

2025 Current Fiscal Year Report: Cell Biology Integrated Review Group

Report Run Date: 05/04/2025 04:07:34 AM

1. Department or Agency

Department of Health and Human
Services

2. Fiscal Year

2025

3. Committee or Subcommittee

Cell Biology Integrated Review Group

3b. GSA Committee

No.

1877

4. Is this New During Fiscal Year?

5. Current Charter

6. Expected Renewal Date

7. Expected Term Date

No

01/03/1994

8a. Was Terminated During Fiscal Year?

No

8b. Specific Termination Authority

8c. Actual Term Date

9. Agency Recommendation for Next Fiscal Year

Continue

10a. Legislation Req to Terminate?

Not Applicable

10b. Legislation Pending?

Not Applicable

11. Establishment Authority

Authorized by Law

12. Specific Establishment Authority

42 USC 282(b)(16)

13. Effective Date

11/20/1985

14. Committee Type

Continuing

14c. Presidential?

No

15. Description of Committee

Grant Review Committee

16a. Total Number of Reports

No Reports for
this Fiscal Year

17a.

Open

0

17b. Closed

0

17c. Partially Closed

0

Other Activities

0

17d. Total

0

Meetings and Dates

No Meetings

Current FY

Next FY

18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members

\$0.00 \$0.00

18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18c. Other(rents,user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	\$0.00	\$0.00
18d. Total	\$0.00	\$0.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)	0.00	0.00

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

Section 492 of the PHS Act states that The Secretary...shall by regulation require appropriate technical and scientific peer review of -- (A) applications...; and (B) biomedical and behavioral research and development contracts. This committee is composed of recognized biomedical and behavioral research authorities who represent the forefront of research and technical knowledge and who provide first-level merit of funding applications and proposals, including but not limited to grant and cooperative agreement applications and contract proposals for research projects and for research and training activities in areas relevant to cell growth, proliferation and cell cycle control; nuclear architecture and transport; RNA trafficking and localization; posttranslational modifications; protein processing, glycosylation

and folding; membrane structure and function; lipid traffic and metabolism; cell asymmetry and polarity; ion transport and dynamics and protein translocation; the secretory pathway, endocytosis, exocytosis and phagocytosis; degradative processes; cell adhesion, junctions and cell fusion; extracellular matrix and ECM receptors; signaling mechanisms and networks; integrative cell physiology including circadian clocks, stress and oxidative damage response; motors, filaments and cargoes; cell locomotion; mitosis and meiosis; cell death; multicellular interactions and development including higher order complexity in tissues; cell differentiation and oncogenic transformation; and the development of new methodologies, including advances in imaging and biosensors. During this reporting period the committee reviewed 1,541 applications requesting \$2,414,268,548.00

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

The members of this committee are authorities knowledgeable in the various disciplines and fields relating to cell growth, proliferation and cell cycle control; nuclear architecture and transport; RNA trafficking and localization; posttranslational modifications; protein processing, glycosylation and folding; membrane structure and function; lipid traffic and metabolism; cell asymmetry and polarity; ion transport and dynamics and protein translocation; the secretory pathway, endocytosis, exocytosis and phagocytosis; degradative processes; cell adhesion, junctions and cell fusion; extracellular matrix and ECM receptors; signaling mechanisms and networks; integrative cell physiology including circadian clocks, stress and oxidative damage response; motors, filaments and cargoes; cell locomotion; mitosis and meiosis; cell death; multicellular interactions and development

including higher order complexity in tissues; cell differentiation and oncogenic transformation; and the development of new methodologies, including advances in imaging and biosensors. Areas of international relevance are also within the purview of the review group.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

The committee held 21 meetings during this reporting period.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

This committee is composed of recognized biomedical and/or behavioral research authorities who represent the forefront of research and technical knowledge and who provide first-level merit review of funding applications and proposals, including but not limited to grant and cooperative agreement applications and contract proposals for research projects and for research and training activities. These evaluations and recommendations cannot be obtained from other sources because the specialized, complex nature of the applications and proposals requires a unique balance and breadth of expertise not available on the NIH staff or from other established sources.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

