

2025 Current Fiscal Year Report: Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development Initial Review Group

Report Run Date: 08/02/2025 07:02:26 AM

1. Department or Agency		2. Fiscal Year	
Department of Health and Human Services		2025	
3. Committee or Subcommittee		3b. GSA Committee No.	
Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development Initial Review Group		926	
4. Is this New Fiscal Year?	5. Current Charter	6. Expected Renewal Date	7. Expected Term Date
No	03/26/2009		
8a. Was Terminated During Fiscal Year?	8b. Specific Termination Authority	8c. Actual Term Date	
Yes	2025 Secretary Directive	06/30/2025	
9. Agency Recommendation for Next Fiscal Year	10a. Legislation Req to Terminate?	10b. Legislation Pending?	
Continue	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	
11. Establishment Authority Authorized by Law			
12. Specific Establishment Authority	13. Effective Date	14. Committee Type	14c. Presidential?
42 U.S.C. 282(b)(16)	11/20/1985	Continuing	No
15. Description of Committee Grant Review Committee			
16a. Total Number of Reports	No Reports for this Fiscal Year		
17a. Open	17b. Closed	17c. Partially Closed	17d. Total
0	17	0	17
Meetings and Dates			
Purpose	Start	End	

Grant applications	10/10/2024	- 10/10/2024
Grant applications	10/15/2024	- 10/16/2024
Grant applications	10/18/2024	- 10/18/2024
Grant applications	10/25/2024	- 10/25/2024
Grant applications	10/25/2024	- 10/25/2024
Grant applications	10/28/2024	- 10/28/2024
Grant applications	10/31/2024	- 10/31/2024
Grant applications	11/08/2024	- 11/08/2024
Grant applications	02/24/2025	- 02/24/2025
Grant applications	02/26/2025	- 02/26/2025
Grant applications	03/06/2025	- 03/06/2025
Grant applications	03/14/2025	- 03/14/2025
Grant applications	03/17/2025	- 03/18/2025
Grant applications	03/21/2025	- 03/21/2025
Grant applications	03/25/2025	- 03/25/2025
Grant applications	04/21/2025	- 04/21/2025
Grant applications	06/23/2025	- 06/24/2025

Number of Committee Meetings Listed: 17

	Current Next	
	FY	FY
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18c. Administrative Costs (FRNs, contractor support, In-person/hybrid/virtual meetings)	\$0.00	\$0.00

18d. Other (all other funds not captured by any other cost category)	\$0.00	\$0.00
18e. Total Costs	\$0.00	\$0.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)	0.00	0.00

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

Section 492 of the PHS Act states that The Secretary shall by regulation require appropriate technical and scientific peer review of (A) applications; and (B) biomedical and behavioral research and development contracts. This committee is composed of recognized biomedical and/or behavioral research authorities who represent the forefront of research and technical knowledge and who provide first-level merit review of funding applications and proposals, including but not limited to grant and cooperative agreement applications and contract proposals for research projects and for research and training activities in areas relevant to maternal and child health, reproductive and population sciences, intellectual and developmental disabilities, and medical rehabilitation. During this reporting period, the committee reviewed 469 applications requesting \$199,339,922.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

The members of this committee are authorities knowledgeable in the various disciplines and fields relating to maternal and child health, reproductive and population sciences, intellectual and developmental disabilities, and medical rehabilitation.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the

Committee Meetings?

The committee held 24 meetings during this reporting period.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

This committee is composed of recognized biomedical and behavioral research authorities who represent the forefront of research and technical knowledge and who provide first-level merit review of highly scientific and technical research grant applications and contract proposals. These evaluations and recommendations cannot be obtained from other sources because the specialized, complex nature of the applications and proposals requires a unique balance and breadth of expertise not available from the NIH staff or from other established sources.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

The meetings of the committee were closed to the public for the review of grant applications. Sections 552b(c)(4) and 552b(c)(6) of the Government in the Sunshine Act permit the closing of meetings where discussion could reveal confidential trade secrets or commercial property such as patentable material and personal information, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

