

2025 Current Fiscal Year Report: National Institute of Nursing Research Special Emphasis Panel

Report Run Date: 04/24/2026 08:33:45 PM

1. Department or Agency Department of Health and Human Services
2. Fiscal Year 2025

3. Committee or Subcommittee National Institute of Nursing Research
Special Emphasis Panel
3b. GSA Committee No. 2086

4. Is this New During Fiscal Year? No
5. Current Charter 09/29/1995
6. Expected Renewal Date
7. Expected Term Date

8a. Was Terminated During Fiscal Year? Yes
8b. Specific Termination Authority 2025 Secretary Directive
8c. Actual Term Date 06/30/2025

9. Agency Recommendation for Next Fiscal Year Terminate
10a. Legislation Req to Terminate? No
10b. Legislation Pending? Not Applicable

11. Establishment Authority Authorized by Law

12. Specific Establishment Authority 42 USC 282(b)(16)
13. Effective Date 11/20/1985
14. Committee Type Continuing
14c. Presidential? No

15. Description of Committee Special Emphasis Panel

16a. Total Number of Reports No Reports for this Fiscal Year

17a. Open 0
17b. Closed 5
17c. Partially Closed 0
Other Activities 0
17d. Total 5

Meetings and Dates

Purpose	Start	End
NIH Peer Review	10/17/2024	- 10/17/2024
NIH Peer Review	11/07/2024	- 11/07/2024
NIH Peer Review	04/15/2025	- 04/15/2025

NIH Peer Review 04/17/2025 - 04/17/2025

NIH Peer Review 04/22/2025 - 04/23/2025

Number of Committee Meetings Listed: 5

	Current FY	Next FY
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members	\$17,800.00	\$0.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$465,331.00	\$0.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18c. Administrative Costs (FRNs, contractor support, In-person/hybrid/virtual meetings)	\$0.00	\$0.00
18d. Other (all other funds not captured by any other cost category)	\$0.00	\$0.00
18e. Total Costs	\$483,131.00	\$0.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)	3.10	0.00

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

This committee is composed of recognized biomedical and/or behavioral research authorities who represent the forefront of research and technical knowledge and who provide first-level merit review of highly scientific and technical

research grant applications and contract proposals in the broad areas of nursing research. Operations of this committee are accomplished using a fluid membership, with members designated to serve for individual meetings rather than formally appointed for fixed terms of service. During this reporting period a group of panels reviewed a total of 71 grant applications requesting \$165,203,540.00.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

This Committee had a fluid membership, with members designated to serve for individual meetings rather than formally appointed for fixed terms of service. The reviewers for each meeting was selected to evaluate grant applications and contract proposals for a specific, perhaps narrow, expertise area. Participants for each meeting were assembled to most efficiently and effectively cover the number and breadth of applications and contracts requiring review. Membership included authorities who were knowledgeable in the various disciplines and fields relating to nursing research, such as acute and chronic illness, prevention, community health and other related areas of research.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

The Panel held 5 FACA Meetings during this reporting period. The flexibility in review allowed by this Committee structure has proved both efficient and effective.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

Per "2025 Secretary Directive" this FACA Committee has been terminated.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

The meetings of the National Institute of Nursing Research Special Emphasis Panel were closed to the public for the review of grant applications and contract proposals. Sections 552b(c)(4) and 552b(c)(6) of the Government in the Sunshine Act permit the closing of meetings where discussion could reveal confidential trade secrets or commercial property such as patentable material and personal information, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

21. Remarks

Reports: This committee did not produce any reports during this fiscal year. Per "2025 Secretary Directive " this FACA Committee has been terminated. Chairperson: There were 5 meetings in FY25; Chairpersons for each meeting is identified in the Member section. Website: This committee did not have a dedicated website. DFO/Committee Decision Maker: The DFO and Committee Decision Maker positions were held by the same individual based on the assignment of duties in this Institute. The members of this Special Emphasis Panel (SEP) did not have standing appointments and served on an as needed basis for meetings throughout the fiscal year. Therefore, the members list does not reflect appointment start and end dates. As often as possible, instead of appointment start and end dates, each meeting date on which the SEP member served is identified on the N/A Members list. When necessary to protect peer review integrity, a fiscal year start and end date was used as the alternative to appointment start and end dates for some SEP members. As a result, the

Members list, including the number of chairs, may not align or directly match to specific meeting dates.