The meetings of the committee were closed to the public for the review of grant applications. Sections 552b(c)(4) and 552b(c)(6) of the Government in the Sunshine Act permit the closing of meetings where discussion could reveal confidential trade secrets or commercial property such as patentable material and personal

information, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

21. Remarks

This committee did not produce any public reports during the fiscal year. Due to the assignment of responsibilities within the Center, the roles of the committee designated federal officer and committee decision maker are filled by the same individual. Drs. Kristen Kwan, Helen McNeill, Karen Oegema, and Jeffrey Brodsky were reassigned to the role of chairperson during the fiscal year. The following member's term ended early: Dr. Cara Gottardi (7/09/2024). In general, initial/integrated review group (IRG) members serve up to six years, which is documented in the Members list. Temporary members are individuals recruited based on expertise needed for each meeting. Some temporary members may attend one meeting only while others may attend multiple meetings. Instead of appointment start and end dates, each meeting date on which the temporary member served is identified on the Members list. Meeting rosters, including members' affiliations and zip codes are available online at <https://public.era.nih.gov/pubroster/>.

Designated Federal Officer

Ray Jacobson Director, Division of Basic and Integrative Biological Sciences

Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
Abraham, Soman	07/01/2023	06/30/2029	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Adam, Alejandro	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Agbaga, Martin-Paul	07/01/2022	06/30/2028	Assistant Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member

Aguilar, Carlos	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Assistant Professor, Director	Peer Review Consultant Member
Alnemri, Emad	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Bagnat, Michel	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Professor/HHMI Faculty Scholar	Peer Review Consultant Member
Bai, Hua	07/01/2024	06/30/2028	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Bhalla, Needhi	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Blind, Raymond	07/01/2021	06/30/2027	Assistant Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Borodinsky, Laura	07/01/2021	06/30/2027	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Bouyain, Samuel	07/01/2020	06/30/2026	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Bowman, Teresa	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Brakenridge, Scott	09/07/2022	06/30/2028	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Brodsky, Jeffrey	09/07/2022	06/30/2026	Avinoff Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
CATZ, SERGIO	07/01/2019	06/30/2025	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
Caino, Maria	09/07/2022	06/30/2026	Assistant Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Calo-Velazquez, Eliezer	07/01/2024	06/30/2028	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Camell, Christina	07/01/2024	06/30/2028	Assistant Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Cao, Ruifeng	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Capelson, Maya	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Chen, Dong Feng	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member

Chen, Xin Jie	07/01/2022	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Cohen-Fix, Orna	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Senior Investigator, NIH	Regular Government Employee (RGE) Member
Conway, Daniel	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Cram, Erin	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
DeLuca, Jennifer	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Di Talia, Stefano	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Elefant, Felice	07/01/2024	06/30/2028	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Finley, Daniel	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Galperin, Emilia	09/07/2022	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Galvan, Veronica	07/01/2020	06/30/2026	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Gamm, David	07/01/2020	06/30/2026	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Garcia, Hernan	07/01/2023	06/30/2029	Assistant Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Ghaemmaghani, Sina	07/01/2024	06/30/2028	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Ghosh, Pradipta	07/01/2024	06/30/2028	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Gibson, Angela	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Assistant Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Gurkar, Aditi	07/01/2024	06/30/2030	Assistant Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Hackman, Abigail	07/01/2019	06/30/2025	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member

Hoppins, Suzanne	07/24/2023	06/30/2027	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Horne-Badovinac, Sally	07/01/2020	06/30/2026	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Hughes, Adam	07/01/2024	06/30/2030	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Ichinose, Tomomi	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Ivanova, Natalia	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Jewell, Jenna	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Jia, Jianhang	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Jiang, Xuejun	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Juliano, Celina	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Assistant Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Karpac, Jason	07/01/2024	06/30/2028	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Kashina, Anna	07/01/2024	06/30/2028	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Kefalov, Vladimir	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Khalimonchuk, Oleh	09/08/2022	06/30/2025	Susan J. Rosowski Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Kim, Jonghwan	07/01/2024	06/30/2028	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Knaut, Holger	07/01/2024	06/30/2028	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Kwan, Kristen	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
La Torre, Anna	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Lachke, Salil	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Professor and Associate Chair	Peer Review Consultant Member

