2024 Current Fiscal Year Report: Health Care Policy and Research Special Emphasis Panel

Report Run Date: 04/26/2024 10:07:42 AM

1. Department or Agency 2. Fiscal Year

Department of Health and Human Services 2024

3b. GSA
3. Committee or Subcommittee

Committee No.

Health Care Policy and Research Special

Emphasis Panel

4. Is this New During 5. Current 6. Expected 7. Expected Fiscal Year? Charter Renewal Date Term Date

No 10/01/1993

8a. Was Terminated During 8b. Specific 8c. Actual Termination Term Date

Authority

9. Agency 10b.

Recommendation for Next Req to Terminate?

| Continue of the c

Continue Not Applicable Not Applicable

11. Establishment Authority Statutory (Congress Created)

12. Specific 13. 14.

Establishment Effective Committee Presidential?

Authority Date Type

42 U.S.C. 299c 12/19/1989 Continuing No

15. Description of Committee Grant Review Committee

16a. Total

No Reports for this FiscalYear

Reports

17a.

No

Open 0 17b. Closed 0 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total 0

Meetings and Dates

No Meetings

Current Next

FY FY

18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00\$0.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$0.00\$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$0.00\$0.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$0.00\$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00\$0.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$0.00\$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$0.00\$0.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$0.00\$0.00
18c. Other(rents,user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	\$0.00\$0.00
18d. Total	\$0.00\$0.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)	0.00 0.00

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

This committee reviews research grant proposals that require a unique combination of reviewer expertise, e.g., for complex multidisciplinary projects and new areas of study, or that require standards of review different from those ordinarily applied by standing peer review committees because of project size, or that require expedited review.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

The Committee has a fluid membership with members designated to serve for individual meetings rather than formally appointed for fixed terms of service. Membership include individuals representing the fields of health services research, including, but not limited to, basic biomedical and clinical disciplines, health care technology development and assessment, clinical, social, organizational, and information sciences.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

Meetings will be held as necessary as determined by the Designated Federal Official (DFO), who shall approve the agenda. A government official shall be present at all meetings. Review meetings may be conducted by assembly or by teleconference or mail ballot, as appropriate. These committee meetings are essential to the continuation of AHRQ's extramural program in health services research.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

The members of the Panel are eminently qualified to carry out the duties of the Panel by virtue of their training or experience and fulfill the peer review required set forth by legislation. Due to the diversity and the short turn around time of these reviews, i.e., ad hoc grant reviews, no other source is available to handle the reviews.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

SEP Meetings are closed. Portion of meetings may involved review, discussion, and evaluation of individual grant applications. These applications and the discussions of them are likely to reveal personal information concerning individuals associated with the applications.

21. Remarks

No reports required of this committee. GSA

Comment: The agency did not complete the FY23 ACR for this committee.

Designated Federal Officer

Francis Chesley Director, Office of Research Review, Education and Policy, AHRQ

Narrative Description

The Agency offers grant funding to support projects that carry out its mission and strategic plan. The applications for funding are evaluated by this committee which assenbles the appropriate scientific and technical expertise needed to render judgments about the relative merit of submitted applications. The Committee's judgments are articulated in written form and passed to the Agency decision-makers for determining which applications are to be funded.

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

	Checked if Applies
Improvements to health or safety	✓
Trust in government	✓
Major policy changes	✓
Advance in scientific research	✓
Effective grant making	✓
Improved service delivery	✓
Increased customer satisfaction	
Implementation of laws or regulatory	
requirements	
Other	

Outcome Comments

NA

What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

Checked if Applies

None	
Unable to Determine	✓
Under \$100,000	
\$100,000 - \$500,000	
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	
\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000	
\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000	
Over \$10,000,000	
Cost Savings Other	
Cost Savings Comments NA	
What is the approximate Number of the life of the committee?	of recommendations produced by this committee
Number of Recommendations Con The SEP doesn't make any recomme	
What is the approximate Percenta will be Fully implemented by the a 0%	ge of these recommendations that have been or gency?
% of Recommendations <u>Fully</u> Imp N/A	lemented Comments
What is the approximate Percenta will be Partially implemented by the 0%	ge of these recommendations that have been or ne agency?
% of Recommendations Partially I NA	mplemented Comments
Does the agency provide the coming implement recommendations or a Yes No Not Applicable	

The Agency provides this feedback at review meetings and in the minutes of the review meetings.

What other actions has the agency taken as a recommendation?	result of the committee's advice or		
	Checked if Applies		
Reorganized Priorities			
Reallocated resources	~		
Issued new regulation			
Proposed legislation			
Approved grants or other payments	✓		
Other			
Action Comments			
NA			
Is the Committee engaged in the review of app	olications for grants?		
What is the estimated Number of grants reviewed	d for approval 155		
What is the estimated Number of grants recomme	ended for		
approval	64		
What is the estimated Dollar Value of grants reco	ommended for approval		
	\$105,732,107		
Grant Review Comments			
NA			
How is access provided to the information for	the Committee's documentation?		
	Checked if Applies		
Contact DFO			
Online Agency Web Site	✓		
Online Committee Web Site			
Online GSA FACA Web Site	X		
Publications			
Other			

Access Comments

N/A