Designated Federal Officer

Elizabeth Tarlov Director, Division of Extramural Science Programs

Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
ALHUSEN, JEANNE	03/14/2025	03/14/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
ALLEN, JERILYN	03/14/2025	03/14/2025	Professor Emerita	Peer Review Consultant Member
Bell, Nathaniel	03/14/2025	03/14/2025	Director	Peer Review Consultant Member
Bellamy, Chyrell	04/22/2025	04/23/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Betz, Marian	10/01/2024	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Bitterman, Danielle	03/14/2025	03/14/2025	Assistant Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Blankenship, Kim	04/22/2025	04/23/2025	Distinguished Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Budhwani, Henna	04/22/2025	04/23/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Byhoff, Elena	10/17/2024	10/17/2024	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
CANTOR, JOEL	04/22/2025	04/23/2025	Director	Peer Review Consultant Member
COLEY, REBEKAH	04/22/2025	04/23/2025	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
CORONADO, GLORIA	10/17/2024	10/17/2024	Distinguished Investigator, Senior Scientist, Associate Director	Peer Review Consultant Member
Camacho, Marlene	03/14/2025	03/14/2025	Assistant Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member

Colvin, Jeffrey	04/22/2025	04/23/2025	Professor and Director of Research	Peer Review Consultant Member
Cong, Xiaomei	10/17/2024	10/17/2024	Professor of Nursing / Associate Dean of Research	Peer Review Consultant Member
Culyba, Alison	10/01/2024	06/30/2025	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
DANIELSON, CARLA	10/17/2024	10/17/2024	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
DATAR, ASHLESHA	04/22/2025	04/23/2025	SENIOR ECONOMIST	Peer Review Consultant Member
Darney, Blair	10/01/2024	06/30/2025	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Dickson-Gomez, Julia	04/22/2025	04/23/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Duarte-Gardea, Maria	10/01/2024	06/30/2025	Professor and Chair	Peer Review Consultant Member
EDBERG, MARK	10/01/2024	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
EDDY, John	10/01/2024	06/30/2025	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
FERRELL, BETTY	10/17/2024	10/17/2024	Director of Nursing Research & Education, Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Federman, Alex	03/14/2025	03/14/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Fenelon, Andrew	04/22/2025	04/23/2025	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Finch, Brian	10/17/2024	10/17/2024	Research Professor and Director	Peer Review Consultant Member
Flores Millender, Eugenia	03/14/2025	03/14/2025	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
GLASS, NANCY	10/01/2024	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
GREENWALD, RICHARD	04/17/2025	04/17/2025	Adjunct Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member

Gonzalez-Guarda, Rosa	10/01/2024	06/30/2025	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
Hamad, Rita	04/22/2025	04/23/2025	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Hamilton, Jill	10/17/2024	10/17/2024	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Heitkemper, Margaret	10/17/2024	10/17/2024	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Hosig, Kathryn	03/14/2025	03/14/2025	Associate Professor and Director	Peer Review Consultant Member
Hsieh, Hsing-Fang	10/01/2024	06/30/2025	Research Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Hudson, Darrell	03/14/2025	03/14/2025	Professor and Department Chair	Peer Review Consultant Member
JAFFEE, SARA	04/22/2025	04/23/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
KENYA, SONJIA	10/17/2024	10/17/2024	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Kelleher, Samantha	03/14/2025	03/14/2025	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Kravitz-Wirtz, Nicole	10/01/2024	06/30/2025	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
LYON, DEBRA	03/14/2025	03/14/2025	Professor and Executive Associate Dean	Peer Review Consultant Member
Linton, Sabriya	04/22/2025	04/23/2025	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Lorvick, Jennifer	04/22/2025	04/23/2025	Senior Public Health Scientist	Peer Review Consultant Member
McDonald, Jasmine	10/17/2024	10/17/2024	Assistant Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Meade, Cathy	10/17/2024	10/17/2024	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Mehari, Krista	10/01/2024	06/30/2025	Assistant Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Montgomery, Brooke	04/22/2025	04/23/2025	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member

Moser, Debra	03/14/2025	03/14/2025	Professor and Endowed Chair	Peer Review Consultant Member
Narendorf, Sarah	04/22/2025	04/23/2025	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Oates, Gabriela	04/22/2025	04/23/2025	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
PARKER, B	10/01/2024	06/30/2025	President and Senior Technical Director	Peer Review Consultant Member
PEARLMAN, JONATHAN	10/01/2024	06/30/2025	Professor and Chair	Peer Review Consultant Member
Pickler, Rita	03/14/2025	03/14/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Prado, Guillermo	10/01/2024	06/30/2025	Professor of Nursing and Health Studies	Peer Review Consultant Member
RIVARA, FREDERICK	10/01/2024	06/30/2025	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
Redeker, Nancy	10/01/2024	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Reingle Gonzalez, Jennifer	04/22/2025	04/23/2025	Senior Vice President	Peer Review Consultant Member
Rodriguez-Diaz, Carlos	10/17/2024	10/17/2024	Professor and Chair	Peer Review Consultant Member
Rosenzweig, Margaret	10/17/2024	10/17/2024	Distinguished Service Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Samuel, Laura	04/22/2025	04/23/2025	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Sanchez, Katherine	04/22/2025	04/23/2025	Director	Peer Review Consultant Member
TOOZE, JANET	10/17/2024	10/17/2024	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
TSAI, JACK	10/17/2024	10/17/2024	Campus Dean and Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
TYLER, KIMBERLY	04/22/2025	04/23/2025	Professor of Sociology	Peer Review Consultant Member