Lakkaraju, Aparna	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Lamming, Dudley	07/01/2020	06/30/2026	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Lechtreck, Karl	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Levine, Edward	07/01/2024	06/30/2028	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Levy, Daniel	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Lin, Jonathan	07/01/2024	06/30/2028	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Liu, Qinglian	07/01/2020	06/30/2026	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Liu, Xing	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Assistant Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Lwigale, Peter	07/01/2021	06/30/2027	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
McNeill, Helen	07/01/2023	06/30/2026	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Melendez, Alicia	07/01/2021	06/30/2027	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Mendoza, Michelle	07/01/2024	06/30/2028	Assistant Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Moseley, James	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Mu, Tingwei	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Mu, Xiuqian	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Munro, Edwin	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Murray, John	07/01/2024	06/30/2028	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Nakada, Daisuke	07/01/2024	06/30/2028	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member

Nechiporuk, Alex	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Nerurkar, Nandan	07/01/2024	06/30/2028	Assistant Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Ninomiya-Tsuji, Jun	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Nystul, Todd	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Oberst, Andrew	07/01/2024	06/30/2028	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Oegema, Karen	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Ohi, Ryoma	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Ono, Shoichiro	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Ostrin, Lisa	07/01/2024	06/30/2028	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Ozbudak, Ertugrul	07/01/2024	06/30/2028	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Parent, Carole	09/07/2022	06/30/2026	Raymond and Lynne Ruddon Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Park, Paul	07/01/2024	06/30/2028	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Perissi, Valentina	07/01/2024	06/30/2028	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Pickrell, Alicia	07/01/2024	06/30/2028	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Prahlad, Veena	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Professor of Oncology	Peer Review Consultant Member
Prasanth, Supriya	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Pu, William	07/01/2019	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Ralston, Amy	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member

Ribeiro, Carla Maria	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Rogers, Crystal	07/01/2024	06/30/2030	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Sadagurski, Marianna	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Salas, Pedro	07/01/2020	06/30/2026	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Schwartz, Thomas	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Sesaki, Hiromi	09/07/2022	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Shen, Jingshi	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Shiau, Celia	07/01/2023	06/30/2029	Assistant Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Shirasu-Hiza, Michele	07/01/2020	06/30/2026	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Smith-Bolton, Rachel	07/01/2024	06/30/2028	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Smith, David	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Smith, Jeffrey	07/01/2022	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Smolka, Marcus	07/01/2019	06/30/2025	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Sokac, Anna	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Sridharan, Rupa	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Strader, Lucia	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Straight, Aaron	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Sun, Jingru	07/01/2024	06/30/2028	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member

Sun, Shi-Yong	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Sung, Ching-Hwa	07/01/2024	06/30/2028	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Svitkina, Tatyana	07/01/2020	06/30/2026	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Swamynathan, Shivalingappa	07/01/2024	06/30/2028	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Tall, Gregory	07/01/2024	06/30/2030	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Thomsen, Alex	07/01/2024	06/30/2030	Assistant Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Torres, Jorge	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Traver, David	07/01/2022	06/30/2028	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Tsvetanova, Nikoleta	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Assistant Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Unal, Elcin	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Vachharajani, Vidula	07/01/2024	06/30/2028	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Walker, Amy	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Wang, Yingxiao	09/07/2022	06/30/2028	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Watts, Jennifer	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Weake, Vikki	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Webb, Ashley	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Assistant Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Weisman, Lois	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
West-Mays, Judith	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member

Winter, Deborah	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Assistant Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Xu, Ming	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Assistant Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Yao, Tso-Pang	07/01/2024	06/30/2028	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
You, Zhongsheng	07/01/2024	06/30/2028	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Zayas, Ricardo	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Zohn, Irene	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Associate Professor and Principal Investigator	Peer Review Consultant Member
Zoncu, Roberto	07/01/2023	06/30/2026	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
de Graffenried, Christopher	07/01/2024	06/30/2028	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member

Number of Committee Members Listed: 136

Narrative Description

NIH's mission is to seek fundamental knowledge about the nature and behavior of living systems and the application of that knowledge to enhance health, lengthen life, and reduce illness and disability. NIH works toward that mission by supporting the research of non-Federal scientists in universities, medical schools, hospitals, and research institutions throughout the country and abroad. Section 492 of the PHS Act states that The Secretary...shall by regulation require appropriate technical and scientific peer review of -- (A) applications...; and (B) biomedical and behavioral research and development contracts...

