

# 2024 Current Fiscal Year Report: National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases Initial Review Group

Report Run Date: 05/08/2024 03:23:56 AM

<b>1. Department or Agency</b>	<b>2. Fiscal Year</b>
Department of Health and Human Services	2024
<b>3. Committee or Subcommittee</b>	<b>3b. GSA Committee No.</b>

National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases Initial Review Group	842
---	-----

<b>4. Is this New Fiscal Year?</b>	<b>5. Current Charter</b>	<b>6. Expected Renewal Date</b>	<b>7. Expected Term Date</b>
No	12/01/1986		

<b>8a. Was Terminated During Fiscal Year?</b>	<b>8b. Specific Termination Authority</b>	<b>8c. Actual Term Date</b>
No		

<b>9. Agency Recommendation for Next Fiscal Year</b>	<b>10a. Legislation Req to Terminate?</b>	<b>10b. Legislation Pending?</b>
Continue	Not Applicable	Not Applicable

**11. Establishment Authority** Authorized by Law

<b>12. Specific Establishment Authority</b>	<b>13. Effective Date</b>	<b>14. Committee Type</b>	<b>14c. Presidential?</b>
42 U.S.C. 282(b)(16)	11/20/1985	Continuing	No

**15. Description of Committee** Grant Review Committee

**16a. Total Number of Reports** No Reports for this Fiscal Year

**17a. Open** 0 **17b. Closed** 0 **17c. Partially Closed** 0 **Other Activities** 0 **17d. Total** 0

**Meetings and Dates**

No Meetings

	Current FY	Next FY
<b>18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members</b>	\$0.00	\$0.00
<b>18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members</b>	\$0.00	\$0.00
<b>18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff</b>	\$0.00	\$0.00
<b>18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants</b>	\$0.00	\$0.00
<b>18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members</b>	\$0.00	\$0.00
<b>18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members</b>	\$0.00	\$0.00
<b>18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff</b>	\$0.00	\$0.00
<b>18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants</b>	\$0.00	\$0.00
<b>18c. Other(rents,user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)</b>	\$0.00	\$0.00
<b>18d. Total</b>	\$0.00	\$0.00
<b>19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)</b>	0.00	0.00

**20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?**

Section 492 of the PHS Act states that The Secretary...shall by regulation require appropriate technical and scientific peer review of -- (A) applications...; and (B) biomedical and behavioral research and development contracts... This committee is composed of recognized biomedical and/or behavioral research authorities who represent the forefront of research and technical knowledge and who provide first-level merit review of funding applications and proposals, including but not limited to grant and cooperative agreement applications and contract proposals for research

projects and for research and training activities in areas relevant to diabetes mellitus, endocrine and metabolic diseases; digestive diseases and nutritional disorders; and kidney, urologic, and hematologic diseases. During this reporting period the committee reviewed 403 grant applications requesting \$269,617,459.

**20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?**

The members of this committee are authorities knowledgeable in the disciplines and fields relating to diabetes mellitus, endocrine and metabolic diseases; digestive diseases and nutritional disorders; and kidney, urologic, and hematologic diseases.

**20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?**

The National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases Initial Review Group held nine meetings during this reporting period.

**20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?**

This committee is composed of recognized biomedical and/or behavioral research authorities who represent the forefront of research and technical knowledge and who provide first-level merit review of funding applications and proposals, including but not limited to grant and cooperative agreement applications and contract proposals for research projects and for research and training activities. These evaluations and recommendations cannot be obtained from other sources because the specialized, complex nature of the applications and proposals requires a unique balance and breadth of expertise not available on the NIH staff or from other

established sources.

## **20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?**

The meetings of the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases Initial Review Group were closed to the public for the review of grant applications. Sections 552b(c)(4) and 552b(c)(6) of the Government in the Sunshine Act permit the closing of meetings where discussion could reveal confidential trade secrets or commercial property such as patentable material and personal information, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

## **21. Remarks**

The positions of Designated Federal Official and Committee Decision maker are both held by the Chief of Review based on assignment of duties in the Institute. Reports: This committee did not produce any public reports during the fiscal year.

URL: This committee does not have a website.

Members: In general, initial/integrated review group (IRG) members serve up to six years, which is documented in the Members list. The permanent membership of this IRG may be supplemented at any meeting with temporary members who have experience or expertise in the disciplines and fields related to the IRG's function and are appointed to review some or all of the applications considered at that meeting.

