

2025 Current Fiscal Year Report: National Institute of Nursing Research

Initial Review Group

Report Run Date: 04/24/2026 09:18:36 PM

1. Department or Agency	2. Fiscal Year
Department of Health and Human Services	2025
3. Committee or Subcommittee	3b. GSA Committee No.
National Institute of Nursing Research Initial Review Group	980

4. Is this New Fiscal Year?	5. Current Charter	6. Expected Renewal Date	7. Expected Term Date
No	04/18/1996		

8a. Was Terminated During Fiscal Year?	8b. Specific Termination Authority	8c. Actual Term Date
Yes	2025 Secretary Directive	06/30/2025

9. Agency Recommendation for Next Fiscal Year	10a. Legislation Req to Terminate?	10b. Legislation Pending?
Terminate	No	Not Applicable

11. Establishment Authority	Authorized by Law		
12. Specific Establishment Authority	13. Effective Date	14. Committee Type	14c. Presidential?
42 U.S.C. 282(b)(16)	11/20/1985	Continuing	No

15. Description of Committee Grant Review Committee

16a. Total Number of Reports No Reports for this Fiscal Year

17a. Open 0 **17b. Closed** 2 **17c. Partially Closed** 0 **Other Activities** 0 **17d. Total** 2

Meetings and Dates

Purpose	Start	End
NIH Peer Review	10/22/2024	- 10/22/2024
NIH Peer Review	04/16/2025	- 04/17/2025

Number of Committee Meetings Listed: 2

	Current FY	Next FY
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members	\$11,200.00	\$0.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$298,108.00	\$0.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18c. Administrative Costs (FRNs, contractor support, In-person/hybrid/virtual meetings)	\$0.00	\$0.00
18d. Other (all other funds not captured by any other cost category)	\$0.00	\$0.00
18e. Total Costs	\$309,308.00	\$0.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)	2.10	0.00

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

This committee was composed of authorities knowledgeable in the various disciplines and fields relating to nursing research who represented the forefront of research and technical knowledge and who provided first-level merit review of highly scientific and technical research grant applications in the fields of nursing. During this reporting period

the committee reviewed 33 applications, requesting \$10,898,811.00.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

The members of this committee were authorities knowledgeable in the fields of mental health nursing, nursing research, gerontological nursing, physiological nursing, pediatrics, and microbiology.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

The committee held 2 meetings during this reporting period.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

Per "2025 Secretary Directive" this FACA Committee has been terminated.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

The meetings of the committee were closed to the public for the review of grant applications. Sections 552b(c)(4), and 552b(c)(6) of the Government in the Sunshine Act permit the closing of meetings where discussion could reveal confidential trade secrets or commercial property such as patentable material and personal information, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

21. Remarks

Per "2025 Secretary Directive " this FACA Committee has been terminated. DFO/Committee Decision Maker: The DFO and Committee Decision Maker positions were held by the same

individual based on the assignment of duties in this Institute. Reports: This committee did not produce any reports during this fiscal year. Website: This committee did not have a dedicated website. Chair: Dr. Linda McCauley served as Chair during FY25. In general, initial/integrated review group (IRG) members served up to six years, which is documented in the Members list. Temporary members were individuals recruited based on expertise needed for each meeting. Some temporary members may have attended only one meeting, while others may have attended multiple meetings. Instead of appointment start and end dates, each meeting date on which the temporary members served is identified on the Members list.

Designated Federal Officer

Elizabeth Tarlov Director, Division of Extramural Science Programs

Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
Abu-Ba'are, Gamji Rabi	10/22/2024	10/22/2024	ASSISTANT PROFESSOR, University of Rochester	Peer Review Consultant Member
Athreya, Arjun	04/16/2025	04/17/2025	ASSISTANT PROFESSOR, Mayo Clinic	Peer Review Consultant Member
Boutelle, Kerri	07/01/2023	06/30/2025	Professor, University of California	Peer Review Consultant Member
Carter, Patrick	07/01/2024	06/30/2025	Associate Professor and Director, University of Michigan	Peer Review Consultant Member
Chen, Chen	04/16/2025	04/17/2025	Endowed Professor, University of Arizona	Peer Review Consultant Member
Chen, Xiaochen	10/22/2024	10/23/2024	Endowed Professor, University of Arizona	Peer Review Consultant Member

HAN, HAE-RA	04/16/2025	04/17/2025	Professor and Chair, Johns Hopkins University	Peer Review Consultant Member
Harville, Emily	10/22/2024	10/22/2024	Associate Professor, Tulane University	Peer Review Consultant Member
Kneipp, Shawn	07/01/2022	06/30/2025	Professor, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill	Peer Review Consultant Member
Kohler, Pamela	07/01/2023	06/30/2025	Associate Professor, University of Washington	Peer Review Consultant Member
Magnani, Jared	07/01/2024	06/30/2025	Associate Professor, University of Pittsburgh	Peer Review Consultant Member
McCauley, Linda	07/01/2022	06/30/2025	Dean and Professor, Emory University	Peer Review Consultant Member
Miller, Emily	10/22/2024	10/22/2024	Associate Professor, Brown University	Peer Review Consultant Member
Osborne, Lauren	04/16/2025	04/17/2025	Associate Professor, Weill Cornell Medicine	Peer Review Consultant Member
PENCKOFER, SUE	04/16/2025	04/17/2025	Professor Emeritus, Loyola University	Peer Review Consultant Member
Perez, GLORIA	07/01/2024	06/30/2025	Associate Professor of Nursing, University of Pennsylvania	Peer Review Consultant Member
Phillips, Gregory	07/01/2024	06/30/2025	Tenured Associate Professor, Northwestern University	Peer Review Consultant Member
Rodriguez, Erin	07/01/2022	06/30/2025	Associate Professor and Program Chair, University of Texas at Austin	Peer Review Consultant Member
Salisbury, Amy	04/16/2025	04/17/2025	Chair and Associate Dean, Virginia Commonwealth University	Peer Review Consultant Member
Santos, Hudson	10/22/2024	10/22/2024	Dean and Endowed Chair, University of Miami	Peer Review Consultant Member

