## 2023 Current Fiscal Year Report: National Toxicology Program Special Emphasis Panel

Report Run Date: 03/28/2024 09:26:02 AM

1. Department or Agency 2. Fiscal Year

Department of Health and Human

Services

3b. GSA

2023

3. Committee or Subcommittee

Committee No.

14c.

National Toxicology Program Special

**Emphasis Panel** 

5255

4. Is this New During 5. Current 6. Expected 7. Expected Fiscal Year? Charter Renewal Date Term Date

No 01/07/2023 01/07/2025

8a. Was Terminated During 8b. Specific 8c. Actual Termination Term Date

-iscarrear? Authority

No

9. Agency 10b.

Recommendation for Next Req to Terminate?

| The state of the state of

Continue Not Applicable Not Applicable

11. Establishment Authority Authorized by Law

12. Specific 13. 14.

Establishment Effective Commitee Presidential?

Authority Date Type

42 USC 217a 01/07/1997 Continuing No

15. Description of Committee Special Emphasis Panel

16a. Total

No Reports for this FiscalYear

Reports

17a.

0 17b. Closed 0 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total 0

Open

**Meetings and Dates** 

No Meetings

Current Next FY

18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$1,600.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$56,282.00	\$42,829.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts		
to Non-Member	\$0.00	\$0.00
Consultants		
18b(1). Travel and Per		
Diem to Non-Federal	\$0.00	\$8,547.00
Members		
18b(2). Travel and Per		
Diem to Federal	\$0.00	\$0.00
Members		
18b(3). Travel and Per	\$0.00	\$0.00
Diem to Federal Staff	ψ0.00	ψ0.00
18b(4). Travel and Per		
Diem to Non-member	\$0.00	\$0.00
Consultants		
18c. Other(rents,user		
charges, graphics,	\$0.00	\$12,271.00
printing, mail, etc.)		
18d. Total	\$56,282.00	\$65,247.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)	0.30	0.30

# 20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

The National Toxicology Program (NTP) convenes ad hoc Special Emphasis Panels (SEPs) to provide independent peer review and advise NTP on agents of public health concern, new/revised toxicological test methods, or other issues. They help ensure transparent, unbiased, and scientifically rigorous input to the program for its use in making credible decisions about human health, setting research and testing priorities, and providing information to regulatory agencies about

alternative methods for toxicity screening. The panels provide opinions and recommendations that include, but are not limited to, the strength of the scientific evidence that exposure to an agent is a hazard for human health, the adequacy of new/revised/alternative toxicological test methods relative to current methods for assessing specific toxicities, or the validation status of test methods. They may also advise on the quality, reproducibility, and limitations of scientific findings; identify critical knowledge gaps and data needs; identify areas where improved or new test methods are needed; or advise on the design, conduct, and adequacy of validation studies for proposed test methods. These panels are comprised of members designated to serve for individual meetings based on their expertise, and they are not appointed for fixed terms of service.

## 20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

The panels have a fluid membership with members designated to serve for individual meetings rather than formally appointed for fixed terms of service. The panelists for each meeting are selected to address specific issues, such as to evaluate test methods for toxicological assessments, to develop consensus on usefulness of test methods to generate information for specific human health and/or ecological risk assessment purposes, or to evaluate the potential hazard for human health from exposure to a specific environmental substance. Participants on the panel are assembled from experts in the various disciplines and fields relative to the issue under review.

# 20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

Meetings are held approximately 3-4 times each fiscal year. The flexibility in review allows the NTP to hold panel meetings as programmatic needs dictate to ensure efficiency and effectiveness in the review process. While the charter states there will be approximately3-4 meetings per year, the pandemic impacted NTP's ability to complete draft reports and schedule peer reviews; therefore, there were no meetings in FY 2023.

## 20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

Because of the unique function and objectives of the NTP within the public sector, an independent advisory panel is required that includes a breadth of relevant scientific expertise and a panel that can evaluate and advise on activities ranging from identification of human health hazards to methods for use in regulatory safety testing.

# 20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

#### 21. Remarks

DFO and Committee Decision Maker positions are held by the same individual based on the assignment of responsibilities within the National Toxicology Program. Reports: This committee did not produce any public reports during the fiscal year. Member(s): No members are being reported due to no meetings were scheduled during FY 2023. Meetings: COVID-19 and the challenges with travel (considering individuals' personal situations and comfort level) prevented us from having SEP meetings in the last few years. In the meantime, federal staff leveraged Letter Reviews to facilitate the peer review process. They foresee several opportunities for in-person meetings in the

next fiscal year and are actively working on next steps for upcoming panels to be held in-person. While the charter states there will be approximately 3-4 meetings per year, the pandemic impacted NTP's ability to complete draft reports and schedule peer reviews; therefore, there were no meetings in FY 2023.

#### **Designated Federal Officer**

Milene L. Brownlow Health Scientist (Advisory and Peer Review)

#### **Narrative Description**

NTP Special Emphasis Panels are technical, scientific advisory bodies established on an as needed basis to provide independent scientific peer review and advise the NTP on agents of public health concern, new/revised toxicological test methods, or issues. These panels help ensure transparent, unbiased, and scientifically rigorous input to the program for its use in making credible decisions about human hazard, setting research and testing priorities, and providing information to regulatory agencies about alternative methods for toxicity screening.

## What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

	Checked II	
	Applies	
Improvements to health or safety		✓
Trust in government		✓
Major policy changes		
Advance in scientific research		✓
Effective grant making		
Improved service delivery		
Increased customer satisfaction		✓
Implementation of laws or regulatory		
requirements		
Other		

#### **Outcome Comments**

NA

#### What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

	Checked if Applies
None	✓
Unable to Determine	
Under \$100,000	
\$100,000 - \$500,000	
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	
\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000	
\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000	
Over \$10,000,000	
Cost Savings Other	

#### **Cost Savings Comments**

NIH supported basic and clinical research accomplishments often take many years to unfold into new diagnostic tests and new ways to treat and prevent diseases.

What is the approximate <u>Number</u> of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?

137

#### **Number of Recommendations Comments**

No recommendations were reported in FY23.

What is the approximate  $\underline{\text{Percentage}}$  of these recommendations that have been or will be  $\underline{\text{Fully}}$  implemented by the agency? 0%

#### % of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

The results of NTP studies and recommendations can be found at http://ntp.niehs.nih.gov.

What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations that have been or will be <u>Partially</u> implemented by the agency?

0%

% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

Does the agency provide the committee with implement recommendations or advice offer Yes No Not Applicable	•
Agency Feedback Comments	
Information resulting from closed initial peer re Information Act. The public can view information the RePORT (Research Portfolio Online Report. http://report.nih.gov.	on on research projects funded by NIH on
What other actions has the agency taken as recommendation?	s a result of the committee's advice or
	Checked if Applies
Reorganized Priorities	
Reallocated resources	
Issued new regulation	
Proposed legislation	
Approved grants or other payments	
Other	<b>✓</b>
Action Comments	
The Committee makes recommendations to fe	deral staff. The National Toxicology
Program (NTP) convenes Special Emphasis F	anels (SEPs) to provide independent peer
review and advise the NTP on agents of public	c health concern, new/revised toxicological
test methods, or other issues.	
Is the Committee engaged in the review of No	applications for grants?
Grant Review Comments NA	
How is access provided to the information	for the Committee's documentation?
	Checked if Applies
Contact DFO	<b>✓</b>
Online Agency Web Site	✓

Online Committee Web Site	✓
Online GSA FACA Web Site	✓
Publications	
Other	

### **Access Comments**

N/A