

2022 Current Fiscal Year Report: National Institute of Nursing Research

Initial Review Group

Report Run Date: 02/04/2023 07:24:46 AM

1. Department or Agency	2. Fiscal Year
Department of Health and Human Services	2022
3. Committee or Subcommittee	3b. GSA Committee No.
National Institute of Nursing Research Initial Review Group	980

4. Is this New Fiscal Year?	5. Current Charter	6. Expected Renewal Date	7. Expected Term Date
No	04/18/1996		

8a. Was Terminated During Fiscal Year?	8b. Specific Termination Authority	8c. Actual Term Date
No		

9. Agency Recommendation for Next Fiscal Year	10a. Legislation Req to Terminate?	10b. Legislation Pending?
Continue	Not Applicable	Not Applicable

11. Establishment Authority Authorized by Law

12. Specific Establishment Authority	13. Effective Date	14. Committee Type	14c. Presidential?
42 U.S.C. 282(b)(16)	11/20/1985	Continuing	No

15. Description of Committee Grant Review Committee

16a. Total Number of Reports No Reports for this Fiscal Year

17a. Open 0 **17b. Closed** 3 **17c. Partially Closed** 0 **Other Activities** 0 **17d. Total** 3

Meetings and Dates

Purpose	Start	End
NIH Peer Review	10/21/2021	- 10/22/2021
NIH Peer Review	02/24/2022	- 02/24/2022
NIH Peer Review	06/23/2022	- 06/24/2022

Number of Committee Meetings Listed: 3

Current FY Next FY

18a(1). Personnel		
Pmts to Non-Federal Members	\$20,000.00	\$20,000.00
18a(2). Personnel		
Pmts to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(3). Personnel		
Pmts to Federal Staff	\$423,405.00	\$364,384.00
18a(4). Personnel		
Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$11,771.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18c. Other(rents,user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	\$0.00	\$5,130.00
18d. Total	\$443,405.00	\$401,285.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)	2.40	2.10

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

This committee is composed of recognized biomedical and/or behavioral research authorities who represent the forefront of research and technical knowledge and who provide first-level merit review of highly scientific research grant applications in the fields of nursing. During this reporting period the committee reviewed 85 applications, requesting \$26,203,338.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

The members of this committee are authorities knowledgeable in the fields of mental health nursing, nursing research, gerontological nursing, physiological nursing, pediatrics, and microbiology.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

The committee held 3 meetings during this reporting period.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

This committee is composed of recognized biomedical and/or behavioral research authorities who represent the forefront of research and technical knowledge and who provide first-level merit review of highly scientific and technical research grant applications and contract proposals. These evaluations and recommendations cannot be obtained from other sources because the specialized, complex nature of the applications and proposals requires a unique balance and breadth of expertise not available on the NIH staff or from other established sources.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

The meetings of the committee were closed to the public for the review of grant applications. Sections 552b(c)(4), and 552b(c)(6) of the Government in the Sunshine Act permit the closing of meetings where discussion could reveal confidential trade secrets or commercial property such as patentable material and personal

information, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

21. Remarks

Reports: This committee did not produce any reports during this fiscal year. Website: This

committee does not have a dedicated website.

Chair: Dr. LaRon Nelson has been reassigned as Chair in 2022 for the remainder of her term, through 6/30/2024.

Designated Federal Officer

Weiqun Li Scientific Review Officer

Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
Blaum, Caroline	07/01/2020	06/30/2024	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
CARMICHAEL, Susan	10/21/2021	10/22/2021	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
CATZ, SHERYL	02/24/2022	02/24/2022	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
CONG, XIAOMEI	07/05/2018	06/30/2022	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Corwin, Elizabeth	06/23/2022	06/24/2022	Professor and Vice Dean for Research	Peer Review Consultant Member
DE VITO DABBS, Annette	10/21/2021	10/22/2021	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Demiris, George	10/21/2021	10/22/2021	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Donnelly, Joseph	06/23/2022	06/24/2022	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Drake, Christopher	07/01/2019	06/30/2023	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
ELLINGTON, LEE	07/01/2018	06/30/2022	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
Greer, Joseph	06/23/2022	06/24/2022	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member

Groer, Maureen	06/23/2022	06/24/2022	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
HIRSHFIELD, SABINA	02/24/2022	02/24/2022	Principal Research Scientist	Peer Review Consultant Member
Haap, Mary	07/01/2020	06/30/2024	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Im, Eun-Ok	06/23/2022	06/24/2022	Professor & Edith Folsom Honeycutt Endowed Chair	Peer Review Consultant Member
JENERETTE, CORETTA	07/01/2018	06/30/2022	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
Jarrin Montaner, Olga	02/24/2022	02/24/2022	Assistant Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Kelechi, Teresa	06/23/2022	06/24/2022	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Kinser, Patricia	02/24/2022	02/24/2022	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Kneipp, Shawn	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
LEAVITT, VICTORIA	02/24/2022	02/24/2022	Assistant Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Long, Christopher	06/23/2022	06/24/2022	Assistant Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
McCarthy, Ann Marie	02/24/2022	02/24/2022	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
McCauley, Linda	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Dean and Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Motl, Robert	02/24/2022	02/24/2022	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Nelson, LaRon	09/17/2021	06/30/2024	Associate Professor and Associate Dean	Peer Review Consultant Member
Phillips II, Gregory	06/23/2022	06/24/2022	Assistant Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Plow, Mathew	10/21/2021	10/22/2021	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Quinn, Gwendolyn	02/24/2022	02/24/2022	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member