Vance, Ashlee	10/17/2024	10/17/2024	Assistant Scientist	Peer Review Consultant Member
WEBEL, ALLISON	03/14/2025	03/14/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Wallington, Sherrie	10/17/2024	10/17/2024	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Wang, May	10/17/2024	10/17/2024	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Wang, Victor	10/01/2024	06/30/2025	CEO & Founder	Peer Review Consultant Member
Xiao, Canhua	03/14/2025	03/14/2025	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Yap, Tracey	10/01/2024	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Yaros, Anna	10/01/2024	06/30/2025	Program Director	Peer Review Consultant Member

Number of Committee Members Listed: 73

Narrative Description

NIH’s mission is to seek fundamental knowledge about the nature and behavior of living systems and the application of that knowledge to enhance health, lengthen life, and reduce illness and disability. NIH worked toward that mission by conducting research in its own laboratories. The mission of the Board of Scientific Counselors is to assess the quality of the Institute’s intramural research programs and to evaluate the productivity and performance of intramural scientists in accordance with Section 492(b) of the PHS Act, as amended and shall also, as requested by the Director, NIH, undertake peer review of extramural funding applications as required by section 492(a) of the Public Health Service Act, as amended.

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

Checked if
Applies

Improvements to health or safety



- Trust in government
- Major policy changes
- Advance in scientific research
- Effective grant making
- Improved service delivery
- Increased customer satisfaction
- Implementation of laws or regulatory requirements
- Other

Outcome Comments

NA

What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

Checked if Applies

- None
- Unable to Determine
- Under \$100,000
- \$100,000 - \$500,000
- \$500,001 - \$1,000,000
- \$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000
- \$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000
- Over \$10,000,000
- Cost Savings Other

Cost Savings Comments

NIH supported basic and clinical research accomplishments often take many years to unfold into new diagnostic tests and new ways to treat and prevent diseases.

What is the approximate Number of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?

2,173

Number of Recommendations Comments

Grant Review

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Fully implemented by the agency?

0%

% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

NIH Peer Review Committees are involved in the initial review of research grant applications. The NIH dual peer review system is mandated by statute in accordance with section 492 of the Public Health Service Act. The charge to this committee was to determine scientific and technical merit of the individual grants or contracts. These recommendations were forwarded to Federal officials who generally accepted the committee's recommendations and favorable applications were then forwarded for the second level of review performed by Institute and Center (IC) National Advisory Councils or Boards. Only applications that were favorably recommended by both the initial peer review committee and the Advisory Council were recommended for funding.

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Partially implemented by the agency?

0%

% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

NIH Peer Review Committees are involved in the initial review of research grant applications. The NIH dual peer review system is mandated by statute in accordance with section 492 of the Public Health Service Act. The charge to this committee was to determine scientific and technical merit of the individual grants or contracts. These recommendations were forwarded to Federal officials who generally accepted the committee's recommendations and favorable applications were then forwarded for the second level of review performed by Institute and Center (IC) National Advisory Councils or Boards. Only applications that were favorably recommended by both the initial peer review committee and the Advisory Council were recommended for funding.

Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered?

Yes No Not Applicable

Agency Feedback Comments

Information resulting from closed initial peer review meetings is subject to the Freedom of Information Act. The public can view information on research projects funded by NIH on the RePORT (Research Portfolio Online Reporting Tool) website located at <http://report.nih.gov>.

What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?

Checked if Applies

- Reorganized Priorities
- Reallocated resources
- Issued new regulation
- Proposed legislation
- Approved grants or other payments
- Other

Action Comments

An action of approved or recommended for grants receiving initial peer review by this committee does not infer that the grant will be or has been funded. Research grant applications submitted to NIH must go through a two-step review process that includes the initial peer review for scientific and technical merit and a second step of review and approval by a National Advisory Council for program relevance. In addition, prior to an award or funding being made, NIH staff must conduct an administrative review for a number of other considerations. These include alignment with NIH's funding principles, review of the project budget, assessment of the applicant's management systems, determination of applicant eligibility, and compliance with public policy requirements. After all these steps have been completed, NIH officials make funding decisions on individual grant applications.

Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?

Yes

What is the estimated Number of grants reviewed for approval 71

What is the estimated Number of grants recommended for approval 71

What is the estimated Dollar Value of grants recommended for approval \$165,203,540

Grant Review Comments

NA

How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

Checked if Applies

- Contact DFO
- Online Agency Web Site

Online Committee Web Site



Online GSA FACA Web Site



Publications



Other



Access Comments

NA