

2025 Current Fiscal Year Report: Allergy, Immunology, and Transplantation Research Committee

Report Run Date: 06/30/2025 10:17:09 PM

1. Department or Agency

Department of Health and Human Services

2. Fiscal Year

2025

3. Committee or Subcommittee

Allergy, Immunology, and Transplantation
Research Committee

3b. GSA

Committee

No.

785

4. Is this New During Fiscal Year?

No

5. Current Charter

07/30/1998

6. Expected Renewal Date

7. Expected Term Date

8a. Was Terminated During Fiscal Year?

No

8b. Specific Termination Authority

8c. Actual Term Date

9. Agency

Recommendation for Next Fiscal Year

Continue

10a. Legislation Req to Terminate?

Not Applicable

10b.

Legislation Pending?

Not Applicable

11. Establishment Authority Authorized by Law

12. Specific Establishment Authority

42 U.S.C. 282(b)(16)

13. Effective Date

11/20/1985

14. Committee Type

Continuing

14c. Presidential?

No

15. Description of Committee Grant Review Committee

16a. Total

Number of Reports

No Reports for
this Fiscal Year

17a.

Open

0

17b. Closed

2

17c. Partially Closed

0

Other Activities

0

17d. Total

2

Meetings and Dates

Purpose

Start

End

NIH Peer Review

10/24/2024

- 10/25/2024

NIH Peer Review

02/19/2025

- 02/20/2025

Number of Committee Meetings Listed: 2

	Current FY	Next FY
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18c. Administrative Costs (FRNs, contractor support, In-person/hybrid/virtual meetings)	\$0.00	\$0.00
18d. Other (all other funds not captured by any other cost category)	\$0.00	\$0.00
18e. Total Costs	\$0.00	\$0.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)	0.00	0.00

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

Section 492 of the PHS Act states that The Secretary...shall by regulation require appropriate technical and scientific peer review of -- (A) applications...; and (B)... biomedical and behavioral research and development contracts.... This committee is composed entirely of recognized biomedical and/or behavioral research

authorities who represent the forefront of research and technical knowledge and who provide first-level merit review of funding applications and proposals, including but not limited to grant and cooperative agreement applications and contract proposals for research projects and for research and training activities in areas relevant to allergic and immunologic diseases as well as in the areas of immunobiology, immunogenetics, immunopathology, immunochemistry, and transplantation biology. It also gives advice on policy, planning and program development as well as evaluates programs of institute emphasis in these areas. During this reporting period the committee reviewed 88 grant applications requesting \$97,230,816 in direct costs.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

The members of this committee are authorities knowledgeable in various disciplines and fields relating to allergy, clinical immunology, immunopathology, immunobiology, immunogenetics, immunochemistry, and transplantation biology.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

The committee held three FACA meetings during this reporting period.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

This committee is composed entirely of recognized biomedical and/or behavioral research authorities who represent the forefront of research and technical knowledge. Their recommendations cannot be obtained from other sources because the complex nature of research and of research

program emphasis and management requires a specialized balance and breadth of expertise not available on the NIH staff or from other established sources.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

The meetings of the committee were closed to the public for the review of grant applications.

Sections 552b(c)(4) and 552b(c)(6) of the Government in the Sunshine Act permits the closing of meetings where discussion could reveal confidential trade secrets or commercial property such as patentable material and personal information, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

21. Remarks

Reports: This committee did not produce any public reports during the fiscal year. URL: The committee does not have a public website.

Members: Dr. Corinne Keet was reappointed to serve as chair from 7/1/2024 through 6/30/2027.

Dr. Seema Aceves was reappointed to serve from 7/1/2024 through 6/30/2026. Dr. Henrique

Serezani was reappointed to serve from 7/1/2024 through 6/30/2026. Dr. Li Wen was reappointed to

serve from 7/1/2024 through 6/30/2026. In

general, initial/integrated review group (IRG)

members serve up to six years, which is

documented in the Members list. Temporary

members are individuals recruited based on

expertise needed for each meeting. Some

temporary members may attend one meeting only

while others may attend multiple meetings.