Therefore, the Members list reflects meeting dates, not appointment start and end dates for these members. While only one meeting date is listed as an appointment start and end date, an IRG temporary member may have attended several meetings throughout the fiscal year.

Meeting rosters, including members' affiliations

and zip codes are available online at <https://public.era.nih.gov/pubroster/>. Laurie Steiner was re-assigned to Chairperson on 09/09/2022 until 06/30/2024. Mary Foster (07/01/2023-06/30/2025), Jayme Locke (07/01/2023-06/30/2025), Nuria Pastor-Soler (07/01/2023-06/30/2025), Eugene Rhee (07/01/2023-06/30/2025), and Heidi Spratt (07/01/2023-06/30/2025) were all re-appointed.

## Designated Federal Officer

### JOHN CONNAUGHTON CHIEF, REVIEW BRANCH

Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
AIRIK, RANNAR	09/09/2021	06/30/2025	ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
ASHKAR, TAREK	07/01/2023	06/30/2026	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
BAJWA, AMANDEEP	07/01/2023	06/30/2026	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
BAKER, LINDA	09/21/2018	06/30/2024	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
BANSAL, NISHA	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR, UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON	Peer Review Consultant Member
BAYRER, JAMES	07/01/2023	06/30/2029	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
BEDDHU, SRINIVASAN	07/26/2020	06/30/2024	PROFESSOR, UNIVERSITY OF UTAH	Peer Review Consultant Member
BHALLA, VIVEK	07/01/2023	06/30/2025	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
BHASIN, MANOJ	09/16/2021	06/30/2025	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
BLAINE, JUDITH	09/11/2018	06/30/2024	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
BRIDGES, DAVE	10/07/2022	06/30/2026	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member

BUETTNER, CHRISTOPH	10/09/2020	06/30/2024	PROFESSOR OF MEDICINE, CHIEF	Peer Review Consultant Member
CANTLEY, LLOYD	09/09/2021	06/30/2025	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
CARLESS, MELANIE	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
COLETTA, DAWN	10/07/2022	06/30/2026	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
CROWLEY, STEVEN	07/01/2023	06/30/2024	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
DEMORROW, SHARON	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
DEMPSEY, PETER	04/01/2019	06/30/2025	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR, UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO	Peer Review Consultant Member
DENG, RUITANG	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
DEVKOTA, SUZANNE	07/01/2023	06/30/2029	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
DIVANOVIC, SENAD	10/07/2022	06/30/2026	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
DOBRE, MIRELA	07/01/2023	06/30/2024	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
DRAKE, KERI	07/01/2023	06/30/2028	ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
DRANOFF, JONATHAN	09/16/2021	06/30/2025	PROFESSOR - THE EWING HALSALL DISTINGUISHED CHAIR IN RESEARCH	Peer Review Consultant Member
Dai, Yang	10/14/2018	06/30/2024	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
FENG, BIN	07/01/2023	06/30/2029	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
FLYTHE, JENNIFER	07/01/2023	06/30/2024	ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
FOGELGREN, BENJAMIN	07/01/2023	06/30/2025	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member

GBADEGESIN, RASHEED	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	PROFESSOR, DUKE UNIVERSITY	Peer Review Consultant Member
GHAFFARI, SAGHI	07/01/2023	06/30/2025	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
GOLDFARB, ADAM	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
GOOD, MISTY	07/01/2023	06/30/2029	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR and CHIEF	Peer Review Consultant Member
GOSAIN, ANKUSH	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	PROFESSOR AND CHAIR	Peer Review Consultant Member
GRANDE, JOSEPH	07/01/2023	06/30/2026	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
GRIDER, JOHN	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	PROFESSOR, VIRGINIA COMMONWEALTH UNIVERSITY	Peer Review Consultant Member
GULBRANSEN, BRIAN	09/27/2018	06/30/2024	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
GUTIERREZ, ABSALON	10/07/2022	06/30/2026	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
HOLLAND, WILLIAM	09/09/2021	06/30/2025	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR OF MEDICINE	Peer Review Consultant Member
ITO, KEISUKE	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
JENSEN, MICHAEL	07/01/2023	06/30/2029	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
JOSE, PEDRO	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	PROFESSOR, GEORGE WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY	Peer Review Consultant Member
JUARASCIO, ADRIENNE	04/01/2019	06/30/2024	ASSISTANT PROFESSOR, DREXEL UNIVERSITY	Peer Review Consultant Member
KALYANI, RITA	09/08/2021	06/30/2025	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR OF MEDICINE	Peer Review Consultant Member
KU, ELAINE	07/01/2023	06/30/2028	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
Kelly, Andrea	07/01/2023	06/30/2029	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
LEGGET, KRISTINA	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member

