2024 Current Fiscal Year Report: Risk, Prevention and Health Behavior Integrated Review Group

Report Run Date: 04/26/2024 04:33:59 AM

1. Department or Agency 2. Fiscal Year

Department of Health and Human Services 2024

3b. GSA

3. Committee or Subcommittee Committee

No.

Risk, Prevention and Health Behavior

Integrated Review Group

1861

14c.

4. Is this New During 5. Current 6. Expected 7. Expected

Fiscal Year? Charter Renewal Date Term Date

No 01/03/1994

8a. Was Terminated During 8b. Specific 8c. Actual Termination

FiscalYear? Term Date Authority

No

9. Agency 10b.

Recommendation for Next Req to Terminate?

| The state of the state of

Continue Not Applicable Not Applicable

11. Establishment Authority Authorized by Law

12. Specific 13. 14.

Establishment Effective Commitee Presidential?

Authority Date Type

42 U.S.C. 282 (b)(16) 11/20/1985 Continuing No

15. Description of Committee Grant Review Committee

16a. Total

No Reports for this FiscalYear

Reports

17a.

0 17b. Closed 0 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total 0 Open

Meetings and Dates

No Meetings

Current Next

FY FY

18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00\$0.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$0.00\$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$0.00\$0.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$0.00\$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00\$0.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$0.00\$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$0.00\$0.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$0.00 \$0.00
18c. Other(rents,user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	\$0.00\$0.00
18d. Total	\$0.00\$0.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)	0.00 0.00

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

Section 492 of the PHS Act states that The Secretary...shall by regulation require appropriate technical and scientific peer review of -- (A) applications...; and (B) biomedical and behavioral research and development contracts. This committee is composed of recognized biomedical and behavioral research authorities who represent the forefront of research and technical knowledge and who provide first-level merit review of highly scientific and technical research grant applications and/or contract proposals in the fields related to biological, psychological, cultural and social conditions and traits that affect the manifestation, prevention, treatment and management of physical and mental diseases and disorders.

During this reporting period the committee reviewed 1,514 applications requesting \$2,380,743,149.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

The members of the committee are recognized biomedical and behavioral research authorities in the areas of biology and psychology that pertain to the cultural and social conditions and traits that affect the manifestation, prevention, treatment and management of physical and mental diseases and disorders.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

The committee held 21 meetings during this reporting period.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

This committee is composed of recognized biomedical and/or behavioral research authorities who represent the forefront of research and technical knowledge and who provide first-level merit review of highly scientific and technical research grant applications and contract proposals. These evaluations and recommendations cannot be obtained from other sources because the specialized, complex nature of the applications and proposals requires a unique balance and breadth of expertise not available on the NIH staff or from other established sources.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

The meetings of the committee was closed to the public for the review of grant applications.

Sections 552b(c)(4) and 552b(c)(6) of the Government in the Sunshine Act permit the closing of meetings where discussion could reveal confidential trade secrets or commercial property such as patentable material and personal information, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

21. Remarks

This committee did not produce any public reports during the fiscal year. Due to the assignment of responsibilities within the Center, the roles of the committee designated federal official and committee decision maker are filled by the same individual. Members: In general, initial/integrated review group (IRG) members serve up to six years, which is documented in the Members list. The permanent membership of this IRG may be supplemented at any meeting with temporary members who have experience or expertise in the disciplines and fields related to the IRG's function and are appointed to review some or all of the applications considered at that meeting. Therefore, the Members list reflects meeting dates, not appointment start and end dates for these members. While only one meeting date is listed as an appointment start and end date, an IRG temporary member may have attended several meetings throughout the fiscal year. Meeting rosters, including members' affiliations and zip codes are available online at https://public.era.nih.gov/pubroster/. The following member terms ended early: Drs. Cynthia A Berg (03/25/2023) and John Edward Pachankis (10/25/2022). Drs. Luke W Hyde, Teresia M O'Connor, Steven A Safren, and Yael Schenker's roles changed to chairperson during the fiscal year. Dr. Teresia M O'Connor was reappointed

during the fiscal year.

Designated Federal Officer

Valerie Durrant Director, Division of AIDS, Behavioral and Population Sciences

Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
Ashare, Rebecca	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Audrain-McGovern, Janet	07/01/2020	06/30/2024	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Bartholow, Bruce	07/01/2019	06/30/2024	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Beal, Sarah	07/01/2023	06/30/2029	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Beets, Michael	07/01/2020	06/30/2024	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Berkel, Cady	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Binswanger, Ingrid	07/01/2020	06/30/2026	Senior Investigator	Peer Review Consultant Member
Birditt, Kira	07/01/2020	06/30/2024	Research Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Bleakley, Amy	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Bogenschutz, Michael	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Bricker, Jonathan	07/01/2019	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Brooker, Rebecca	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Brown, Susan	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Bruce, Marino	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Butler, Ashley	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member