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

Checked if
Applies

Improvements to health or safety



Trust in government



- | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|
| Major policy changes | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Advance in scientific research | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Effective grant making | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Improved service delivery | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Increased customer satisfaction | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Implementation of laws or regulatory requirements | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Other | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Outcome Comments

NA

What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

Checked if Applies

- | | |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| None | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Unable to Determine | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Under \$100,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| \$100,000 - \$500,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| \$500,001 - \$1,000,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| \$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| \$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Over \$10,000,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Cost Savings Other | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Cost Savings Comments

NIH supported basic and clinical research accomplishments often take many years to unfold into new diagnostic tests and new ways to treat and prevent diseases.

What is the approximate Number of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?

31,501

Number of Recommendations Comments

Grant Review

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Fully implemented by the agency?

0%

% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

The mission of the Center for Scientific Review is to provide the funding Institutes and Centers of NIH with the scientific and technical merit of research grant applications submitted to the NIH. It is these Institutes or Centers and their advisory councils that make funding decisions. NIH Peer Review Committees are involved in the initial review of research grant applications. The NIH dual peer review system is mandated by statute in accordance with section 492 of the Public Health Service Act. The charge to this committee is to determine scientific and technical merit of the individual grants or contracts. These recommendations are forwarded to Federal officials who generally accept the committee's recommendations and favorable applications are then forwarded for the second level of review performed by Institute and Center (IC) National Advisory Councils or Boards. Only applications that are favorably recommended by both the initial peer review committee and the Advisory Council may be funded.

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Partially implemented by the agency?

0%

% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

The mission of the Center for Scientific Review is to provide the funding Institutes and Centers of NIH with the scientific and technical merit of research grant applications submitted to the NIH. It is these Institutes or Centers and their advisory councils that make funding decisions. NIH Peer Review Committees are involved in the initial review of research grant applications. The NIH dual peer review system is mandated by statute in accordance with section 492 of the Public Health Service Act. The charge to this committee is to determine scientific and technical merit of the individual grants or contracts. These recommendations are forwarded to Federal officials who generally accept the committee's recommendations and favorable applications are then forwarded for the second level of review performed by Institute and Center (IC) National Advisory Councils or Boards. Only applications that are favorably recommended by both the initial peer review committee and the Advisory Council may be funded.

Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered?

Yes ☒ No ☐ Not Applicable ☐

Agency Feedback Comments

Information resulting from closed initial peer review meetings is subject to the Freedom of

Information Act. The public can view information on research projects funded by NIH on the RePORT (Research Portfolio Online Reporting Tool) website located at <http://report.nih.gov>.

What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?

Checked if Applies

Reorganized Priorities	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reallocated resources	<input type="checkbox"/>
Issued new regulation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Proposed legislation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Approved grants or other payments	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

Action Comments

An action of "approved" or "recommended" for grants receiving initial peer review by this committee does not infer that the grant will be or has been funded. Research grant applications submitted to NIH must go through a two-step review process that includes the initial peer review for scientific and technical merit and a second step of review and approval by a National Advisory Council for program relevance. In addition, prior to an award or funding being made, NIH staff must conduct an administrative review for a number of other considerations. These include alignment with NIH's funding principles, review of the project budget, assessment of the applicant's management systems, determination of applicant eligibility, and compliance with public policy requirements. After all these steps have been completed, NIH officials make funding decisions on individual grant applications.

Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?

Yes

What is the estimated **Number** of grants reviewed for approval

What is the estimated **Number** of grants recommended for approval 1,541

What is the estimated **Dollar Value** of grants recommended for approval \$2,414,268,548

Grant Review Comments

Grant Review

How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

Checked if Applies

Contact DFO	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Online Agency Web Site	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Online Committee Web Site	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Online GSA FACA Web Site	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Publications	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

Access Comments

N/A