LE, THU	07/01/2023	06/30/2025	HARRISON DISTINGUISHED PROFESSOR OF MEDICINE	Peer Review Consultant Member
LIU, TERESA	07/01/2023	06/30/2028	ASSISTANT SCIENTIST	Peer Review Consultant Member
MALYKHINA, ANNA	07/01/2022	06/30/2025	PROFESSOR, UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO	Peer Review Consultant Member
MAS, VALERIA	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
MCCAUSLAND, FINNIAN	07/01/2023	06/30/2028	ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
MENDEZ, MARIELA	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
MIMIRA, RAGHAVENDRA	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	PROFESSOR AND DIRECTOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
MINER, JEFFREY	07/01/2023	06/30/2026	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
MITCHELL, TANECIA	07/01/2023	06/30/2025	ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
MOLLEN, KEVIN	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR, CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL OF PITTSBURGH	Peer Review Consultant Member
MOTILLO, EMILIO	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	ASSISTANT SCIENTIST	Peer Review Consultant Member
NAJJAR, SONIA	10/12/2022	06/30/2026	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
NICKOLAS, THOMAS	07/26/2020	06/30/2024	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR/TRAINING PROGRAM DIRECTOR, COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY	Peer Review Consultant Member
O'TOOLE, JOHN	07/01/2020	06/30/2024	Professor, RUSH UNIVERSITY MEDICAL CENTER	Peer Review Consultant Member
OBERFIELD, SHARON	10/10/2022	06/30/2026	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
OHLSTEIN, BENJAMIN	09/16/2021	06/30/2025	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
OLSON, ANN	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	PROFESSOR AND VICE-CHAIR	Peer Review Consultant Member

PARKMAN, HENRY	09/16/2021	06/30/2025	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
PIETRAS, ERIC	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
POZZI, AMBRA	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
Paulson, Robert	09/09/2022	06/30/2026	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
REINECKER, HANS-CHRISTIAN	07/01/2018	06/30/2024	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
ROUTH, VANESSA	07/01/2023	06/30/2025	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
SCHWADERER, ANDREW	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
SELIGER, STEPHEN	07/01/2023	06/30/2025	ATTENDING PHYSICIAN, MEDICAL SERVICE	Peer Review Consultant Member
SPENCER, JOHN	07/01/2023	06/30/2025	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
STADLER, KRISZTIAN	10/07/2022	06/30/2026	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
STANLEY, TAKARA	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
STEINER, LAURIE	09/21/2018	06/30/2024	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR, UNIVERSITY OF ROCHESTER	Peer Review Consultant Member
THUMBIKAT, PRAVEEN	07/22/2020	06/30/2024	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR, NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY	Peer Review Consultant Member
TYKOCKI, NATHAN	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
WILLIAMS, JONATHAN	10/10/2020	06/30/2024	ASSISTANT PROFESSOR OF MEDICINE	Peer Review Consultant Member
XU, YONG	10/09/2020	06/30/2024	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
YANES CARDOZO, LICY	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member

YEUNG, CATHERINE	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	RESEARCH ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
ZAIDMAN, NATHAN	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
ZANG, MENGWEI	10/09/2020	06/30/2024	PROFESSOR - THE EWING HALSALL DISTINGUISHED CHAIR IN RESEARCH	Peer Review Consultant Member
ZARRINPAR, AMIR	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	ASSISTANT PROFESSOR, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA-SAN DIEGO	Peer Review Consultant Member

**Number of Committee Members Listed: 84**

### **Narrative Description**

NIH's mission is to seek fundamental knowledge about the nature and behavior of living systems and the application of that knowledge to enhance health, lengthen life, and reduce illness and disability. NIH works toward that mission by supporting the research of non-Federal scientists in universities, medical schools, hospitals, and research institutions throughout the country and abroad. Section 492 of the PHS Act states that The Secretary ...shall by regulation require appropriate technical and scientific peer review of -- (A) applications...; and (B) biomedical and behavioral research and development contracts... This committee is composed of recognized biomedical and/or behavioral research authorities who represent the forefront of research and technical knowledge and who provide first-level merit review of highly scientific and technical research grant applications in the fields of diabetes, endocrinology, metabolic diseases, digestive diseases, nutrition, kidney, urologic and hematologic diseases.