Buu, Yuh-Pey	07/01/2020	06/30/2024	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Carson, Tiffany	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Associate Member	Peer Review Consultant Member
Chaplin, Tara	07/01/2020	06/30/2024	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Chow, Eric	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Claus, Eric	07/01/2020	06/30/2024	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Coifman, Karin	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Comulada, Warren	12/18/2020	06/30/2024	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Connell, Arin	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
DITRE, JOSEPH	07/01/2018	06/30/2024	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
Davidson, Tatiana	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Dekel, Sharon	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Assistant Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Dodge, Brian	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Dunsmore, Julie	07/01/2020	06/30/2024	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Ebner, Natalie	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Eddington, Kari	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Fabiano, Gregory	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Fagundes, Christopher	07/01/2020	06/30/2024	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Finan, Patrick	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member

Flowers, Elena	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Fortier, Michelle	07/01/2020	06/30/2024	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Fortuna, Lisa	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Gage-Bouchard, Elizabeth	07/01/2020	06/30/2024	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Gallo, Linda	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Gamarel, Kristine	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Goldstein-Piekarski, Andrea	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Assistant Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Gonzalez, Brian	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Assistant Member and Scientific Director	Peer Review Consultant Member
Gothe, Neha	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Grov, Christian	12/18/2020	06/30/2024	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Harper, Felicity	07/01/2020	06/30/2024	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Hassett, Afton	07/01/2020	06/30/2024	Associate Research Scientist	Peer Review Consultant Member
Hawley, Nicola	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Heads, Angela	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Hendershot, Christian	07/01/2020	06/30/2026	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Hidalgo, Marco	07/01/2020	06/30/2024	Assistant Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Hingle, Melanie	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Hyde, Luke	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member

Infurna, Frank	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Iwelunmor, Juliet	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Jones, Jermaine	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Associate Professor of Clinical Neurobiology	Peer Review Consultant Member
KRONISH, IAN	07/01/2018	06/30/2024	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
Kim, Youngmee	07/01/2020	06/30/2024	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
King, Kevin	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Kong, Grace	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Labrie, Joseph	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Lambert, Sharon	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Ledgerwood, David	07/01/2020	06/30/2024	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Lin, Feng	07/01/2020	06/30/2024	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Lovejoy, Travis	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Lundahl, Leslie	07/01/2020	06/30/2024	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
MacDonell, Karen	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Marhefka, Stephanie	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Professor and Assistant Dean for Research	Peer Review Consultant Member
Marquez, David	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Martin, Michelle	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member

McClure, Erin	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
McHugh, Rebecca	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
McNeely, Jennifer	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
McPherson, Sterling	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Professor and Assistant Dean for Research	Peer Review Consultant Member
Montgomery, Guy	07/01/2020	06/30/2024	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Montgomery, LaTrice	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Mueller, Anna	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Luther Dana Waterman Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Mumba, Mercy	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Napadow, Vitaly	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Nelson, Timothy	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Newcomb, Michael	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Njoroge, Wanjiku	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Assistant Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
O'Connor, Teresia	07/01/2020	06/30/2025	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Ostrov, Jamie	07/01/2020	06/30/2024	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Oxford, Monica	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Research Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Parade, Stephanie	07/01/2020	06/30/2024	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Patel, Viraj	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member

Pearson, Jennifer	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Pearson, Matthew	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Pedersen, Sarah	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Phillips, Erica	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Phillips, Siobhan	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Piper, Megan	07/01/2019	06/30/2024	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Poteat, Tonia	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Assistant Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Pyatak, Elizabeth	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Radhakrishnan, Kavita	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Renn, Cynthia	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Rizzo, Christie	07/01/2020	06/30/2024	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Sabin, Lora	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Sadasivam, Rajani	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Saelens, Brian	07/01/2020	06/30/2024	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Safren, Steven	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Salas-Wright, Christopher	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Salvy, Sarah-Jeanne	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Santos, Glenn-Milo	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member

Schenker, Yael	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Schmitz, Joy	07/01/2020	06/30/2026	Professor and Director	Peer Review Consultant Member
Scott, Stacey	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Scull, Tracy	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Senior Research Scientist	Peer Review Consultant Member
Sheffer, Christine	07/01/2020	06/30/2024	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Sheftall, Arielle	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Assistant Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Shorey, Ryan	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Smith-Darden, Joanne	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Song, Lixin	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Spears, Claire	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Spillane, Nichea	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Stapleton, Jerod	07/01/2020	06/30/2024	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Starrels, Joanna	07/01/2021	06/30/2027	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Stevens-Watkins, Danelle	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Stormshak, Elizabeth	07/01/2020	06/30/2024	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Swain, James	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
TURAN, JANET	12/18/2020	06/30/2024	Proferssor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Taliaferro, Lindsay	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Assistant Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member

Tandon, Shiv	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Tapia, Ignacio	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Taylor, Zoe	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Thames, April	12/18/2020	06/30/2024	Associater Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Thomas, John	07/01/2020	06/30/2024	Associate Professor (Research)	Peer Review Consultant Member
Tomiyama, Ayako	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Trentacosta, Christopher	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Valentino, Kristin	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Vidoni, Eric	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Vilardaga, Roger	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Assistant Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Webel, Allison	12/18/2020	06/30/2024	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Welk, Greg	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Wen, Kuang-Yi	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Wiggins, Jillian	07/01/2021	06/30/2024	Assistant Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Wilson, Stephen	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Wilson, Tracey	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Wilton, Leo	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Windsor, Liliane	12/18/2020	06/30/2024	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member

