2025 Current Fiscal Year Report: Great Lakes Advisory Board

Report Run Date: 05/13/2025 08:01:19 AM

1. Department or Agency 2. Fiscal Year

Environmental Protection Agency 2025

3. Committee or Subcommittee 3b. GSA Committee No.

Great Lakes Advisory Board 80462

4. Is this New During 5. Current 6. Expected 7. Expected Fiscal Year? Charter Renewal Date Term Date

No 11/20/2024 11/20/2026

8a. Was Terminated During 8b. Specific 8c. Actual Termination Authority Term Date

No

9. Agency 10b.

Recommendation for Next Req to Terminate?

Legislation Pending?

Continue Not Applicable Not Applicable

11. Establishment Authority Agency Authority

12. Specific 13. 14.

Establishment Effective Committee

Authority Date Type Presidential?

Clean Water Act Section

02/13/2012 Continuing No

15. Description of Committee National Policy Issue Advisory

Board

16a. Total

No Reports for this FiscalYear

Reports

17a.

Open 0 17b. Closed 0 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total 0

Meetings and Dates

No Meetings

Current Next

FY FY

18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members

\$0.00\$0.00

18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$0.00\$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$0.00\$0.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$0.00\$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00\$0.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$0.00\$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$0.00\$0.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$0.00\$0.00
18c. Other(rents,user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	\$0.00\$0.00
18d. Total	\$0.00\$0.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)	0.00 0.00

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

The Great Lakes Advisory Board (GLAB) supports the EPA in achieving the restoration and protection goals of the Great Lakes Restoration Initiative (GLRI) included in Public Law 111-88, the Department of the Interior, Environment and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2010, and subsequent appropriations, and the United States Canada Great Lakes Water Quality Agreement. The Great Lakes is a vast, shared resource and protecting and restoring them requires the collective ideas and experience of the Great Lakes community. The GLAB provides a forum where EPA can have the full benefit of the ideas and experience of both government and non-governmental partners. The GLAB's objectives are to provide advice and recommendations on Great Lakes protection and

restoration activities; long term goals, objectives, and priorities for Great Lakes protection and restoration; and other issues addressed by the Great Lakes Interagency Task Force/Regional Work Group.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

The GLAB is composed of approximately 15 members who will serve as representative members, regular government employees (RGEs), or special government employees (SGEs). The GLAB is comprised of members that represent state, local and tribal governments, academia, industry, business/consulting, and environmental non-governmental organizations.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

The GLAB meets approximately twice per fiscal year. Due to unforeseen circumstances, the GLAB did not have any meetings in FY 2024.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

The EPA Administrator is the chair of the Great Lakes Interagency Task Force that consists of federal agencies responsible for implementing the GLRI. The GLRI is guided by an action plan that has been broadly endorsed by the Great Lakes states, cities, tribes, conservation groups and industries. The GLAB is composed of a cross-section of stakeholders with diverse backgrounds and areas of interest relating to the Great Lakes. The GLAB is necessary because it provides EPA with the collective recommendations of state and local governments, tribes and other Great Lakes interests to more effectively carry out Great Lakes restoration. There are no other

existing EPA advisory committees that have a mission to advise on protecting and restoring the Great Lakes. Likewise, existing committees do not have the breadth of experience that is needed to inform the Agency on Great Lakes environmental issues from various viewpoints. Bringing together a cross-section of individuals with the needed range of experience and perspectives will facilitate a more effective discussion of the technical issues and policy options that will support adequate evaluation of, and input into, EPA positions and actions.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

21. Remarks

Designated Federal Officer

Alana Davicino Budget Analyst

Narrative Description

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

	Checked if	
	Applies	
Improvements to health or safety	9	/
Trust in government	5	/
Major policy changes		
Advance in scientific research		
Effective grant making		
Improved service delivery	5	/
Increased customer satisfaction		

Implementation of laws or regulatory requirements Other	
Outcome Comments	
What are the cost savings associated with this committee? Checked if Apple	lies
None	
Unable to Determine	✓
Under \$100,000	
\$100,000 - \$500,000	
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	
\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000	
\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000	
Over \$10,000,000	
Cost Savings Other	
Cost Savings Comments	

Not Applicable

What is the approximate Number of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?

65

Number of Recommendations Comments

The GLAB recognized that implementing its recommendations will involve various levels of effort as well as a range of organizational, regulatory, and legislative complexity. Therefore, it categorized recommendations as follow: Near-term recommendations are those that are currently actionable under the Great Lakes Restoration Initiative (GLRI) and by the Great Lakes National Program Office. Mid-term recommendations are those that require additional research or development before they could be implemented under GLRI; however, that research and development could be a near-term activity. Long-term recommendations refer to activities that are within EPA purview but may require legislative changes, new partnerships, multi-stakeholder engagement, additional investments, or other development in order to implement.

What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of the will be <u>Fully</u> implemented by the agency 0%	hese recommendations that have been or ?
% of Recommendations Fully Implement Recommendations provided are still under on interagency Regional Working Group.	
What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of the will be <u>Partially</u> implemented by the agent 28%	hese recommendations that have been or ncy?
% of Recommendations Partially Implemed Recommendations provided are still under on interagency Regional Working Group. But not recommendations advised for new approach have already been implementing.	consideration by EPA GLNPO and the levertheless, many of the GLAB's
Does the agency provide the committee implement recommendations or advice of Yes □ No ✓ Not Applicable □	with feedback regarding actions taken to offered?
Agency Feedback Comments	
What other actions has the agency taker recommendation?	as a result of the committee's advice or
	Checked if Applies
Reorganized Priorities	
Reallocated resources	
Issued new regulation	
Proposed legislation	
Approved grants or other payments	
Other	

Action Comments

Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants? No	
Grant Review Comments	
Not Applicable	
How is access provided to the inform	ation for the Committee's documentation?
	Checked if Applies
Contact DFO	✓
Online Agency Web Site	~
Online Committee Web Site	~
Online GSA FACA Web Site	~
Publications	
Other	
Access Comments	
Access to committee information is prov	ided through all of the selected methods.