Crook County Commissioner	Representative Member
Burleigh, Glenn	11/03/2020	11/03/2023	Retired Logger	Representative Member
Drever Gee, Terry	05/24/2023	05/24/2026	Retired	Representative Member
Fleischmann, Mary	05/18/2022	05/18/2025	Retired	Representative Member
Jackle, Greg	10/12/2021	10/12/2024	Wildlife Biologist	Representative Member
Jones, Randall	10/12/2021	10/12/2024	Oregon DEQ	Representative Member
Koppert, Susan	05/18/2022	05/18/2025	Retired	Representative Member
Lent, Steven	05/24/2023	05/24/2026	Assistant Director and Historian, Bowman Museum	Representative Member
Mottl, Henry	05/18/2022	05/18/2025	Retired	Representative Member
Nelson, Laurel	10/12/2021	10/12/2024	Retired	Representative Member
Reiss, James	10/12/2021	10/12/2024	Retired	Representative Member
Sheppard, Mia	05/18/2022	05/18/2025	Oregon Tourism Commissioner	Representative Member
Sykes, Brian	11/20/2015	05/18/2025	Commercial Recreation	Representative Member

#### **Number of Committee Members Listed: 14**

### **Narrative Description**

The Bureau of Land Management and the U.S. Forest Service both strive to manage public lands for numerous interests. In an effort to achieve that balance, RACs serve an important role in tempering public input and formulating well-thought-out and balanced recommendations to the land management agencies. Additionally, as RAC meetings are open to the public, members of the public are able to attend, allowing for transparency, and to directly address the RAC with specific concerns. Also, the RAC model allows for self-nomination and general nomination of representatives of numerous interests which affect, and are affected by, public lands. This allows public, special interest groups and others to have an avenue to serve their respective interest while contributing to a collaborative solution which is in turn endorsed and recognized by the land management agencies.

## What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

	Checked if	f
	Applies	
Improvements to health or safety		✓
Trust in government		✓
Major policy changes		✓
Advance in scientific research		
Effective grant making		
Improved service delivery		✓
Increased customer satisfaction		✓
Implementation of laws or regulatory		<b>~</b>
requirements		:. <b>x</b> .:
Other		

#### **Outcome Comments**

The annual work plan identified multi-year issues and flexibilities that the agencies need to be responsive to emerging issues. Emphasis areas include sustainable recreation and

fees, NEPA projects, management plans, wildfire risk reduction, wild horse management and other issues for the two districts and five forests.

### What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

	Checked if Applies
None	
Unable to Determine	<b>√</b>
Under \$100,000	
\$100,000 - \$500,000	
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	
\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000	
\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000	
Over \$10,000,000	
Cost Savings Other	

## **Cost Savings Comments**

There has been no formal exploration of any associated cost-savings associated with the John Day - Snake River RAC. However, there is immeasurable value to the land management agencies, as input by way of the representatives can serve to substitute for official surveys of public sentiment related to any number of land management issues. Similarly, the collaborative and consultative service that the RAC provides as a means of interacting with the public also serves to better inform land management agencies of sentiments associated with certain groups or members of the public.

What is the approximate <u>Number</u> of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?

61

#### **Number of Recommendations Comments**

The RAC did not make any recommendations in FY23.

What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations that have been or will be <u>Fully</u> implemented by the agency?

70%

% of Recommendations  $\underline{\text{Fully}}$  Implemented Comments

N/A

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or

30% Implemented by the agen	cy?
% of Recommendations Partially ImplemeN/A	ented Comments
Does the agency provide the committee vimplement recommendations or advice of Yes ✓ No Not Applicable	
Agency Feedback Comments	
RAC on activities on their respective District answering questions associated with any lar	nd management practices or plans as well as or lack thereof, of any recommendations made
What other actions has the agency taken	as a result of the committee's advice or
recommendation?	
	Checked if Applies
Reorganized Priorities	
Reallocated resources	
Issued new regulation	
Proposed legislation	
Approved grants or other payments	
Other	
Action Comments N/A	
Is the Committee engaged in the review of	of applications for grants?
No	
<b>Grant Review Comments</b> N/A	
How is access provided to the informatio	on for the Committee's documentation?  Checked if Applies
Contact DFO	✓

Online Agency Web Site	✓
Online Committee Web Site	✓
Online GSA FACA Web Site	✓
Publications	
Other	

## **Access Comments**

N/A