Trent, Maria	09/28/2021	06/30/2025	Professor, Johns Hopkins University	Peer Review Consultant Member
Tubbs Cooley, Heather	10/22/2024	10/22/2024	Associate Professor, Ohio State University	Peer Review Consultant Member
Vance, Ashlee	04/16/2025	04/17/2025	Assistant Professor, Henry Ford Health	Peer Review Consultant Member
Wallace, Andrea	07/01/2022	06/30/2025	Associate Professor and Division Chair, University of Utah	Peer Review Consultant Member
Woo Baidal, Jennifer	07/01/2022	06/30/2025	Assistant Professor, Stanford University	Peer Review Consultant Member
Yakusheva, Olga	10/22/2024	10/22/2024	Professor, Johns Hopkins University	Peer Review Consultant Member

Number of Committee Members Listed: 26

Narrative Description

NIH's mission is to seek fundamental knowledge about the nature and behavior of living systems and the application of that knowledge to enhance health, lengthen life, and reduce illness and disability. NIH worked toward that mission by supporting the research of non-federal scientists in universities, medical schools, hospitals, and research institutions throughout the country and abroad. Section 492 of the PHS Act states that The Secretary... shall by regulations require appropriate technical and scientific peer review of (A) applications...; and (B) biomedical and behavioral research and development contracts.

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

	Checked if Applies
Improvements to health or safety	<input type="checkbox"/>
Trust in government	<input type="checkbox"/>
Major policy changes	<input type="checkbox"/>
Advance in scientific research	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Effective grant making	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Improved service delivery	<input type="checkbox"/>

- Increased customer satisfaction
- Implementation of laws or regulatory requirements
- Other

Outcome Comments

NA

What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

Checked if Applies

- None
- Unable to Determine
- Under \$100,000
- \$100,000 - \$500,000
- \$500,001 - \$1,000,000
- \$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000
- \$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000
- Over \$10,000,000
- Cost Savings Other

Cost Savings Comments

NIH supported basic and clinical research accomplishments often take many years to unfold into new diagnostic tests and new ways to treat and prevent disease.

What is the approximate Number of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?

2,294

Number of Recommendations Comments

NIH Grant Review

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Fully implemented by the agency?

0%

% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

Information on the research funded by NIH is available through the RePORT (Research Portfolio Online Reporting Tool) website. RePORT provides access to reports, data, and

analyses of NIH research activities that advance the mission of the NIH, including information on NIH expenditures, strategic plans, reports on NIH funding, and reports on the organization and people involved in NIH research and research training. The RePORT website is located at <http://report.nih.gov>.

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Partially implemented by the agency?

0%

% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

Information on the research funded by NIH is available through the RePORT (Research Portfolio Online Reporting Tool) website. RePORT provides access to reports, data, and analyses of NIH research activities that advance the mission of the NIH, including information on NIH expenditures, strategic plans, reports on NIH funding, and reports on the organization and people involved in NIH research and research training. The RePORT website is located at <http://report.nih.gov>.

Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered?

Yes No Not Applicable

Agency Feedback Comments

Information resulting from closed initial peer review meetings was subject to the Freedom of Information Act. The public can view information on research projects funded by NIH on the RePORT (Research Portfolio Online Reporting Tool) website located at <http://report.nih.gov>.

What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?

Checked if Applies

- | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Reorganized Priorities | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Reallocated resources | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Issued new regulation | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Proposed legislation | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Approved grants or other payments | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Other | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Action Comments

An action of approved or recommended for grants receiving initial peer review by this

committee does not infer that the grant will be or has been funded. Research grant applications submitted to NIH must go through a two-step review process that includes the initial peer review for scientific and technical merit and a second step of review and approval by a National Advisory Council for program relevance. In addition, prior to an award or funding being made, NIH staff must conduct an administrative review for a number of other considerations. These include alignment with NIH's funding principles, review of the project budget, assessment of the applicant's management systems, determination of applicant eligibility, and compliance with public policy requirements. After all these steps have been completed, NIH officials make funding decisions on individual grant applications.

Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?

Yes

What is the estimated Number of grants reviewed for approval 33

What is the estimated Number of grants recommended for approval 33

What is the estimated Dollar Value of grants recommended for approval \$165,203,540

Grant Review Comments

NA

How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

Checked if Applies

- Contact DFO
- Online Agency Web Site
- Online Committee Web Site
- Online GSA FACA Web Site
- Publications
- Other

Access Comments

NA