Rawl, Susan	07/01/2020	06/30/2024	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Rodriguez, Erin	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Associate Professor and Program Chair	Peer Review Consultant Member
Ryvicker, Miriam	10/21/2021	10/22/2021	Senior Research Scientist	Peer Review Consultant Member
SHULMAN, ROBERT	07/31/2018	06/30/2022	PROFESSOR OF PEDIATRICS AND PHYSICIAN	Peer Review Consultant Member
Sadhasivam, Senthilkumar	02/24/2022	02/24/2022	Professor and Vice Chair	Peer Review Consultant Member
Santos, Hudson	10/21/2021	10/22/2021	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Smith, Jennifer	06/23/2022	06/24/2022	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Stevens, Jan	10/21/2021	10/22/2021	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Tapia, Ignacio	06/23/2022	06/24/2022	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Teixeira, Samantha	06/23/2022	06/24/2022	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Trent, Maria	09/28/2021	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
WOO, MARY	07/01/2018	06/30/2022	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
Wallace, Andrea	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Associate Professor and Division Chair	Peer Review Consultant Member
Woo Baidal, Jennifer	07/01/2022	06/30/2027	Assistant Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Xiao, Canhua	10/21/2021	10/22/2021	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member

Number of Committee Members Listed: 44

Narrative Description

The goal of NIH research is to acquire new knowledge to help prevent, detect, diagnose, and treat disease and disability, from the rarest genetic disorder to the common cold. The NIH mission is to

uncover new knowledge that will lead to better health for everyone. NIH works toward that mission by supporting the research of non-federal scientists in universities, medical schools, hospitals, and research institutions throughout the country and abroad. Section 492 of the PHS Act states that The Secretary... shall by regulations require appropriate technical and scientific peer review of (A) applications...; and (B) biomedical and behavioral research and development contracts.

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

- | | Checked if
Applies |
|---|-------------------------------------|
| Improvements to health or safety | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Trust in government | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Major policy changes | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Advance in scientific research | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Effective grant making | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Improved service delivery | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Increased customer satisfaction | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Implementation of laws or regulatory requirements | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Other | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Outcome Comments

NA

What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

- | | Checked if Applies |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| None | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Unable to Determine | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Under \$100,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| \$100,000 - \$500,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| \$500,001 - \$1,000,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| \$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| \$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Over \$10,000,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Cost Savings Other | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Cost Savings Comments

NIH supported basic and clinical research accomplishments often take many years to unfold into new diagnostic tests and new ways to treat and prevent disease.

What is the approximate Number of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?

2,123

Number of Recommendations Comments

NIH Grant Review

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Fully implemented by the agency?

0%

% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

Information on the research funded by NIH is available through the RePORT (Research Portfolio Online Reporting Tool) website. RePORT provides access to reports, data, and analyses of NIH research activities that advance the mission of the NIH, including information on NIH expenditures, strategic plans, reports on NIH funding, and reports on the organization and people involved in NIH research and research training. The RePORT website is located at <http://report.nih.gov>.

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Partially implemented by the agency?

0%

% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

Information on the research funded by NIH is available through the RePORT (Research Portfolio Online Reporting Tool) website. RePORT provides access to reports, data, and analyses of NIH research activities that advance the mission of the NIH, including information on NIH expenditures, strategic plans, reports on NIH funding, and reports on the organization and people involved in NIH research and research training. The RePORT website is located at <http://report.nih.gov>.

Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered?

Yes No Not Applicable

Agency Feedback Comments

Information resulting from closed initial peer review meetings is subject to the Freedom of Information Act. The public can view information on research projects funded by NIH on the RePORT (Research Portfolio Online Reporting Tool) website located at <http://report.nih.gov>.

What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?

	Checked if Applies
Reorganized Priorities	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reallocated resources	<input type="checkbox"/>
Issued new regulation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Proposed legislation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Approved grants or other payments	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

Action Comments

An action of approved or recommended for grants receiving initial peer review by this committee does not infer that the grant will be or has been funded. Research grant applications submitted to NIH must go through a two-step review process that includes the initial peer review for scientific and technical merit and a second step of review and approval by a National Advisory Council for program relevance. In addition, prior to an award or funding being made, NIH staff must conduct an administrative review for a number of other considerations. These include alignment with NIH's funding principles, review of the project budget, assessment of the applicant's management systems, determination of applicant eligibility, and compliance with public policy requirements. After all these steps have been completed, NIH officials make funding decisions on individual grant applications.

Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?

Yes

What is the estimated Number of grants reviewed for approval 85

What is the estimated Number of grants recommended for approval 85

What is the estimated Dollar Value of grants recommended for approval \$26,203,338

Grant Review Comments

NA

How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

Checked if Applies

- Contact DFO
- Online Agency Web Site
- Online Committee Web Site
- Online GSA FACA Web Site
- Publications
- Other

Access Comments

NA