

2018 Current Fiscal Year Report: National Institute of Nursing Research Initial Review Group

Report Run Date: 06/20/2019 11:24:40 AM

1. Department or Agency

Department of Health and Human Services

2. Fiscal Year

2018

3. Committee or Subcommittee

National Institute of Nursing Research Initial Review Group

3b. GSA Committee

No.

980

4. Is this New During Fiscal Year?

No

5. Current Charter

04/18/1996

6. Expected Renewal Date

7. Expected Term Date

8a. Was Terminated During Fiscal Year?

No

8b. Specific Termination Authority

8c. Actual Term Date

9. Agency Recommendation for Next Fiscal Year

Continue

10a. Legislation Req to Terminate?

Not Applicable

10b. Legislation Pending?

Not Applicable

11. Establishment Authority

Authorized by Law

12. Specific Establishment Authority

42 U.S.C. 282(b)(16)

13. Effective Date

11/20/1985

14. Committee Type

Continuing

14c. Presidential?

No

15. Description of Committee

Grant Review Committee

16a. Total Number of Reports

No Reports for this Fiscal Year

17a. Open Meetings and Dates 0 17b. Closed Meetings and Dates 3 17c. Partially Closed Meetings and Dates 0 17d. Total Meetings and Dates 3

Purpose

NIH Peer Review

Start

10/19/2017

End

- 10/20/2017

NIH Peer Review

02/15/2018

- 02/16/2018

NIH Peer Review

06/21/2018

- 06/21/2018

Number of Committee Meetings Listed: 3

	Current FY	Next FY
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members	\$16,800.00	\$16,800.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$183,340.00	\$310,378.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$38,405.00	\$39,058.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$0.00	\$0.00

18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18c. Other(rents,user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	\$24,020.00	\$24,430.00
18d. Total	\$262,565.00	\$390,666.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)	1.30	2.00

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

This committee is composed of recognized biomedical and/or behavioral research authorities who represent the forefront of research and technical knowledge and who provide first-level merit review of highly scientific research grant applications in the fields of nursing. During this reporting period the committee reviewed 77 applications requesting \$19,140,549.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

The members of this committee are authorities knowledgeable in the fields of mental health nursing, nursing research, gerontological nursing, physiological nursing, pediatrics, and microbiology.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

The committee held 3 meetings during this reporting period.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

This committee is composed of recognized biomedical and/or behavioral research authorities who represent the forefront of research and technical knowledge and who provide first-level merit review of highly scientific and technical research grant applications and contract proposals. These evaluations and recommendations cannot be obtained from other sources because the specialized, complex nature of the applications and proposals requires a unique balance and breadth of expertise not available on the NIH staff or from other established sources.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

The meetings of the committee were closed to the public for the review of grant applications. Sections 552b(c)(4), and 552b(c)(6) of the Government in the Sunshine Act permit the closing of meetings where discussion could reveal confidential trade secrets or commercial property such as patentable material and personal information, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

21. Remarks

Reports: This committee did not produce any reports during this fiscal year. Website: This

committee does not have a dedicated website. DFO/Committee Decision Maker: The DFO and Committee Decision Maker positions are held by the same individual based on the assignment of duties in this Institute. Members: Member Corbie-Smith resigned from the committee in 2017. Due to the large number of members associated with this committee, NIH staff are unable to provide individual zip codes for all members. Current individual meeting rosters, including zip codes are available on line at <https://public.era.nih.gov/pubroster/>.

Designated Federal Officer

Weiqun Li Chief, Office of Review

Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
ALBERT, STEVEN	07/01/2017	06/30/2020	PROFESSOR AND CHAIR	Peer Review Consultant Member
CARSON, SHANNON	06/21/2018	06/21/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
CONG, XIAOMEI	07/01/2018	06/30/2022	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
CORBETT, CINDY	06/21/2018	06/21/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
DOCHERTY, SHARRON	07/01/2017	06/30/2021	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR AND DIRECTOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
DOERING, LYNN	06/21/2018	06/21/2018	PROFESSOR AND ASSOCIATE DEAN	Peer Review Consultant Member
DORSEY, SUSAN	07/01/2017	06/30/2021	PROFESSOR AND CHAIR	Peer Review Consultant Member
DOUGLAS, SARA	06/21/2018	06/21/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
FARLEY, JASON	02/15/2018	02/16/2018	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
FEUDTNER, JOHN	07/01/2014	06/30/2018	DIRECTOR OF RESEARCH AND ATTENDING PHYSICIAN	Peer Review Consultant Member
FICK, DONNA	06/21/2018	06/21/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
FUKUOKA, YOSHIMI	06/21/2018	06/21/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
GROTH, SUSAN	06/21/2018	06/21/2018	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
HAPP, MARY	10/19/2017	10/20/2017	PROFESSOR AND ASSOCIATE DEAN	Peer Review Consultant Member
HAYNES, ERIN	07/01/2017	06/30/2021	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
HICKMAN, RONALD	02/15/2018	02/16/2018	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
HUANG, JEANNIE	07/01/2015	06/30/2019	PROFESSOR AND DIRECTOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
JENERETTE, CORETTA	07/01/2018	06/30/2022	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
KNAFL, KATHLEEN	10/19/2017	10/20/2017	FRANCES HILL FOX DISTINGUISHED PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
KOPELOWICZ, ALEX	02/15/2018	02/16/2018	PROFESSOR AND VICE CHAIR	Peer Review Consultant Member
KWEKKEBOOM, KRISTINE	07/01/2016	06/30/2020	PROFESSOR AND DIRECTOR OF PH.D. PROGRAM	Peer Review Consultant Member