Instead of appointment start and end dates, each

meeting date on which the temporary member

served is identified on the Members list. Meeting

rosters, including members' affiliations and zip codes are available online at <https://public.era.nih.gov/pubroster>.

Designated Federal Officer

Thomas Conway Scientific Review Officer

Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
AHMED, NABIL	10/24/2024	10/25/2024	PROFESSOR, BAYLOR COLLEGE OF MEDICINE	Peer Review Consultant Member
ANDRABI, RAIEES AHMAD	10/24/2024	10/25/2024	ASSISTANT PROFESSOR OF MEDICINE, PENN INSTITUTE FOR RNA INNOVATION	Peer Review Consultant Member
Aceves, Seema	07/01/2020	06/30/2026	Professor of Pediatrics and Medicine, University of California, San Diego	Peer Review Consultant Member
Adachi, Roberto	07/01/2019	06/30/2025	Professor, University of Texas	Peer Review Consultant Member
BEHRENS, EDWARD	10/24/2024	10/25/2024	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR, UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA	Peer Review Consultant Member
Barrett, Nora	07/01/2021	06/30/2027	Associate Professor, Harvard Medical School	Peer Review Consultant Member
Bishop, Gail	07/01/2021	06/30/2027	Professor, University of Iowa	Peer Review Consultant Member
Boackle, Susan	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Associate Professor, University of Colorado School of Medicine	Peer Review Consultant Member
Burwitz, Benjamin	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Assistant Professor, Oregon Health & Science University	Peer Review Consultant Member
Chen, Kang	10/24/2024	10/25/2024	ASSISTANT PROFESSOR, WAYNE STATE UNIVERSITY	Peer Review Consultant Member

Dubyak, George	07/01/2024	06/30/2028	Professor of Physiology & Biophysics, Case Western Reserve University	Peer Review Consultant Member
FIDEL, PAUL	10/24/2024	10/25/2024	PROFESSOR, LSU HEALTH SCIENCES CENTER SCHOOL OF DENTISTRY	Peer Review Consultant Member
KHAN, WASIF	10/24/2024	10/25/2024	PROFESSOR, UNIVERSITY OF MIAMI	Peer Review Consultant Member
Keet, Corinne	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Professor, University of North Carolina School of Medicine, Chapel Hill	Peer Review Consultant Member
Klonowski, Kimberly	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Associate Professor, University Of Georgia	Peer Review Consultant Member
Kottyan, Leah	07/01/2024	06/30/2028	Associate Professor with Tenure, University of Cincinnati College of Medicine	Peer Review Consultant Member
Krams, Sheri	07/01/2023	06/30/2029	Proffesor/Senior Associate Dean of Graduate Studies and Postdoctoral Affairs, Stanford School of Medicine	Peer Review Consultant Member
LIEN, EGIL	10/24/2024	10/25/2024	PROFESSOR, UNIVERSITY OF MASSACHUSETTS MEDICAL SCHOOL	Peer Review Consultant Member
MANICKAM, CORDELIA	10/24/2024	10/25/2024	ASSISTANT PROFESSOR IN SURGERY, DUKE UNIVERSITY	Peer Review Consultant Member
Ma, Bing	10/24/2024	10/25/2024	DEPARTMENT OF MICROBIOLOGY AND IMMUNOLOGY, UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND	Peer Review Consultant Member
NABIL, AHMED	10/24/2024	10/25/2024	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
PERKINS, DAVID LINN	10/24/2024	10/25/2024	PROFESSOR, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AT CHICAGO	Peer Review Consultant Member

Sarkar, Surojit	07/01/2024	06/30/2028	Principal Investigator, Seattle Children's Research Institute	Peer Review Consultant Member
Schroeder, Harry	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Professor, University of Alabama at Birmingham	Peer Review Consultant Member
Serezani, C. Henrique	07/01/2020	06/30/2026	Assistant Professor, Vanderbilt University Medical Center	Peer Review Consultant Member
Shoemaker, Jason	07/01/2024	06/30/2028	Associate Professor, University of Pittsburgh	Peer Review Consultant Member
TURNQUIST, HETH	10/24/2024	10/25/2024	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR, UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII	Peer Review Consultant Member
VERMA, SAGUNA	10/24/2024	10/25/2024	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR, UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII	Peer Review Consultant Member
Vartanian, Timothy	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Professor, Weill Cornell Medical College	Peer Review Consultant Member
Wen, Li	07/01/2020	06/30/2026	Associate Professor of Medicine, Yale University	Peer Review Consultant Member