**What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?**

Checked if  
Applies

Improvements to health or safety

☐

Trust in government

☐

Major policy changes

☐

- |   |                                     |
|---|-------------------------------------|
| Advance in scientific research                    | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Effective grant making                            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Improved service delivery                         | <input type="checkbox"/>            |
| Increased customer satisfaction                   | <input type="checkbox"/>            |
| Implementation of laws or regulatory requirements | <input type="checkbox"/>            |
| Other   | <input type="checkbox"/>            |

### Outcome Comments

NA

### What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

Checked if Applies

- |                            |                                     |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| None                       | <input type="checkbox"/>            |
| Unable to Determine        | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Under \$100,000            | <input type="checkbox"/>            |
| \$100,000 - \$500,000      | <input type="checkbox"/>            |
| \$500,001 - \$1,000,000    | <input type="checkbox"/>            |
| \$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000  | <input type="checkbox"/>            |
| \$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000 | <input type="checkbox"/>            |
| Over \$10,000,000          | <input type="checkbox"/>            |
| Cost Savings Other         | <input type="checkbox"/>            |

### Cost Savings Comments

NIH supported basic and clinical research accomplishments often take many years to unfold into new diagnostic tests and new ways to treat and prevent diseases.

### What is the approximate Number of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?

9,065

### Number of Recommendations Comments

Grant Review

### What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Fully implemented by the agency?

0%

**% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments**

NIH Peer Review Committees are involved in the initial review of research grant applications. The NIH dual peer review system is mandated by statute in accordance with section 492 of the Public Health Service Act. The charge to this committee is to determine scientific and technical merit of the individual grants or contracts. These recommendations are forwarded to Federal officials who generally accept the committee's recommendations and favorable applications are then forwarded for the second level of review performed by Institute and Center (IC) National Advisory Councils or Boards. Only applications that are favorably recommended by both the initial peer review committee and the Advisory Council may be recommended for funding.

**What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Partially implemented by the agency?**

0%

**% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments**

NIH Peer Review Committees are involved in the initial review of research grant applications. The NIH dual peer review system is mandated by statute in accordance with section 492 of the Public Health Service Act. The charge to this committee is to determine scientific and technical merit of the individual grants or contracts. These recommendations are forwarded to Federal officials who generally accept the committee's recommendations and favorable applications are then forwarded for the second level of review performed by Institute and Center (IC) National Advisory Councils or Boards. Only applications that are favorably recommended by both the initial peer review committee and the Advisory Council may be recommended for funding.

**Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered?**

Yes ☒ No ☐ Not Applicable ☐

**Agency Feedback Comments**

Information resulting from closed initial peer review meetings is subject to the Freedom of Information Act. The public can view information on research projects funded by NIH on the RePORT (Research Portfolio Online Reporting Tool) website located at <http://report.nih.gov>.

**What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?**

Checked if Applies

Reorganized Priorities	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reallocated resources	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Issued new regulation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Proposed legislation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Approved grants or other payments	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

### Action Comments

An action of approved or recommended for grants receiving initial peer review by this committee does not infer that the grant will be or has been funded. Research grant applications submitted to NIH must go through a two-step review process that includes initial peer review for scientific and technical merit and a second step of review for a number of other considerations. These include alignment with NIH's funding principles, review of the project budget, assessment of the applicant's management systems, determination of applicant eligibility, and compliance with public policy requirements. After all of these steps have been completed, NIH officials make funding decisions on individual grant applications.

### Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?

Yes

What is the estimated Number of grants reviewed for approval 403

What is the estimated Number of grants recommended for approval 403

What is the estimated Dollar Value of grants recommended for approval \$269,617,459

### Grant Review Comments

The dollar value indicates the total amount of grants recommended for funding.

### How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

Checked if Applies

Contact DFO	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Online Agency Web Site	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Online Committee Web Site	<input type="checkbox"/>
Online GSA FACA Web Site	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Publications	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Access Comments**

N/A