LEWIS, LISA	07/01/2017	06/30/2021	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR AND ASSISTANT DEAN	Peer Review Consultant Member
LYON, DEBRA	02/15/2018	02/16/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
MCCARTHY, ANN MARIE	07/01/2016	06/30/2020	PROFESSOR AND ASSOCIATE DEAN FOR RESEARCH	Peer Review Consultant Member
MITRANI, VICTORIA	07/01/2013	06/30/2018	PROFESSOR AND ASSOCIATE DEAN FOR RESEARCH	Peer Review Consultant Member
PARKER, LESLIE	06/21/2018	06/21/2018	CLINICAL ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
PIGEON, WILFRED	07/01/2014	06/30/2018	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
PULLEN, CAROL	02/15/2018	02/16/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
REDDICK, WILBURN	10/19/2017	10/20/2017	MEMBER	Peer Review Consultant Member
SHULMAN, ROBERT	07/31/2018	06/30/2022	PROFESSOR OF PEDIATRICS AND PHYSICIAN	Peer Review Consultant Member
WARD, TERESA	07/01/2014	06/30/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
WOOD, LISA	07/01/2014	06/30/2018	AMELIA PEABODY PROFESSOR IN NURSING RESEARCH	Peer Review Consultant Member
WOO, MARY	07/01/2018	06/30/2022	PROFESSOR OF NURSING	Peer Review Consultant Member

Number of Committee Members Listed: 33

Narrative Description

The goal of NIH research is to acquire new knowledge to help prevent, detect, diagnose, and treat disease and disability, from the rarest genetic disorder to the common cold. The NIH mission is to uncover new knowledge that will lead to better health for everyone. NIH works toward that mission by supporting the research of non-federal scientists in universities, medical schools, hospitals, and research institutions throughout the country and abroad. Section 492 of the PHS Act states that The Secretary... shall by regulations require appropriate technical and scientific peer review of (A) applications...; and (B) biomedical and behavioral research and development contracts...

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

Checked if Applies

- Improvements to health or safety
- Trust in government
- Major policy changes
- Advance in scientific research
- Effective grant making
- Improved service delivery
- Increased customer satisfaction
- Implementation of laws or regulatory requirements

Other

Outcome Comments

NA

What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

Checked if Applies

None

Unable to Determine

Under \$100,000

\$100,000 - \$500,000

\$500,001 - \$1,000,000

\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000

\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000

Over \$10,000,000

Cost Savings Other

Cost Savings Comments

NIH supported basic and clinical research accomplishments often take many years to unfold into new diagnostic tests and new ways to treat and prevent disease.

What is the approximate Number of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?

1,726

Number of Recommendations Comments

Grant Review.

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Fully implemented by the agency?

0%

% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

Information on the research funded by NIH is available through the RePORT (Research Portfolio Online Reporting Tool) website. RePORT provides access to reports, data, and analyses of NIH research activities that advance the mission of the NIH, including information on NIH expenditures, strategic plans, reports on NIH funding, and reports on the organization and people involved in NIH research and research training. The RePORT website is located at <http://report.nih.gov>.

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Partially implemented by the agency?

0%

% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

Information on the research funded by NIH is available through the RePORT (Research Portfolio Online Reporting Tool) website. RePORT provides access to reports, data, and analyses of NIH research activities that advance the mission of the NIH, including information on NIH expenditures, strategic plans, reports on NIH funding, and reports on the organization and people involved in NIH research and research training. The RePORT website is located at <http://report.nih.gov>.

Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered?

Yes No Not Applicable

Agency Feedback Comments

NA

What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?

	Checked if Applies
Reorganized Priorities	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reallocated resources	<input type="checkbox"/>
Issued new regulation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Proposed legislation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Approved grants or other payments	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

Action Comments

An action of approved or recommended for grants receiving initial peer review by this committee does not infer that the grant will be or has been funded. Research grant applications submitted to NIH must go through a two-step review process that includes the initial peer review for scientific and technical merit and a second step of review and approval by a National Advisory Council for program relevance. In addition, prior to an award or funding being made, NIH staff must conduct an administrative review for a number of other considerations. These include alignment with NIH's funding principles, review of the project budget, assessment of the applicant's management systems,

determination of applicant eligibility, and compliance with public policy requirements. After all these steps have been completed, NIH officials make funding decisions on individual grant applications.

Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?

Yes

What is the estimated **Number** of grants reviewed for approval 77

What is the estimated **Number** of grants recommended for approval 77

What is the estimated **Dollar Value** of grants recommended for approval \$19,140,549

Grant Review Comments

NA

How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

Checked if Applies

Contact DFO

Online Agency Web Site

Online Committee Web Site

Online GSA FACA Web Site

Publications

Other

Access Comments

NA