Number of Committee Members Listed: 30

Narrative Description

The AITC reviews funding applications and proposals, including but not limited to grant and cooperative agreement applications and contract proposals for research projects and for research and training activities in areas relevant to allergic and immunologic diseases as well as in the areas of immunobiology, immunogenetics, immunopathology, immunochemistry, and transplantation biology. It also gives advice on policy, planning and program development as well as evaluates programs of institute emphasis in these areas.

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

Checked if
Applies

Improvements to health or safety	<input type="checkbox"/>
Trust in government	<input type="checkbox"/>
Major policy changes	<input type="checkbox"/>
Advance in scientific research	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Effective grant making	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Improved service delivery	<input type="checkbox"/>
Increased customer satisfaction	<input type="checkbox"/>
Implementation of laws or regulatory requirements	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

Outcome Comments

NA

What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

Checked if Applies

None	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unable to Determine	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Under \$100,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
\$100,000 - \$500,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
Over \$10,000,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
Cost Savings Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

Cost Savings Comments

NIH supported basic and clinical research accomplishments often take many years to unfold into new diagnostic tests and new ways to treat and prevent diseases.

What is the approximate Number of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?

2,238

Number of Recommendations Comments

Grant Review

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Fully implemented by the agency?

0%

% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

Information on the research funded by NIH is available through the RePORT (Research Portfolio Online Reporting Tool) website. RePORT provides access to reports, data, and analyses of NIH research activities that advance the mission of the NIH, including information on NIH expenditures, strategic plans, reports on NIH funding, and reports on the organization and people involved in NIH research and research training. The RePORT website is located at <http://report.nih.gov>.

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Partially implemented by the agency?

0%

% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

Information on the research funded by NIH is available through the RePORT (Research Portfolio Online Reporting Tool) website. RePORT provides access to reports, data, and analyses of NIH research activities that advance the mission of the NIH, including information on NIH expenditures, strategic plans, reports on NIH funding, and reports on the organization and people involved in NIH research and research training. The RePORT website is located at <http://report.nih.gov>.

Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered?

Yes ☒ No ☐ Not Applicable ☐

Agency Feedback Comments

Information resulting from closed initial peer review meetings is subject to the Freedom of Information Act. The public can view information on research projects funded by NIH on the RePORT (Research Portfolio Online Reporting Tool) website located at <http://report.nih.gov>.

What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?

Checked if Applies

Reorganized Priorities

☐

Reallocated resources	<input type="checkbox"/>
Issued new regulation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Proposed legislation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Approved grants or other payments	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

Action Comments

An action of “approved” or “recommended” for grants receiving initial peer review by this committee does not infer that the grant will be or has been funded. Research grant applications submitted to NIH must go through a two-step review process that includes the initial peer review for scientific and technical merit and a second step of review and approval by a National Advisory Council for program relevance. In addition, prior to an award or funding being made, NIH staff must conduct an administrative review for a number of other considerations. These include alignment with NIH’s funding principles, review of the project budget, assessment of the applicant’s management systems, determination of applicant eligibility, and compliance with public policy requirements. After all these steps have been completed, NIH officials make funding decisions on individual grant applications.

Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?

Yes

What is the estimated **Number** of grants reviewed for approval 88

What is the estimated **Number** of grants recommended for approval 88

What is the estimated **Dollar Value** of grants recommended for approval \$97,230,816

Grant Review Comments

NA

How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

Checked if Applies

Contact DFO	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Online Agency Web Site	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Online Committee Web Site	<input type="checkbox"/>
Online GSA FACA Web Site	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Publications	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Access Comments

Contact IC Committee Management Officer 240-669-5201