Yaggi, Henry 07/01/2023 06/30/2027 Professor of Consultant Medicine Member

Yi, Richard 07/01/2021 06/30/2025 Professor Consultant

Member

Number of Committee Members Listed: 141

Narrative Description

NIH's mission is to seek fundamental knowledge about the nature and behavior of living systems and the application of that knowledge to enhance health, lengthen life, and reduce illness and disability. NIH works toward that mission by supporting the research of non-Federal scientists in universities, medical schools, hospitals, and research institutions throughout the country and abroad. Section 492 of the PHS Act states that The Secretary ...shall by regulation require appropriate technical and scientific peer review of - (A) applications...; and (B) biomedical and behavioral research and development contracts...

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

	Checked if	
	Applies	
Improvements to health or safety		-
Trust in government		
Major policy changes		
Advance in scientific research	.	-
Effective grant making		-
Improved service delivery		
Increased customer satisfaction		
Implementation of laws or regulatory		
requirements		
Other		

Outcome Comments

NA

What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

	Checked if Applies
None	
Unable to Determine	✓
Under \$100,000	
\$100,000 - \$500,000	
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	
\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000	
\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000	
Over \$10,000,000	
Cost Savings Other	

Cost Savings Comments

NIH supported basic and clinical research accomplishments often take many years to unfold into new diagnostic tests and new ways to treat and prevent diseases.

What is the approximate <u>Number</u> of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?

27,727

Number of Recommendations Comments

Grant Review

What is the approximate $\underline{\text{Percentage}}$ of these recommendations that have been or will be $\underline{\text{Fully}}$ implemented by the agency? 0%

% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

The mission of the Center for Scientific Review is to provide the funding Institutes and Centers of NIH with the scientific and technical merit of research grant applications submitted to the NIH. It is these Institutes or Centers and their advisory councils that make funding decisions. NIH Peer Review Committees are involved in the initial review of research grant applications. The NIH dual peer review system is mandated by statute in accordance with section 492 of the Public Health Service Act. The charge to this committee is to determine scientific and technical merit of the individual grants or contracts. These recommendations are forwarded to Federal officials who generally accept the committee's recommendations and favorable applications are then forwarded for the second level of review performed by Institute and Center (IC) National Advisory Councils or Boards. Only applications that are favorably recommended by both the initial peer review committee and the Advisory Council may be funded.

What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations that have been or will be <u>Partially</u> implemented by the agency?

0%

% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

The mission of the Center for Scientific Review is to provide the funding Institutes and Centers of NIH with the scientific and technical merit of research grant applications submitted to the NIH. It is these Institutes or Centers and their advisory councils that make funding decisions. NIH Peer Review Committees are involved in the initial review of research grant applications. The NIH dual peer review system is mandated by statute in accordance with section 492 of the Public Health Service Act. The charge to this committee is to determine scientific and technical merit of the individual grants or contracts. These recommendations are forwarded to Federal officials who generally accept the committee's recommendations and favorable applications are then forwarded for the second level of review performed by Institute and Center (IC) National Advisory Councils or Boards. Only applications that are favorably recommended by both the initial peer review committee and the Advisory Council may be funded.

Does the	agency p	provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to
impleme	nt recomr	nendations or advice offered?
Yes ✓	No	Not Applicable

Agency Feedback Comments

Information resulting from closed initial peer review meetings is subject to the Freedom of Information Act. The public can view information on research projects funded by NIH on the RePORT (Research Portfolio Online Reporting Tool) website located at http://report.nih.gov.

What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?

	Checked if Applies
Reorganized Priorities	
Reallocated resources	
Issued new regulation	
Proposed legislation	
Approved grants or other payments	✓
Other	

Action Comments

An action of "approved" or "recommended" for grants receiving initial peer review by this committee does not infer that the grant will be or has been funded. Research grant applications submitted to NIH must go through a two-step review process that includes the initial peer review for scientific and technical merit and a second step of review and approval by a National Advisory Council for program relevance. In addition, prior to an award or funding being made, NIH staff must conduct an administrative review for a number of other considerations. These include alignment with NIH's funding principles, review of the project budget, assessment of the applicant's management systems, determination of applicant eligibility, and compliance with public policy requirements. After all these steps have been completed, NIH officials make funding decisions on individual grant applications.

Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?

Yes

What is the estimated <u>Number</u> of grants reviewed for approval

What is the estimated <u>Number</u> of grants recommended for 1,514

approval 1,514

What is the estimated **Dollar Value** of grants recommended for approval \$2,380,743,149

Grant Review Comments

Grant Review

How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

	Checked if Applies
Contact DFO	√
Online Agency Web Site	√
Online Committee Web Site	√
Online GSA FACA Web Site	√
Publications	
Other	

Access Comments

N/A