

2018 Current Fiscal Year Report: National Institute on Drug Abuse Initial Review Group

Report Run Date: 06/18/2019 12:59:36 AM

1. Department or Agency		2. Fiscal Year	
Department of Health and Human Services		2018	
3. Committee or Subcommittee		3b. GSA Committee No.	
National Institute on Drug Abuse Initial Review Group		848	
4. Is this New During Fiscal Year?	5. Current Charter	6. Expected Renewal Date	7. Expected Term Date
No	08/07/1995		
8a. Was Terminated During FiscalYear?	8b. Specific Termination Authority	8c. Actual Term Date	
No			
9. Agency Recommendation for Next FiscalYear	10a. Legislation Req to Terminate?	10b. Legislation Pending?	
Continue	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	
11. Establishment Authority	Authorized by Law		
12. Specific Establishment Authority	13. Effective Date	14. Committee Type	14c. Presidential?
42 U.S.C. 282(b)(16)	11/20/1985	Continuing	No
15. Description of Committee	Grant Review Committee		
16a. Total Number of Reports	No Reports for this FiscalYear		
17a. Open Meetings and Dates	17b. Closed	17c. Partially Closed	Other Activities
No Meetings	0	0	0
17d. Total	0		

	Current FY	Next FY
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$2,131.00	\$2,172.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18c. Other(rents,user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	\$0.00	\$0.00
18d. Total	\$2,131.00	\$2,172.00

19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)

0.01 0.01

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

This committee does not have any members. Staff at NIH continue to assess the need for this committee. During this reporting period the committee and its subcommittee did not meet.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

This committee does not have members. Staff at NIH are assessing the continuing need for this committee. During this reporting period the committee and its subcommittee did not meet.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

The committee and its subcommittee did not hold any meetings during this reporting period.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

NIH staff are currently evaluating the need for this advisory committee.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

NA

21. Remarks

This committee did not produce any reports during this reporting period. This committee does not have a dedicated website. The need for this committee is currently being evaluated and as a result the committee did not meet this year. Staff costs have been included for the support of administrative requirements and continued analysis of the need for this committee in the future. The DFO and Committee Decision Maker positions are held by the same individual based on assigned duties within the IC.

Designated Federal Officer

GERALD MCLAUGHLIN CHIEF, SCIENTIFIC REVIEW BRANCH

Narrative Description

The National Institute on Drug Abuse Initial Review Group performed a vital function of determining the quality of scientific proposals. This evaluation serves as the basis for

making funding decisions in NIDA. The Committee provided high-quality, unbiased, and timely scientific reviews in the area of drug abuse. The continuing need for this committee is being evaluated by NIDA staff.

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

Checked if Applies

- Improvements to health or safety
- Trust in government
- Major policy changes
- Advance in scientific research
- Effective grant making
- Improved service delivery
- Increased customer satisfaction
- Implementation of laws or regulatory requirements
- Other

Outcome Comments

This committee did not meeting during the fiscal year.

What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

Checked if Applies

- None
- Unable to Determine
- Under \$100,000
- \$100,000 - \$500,000
- \$500,001 - \$1,000,000
- \$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000
- \$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000
- Over \$10,000,000
- Cost Savings Other

Cost Savings Comments

This committee did not meeting during the fiscal year.

What is the approximate Number of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?

4,046

Number of Recommendations Comments

This committee did not meeting during the fiscal year.

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Fully implemented by the agency?

0%

% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

The percentage of recommendations implemented stated above was developed at the time the Annual Comprehensive Review report was prepared. Additional information is available through the RePORT (Research Portfolio Online Reporting Tool) website. RePORT provides access to reports, data, and analyses of NIH research activities that advance the mission of the NIH, including information on NIH expenditures, strategic plans, reports on NIH funding, and reports on the organization and people involved in NIH research and research training. The RePORT website is located at <http://report.nih.gov>.

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Partially implemented by the agency?

0%

% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

NIH Peer Review Committees are involved in the initial review of research grant applications. The NIH dual peer review system is mandated by statute in accordance with section 492 of the Public Health Service Act. The charge to this committee is to determine scientific and technical merit of the individual grants or contracts. These recommendations are forwarded to Federal officials who generally accept the committee's recommendations and favorable applications are then forwarded for the second level of review performed by Institute and Center (IC) National Advisory Councils or Boards. Only applications that are favorably recommended by both the initial peer review committee and the Advisory Council may be recommended for funding.

Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered?

Yes No Not Applicable

Agency Feedback Comments

This committee did not meeting during the fiscal year.

What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?

Checked if Applies

- Reorganized Priorities
- Reallocated resources
- Issued new regulation
- Proposed legislation
- Approved grants or other payments
- Other

Action Comments

This committee did not meeting during the fiscal year.

Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?

Yes

- What is the estimated Number of grants reviewed for approval 0
- What is the estimated Number of grants recommended for approval 0
- What is the estimated Dollar Value of grants recommended for approval \$0

Grant Review Comments

This committee did not meeting during the fiscal year.

How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

Checked if Applies

- Contact DFO
- Online Agency Web Site
- Online Committee Web Site
- Online GSA FACA Web Site
- Publications
- Other

Access Comments

N/A