21. Remarks

Per "2025 Secretary Directive" this FACA Committee has been terminated. Reports: This committee did not produce any reports this fiscal year. The Designated Federal Officer (DFO) and Committee Decision Maker are the same

individual based on assigned duties within the IC. Juan Aceros (7/01/2023-6/30/2029) was reappointed to serve an additional five-year term. The following member was re-assigned to Chairperson: Kelli Ryckman (07/01/2024-06/30/2025). The following members were reappointed as Chair this FY: Paula Alio, Romana Nowak, Nathalie Williams, and Katri Typpo. Daniel Messenger was incorrectly reported as a temporary member in FY23 attending a meeting on 10/24/22. Daniel Messinger is a standing member serving a six-year term from 7/1/21 to 6/30/27. Joshua Johnson was reported incorrectly on the FY23 report, they originally served from 6/1/2020 to 6/30/2021 and then had a one-year break in service until 6/6/2022. Also, Ramkumar Menon's appointment term was incorrectly reported as 4 years in FY23; they are serving a 6-year term. In general, initial/integrated review group (IRG) members serve up to six years, which is documented in the Members list. Temporary members are individuals recruited based on expertise needed for each meeting. Some temporary members may attend one meeting only while others may attend multiple meetings. Instead of appointment start and end dates, each meeting date on which the temporary member served is identified on the Members list. Meeting rosters, including members' affiliations and zip codes are available online at <https://public.era.nih.gov/pubroster/>.

Designated Federal Officer

Joanna Kubler-Kielb DIRECTOR, SCIENTIFIC REVIEW BRANCH

Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
ALIO, PAULA	07/01/2019	06/30/2025	ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member

ARORA, RIPLA	07/01/2024	06/30/2025	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
Aceros, Juan	07/01/2023	06/30/2025	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Adams, Elizabeth	10/14/2022	06/30/2025	Research Assistant Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Aneja, Rajesh	07/01/2019	06/30/2025	Clinical Chief	Peer Review Consultant Member
Arosh, Joe	07/01/2019	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Arroyo, Juan	08/29/2022	06/30/2025	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Axelrod, Jeffery	07/01/2023	06/30/2025	Professor, Stanford University	Peer Review Consultant Member
Axinn, William	07/01/2023	06/30/2025	Director	Peer Review Consultant Member
BAMACA, MAYRA	07/01/2024	06/30/2025	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
BARRETT, JEFFREY	12/16/2020	06/30/2025	Chief Science Officer	Peer Review Consultant Member
BLACKWELL, SEAN	07/01/2024	06/30/2025	PROFESSOR AND CHAIR	Peer Review Consultant Member
Birdie, Lamarca	07/01/2023	06/30/2025	Professor and Chair	Peer Review Consultant Member
Bjornson, Kristie	07/01/2023	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Borahay, Mostafa	07/01/2019	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Brugmann, Samantha	07/01/2024	06/30/2025	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Buscemi, Joanna	10/14/2022	06/30/2025	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
CAUDILLO, MONICA	07/01/2024	06/30/2025	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
CLARK, CARON	07/01/2024	06/30/2025	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member

Carter, Ebony	07/01/2019	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Chang, Chenbei	01/25/2022	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Chelimsky, Thomas	07/01/2019	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Chu, Diana	07/01/2022	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Church, Kellie	07/01/2023	06/30/2025	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
D'ANNA-HERNANDEZ, KIMBERLY	07/01/2024	06/30/2025	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
DARCY MAHONEY, ASHLEY	07/01/2024	06/30/2025	PROFESSOR AND CHAIR	Peer Review Consultant Member
DHILLON, JAAPNA	07/01/2024	06/30/2025	ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
Diamond-Smith, Nadia	07/01/2023	06/30/2025	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Duncan, Francesca	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Durbin, Matthew	08/23/2022	06/30/2025	Assistant Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
EVERETT, BETHANY	07/01/2024	06/30/2025	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
Easley, Charles	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Professor of Medicine	Peer Review Consultant Member
Eke, Ahizechukwu	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Assistant Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Elovitz, Michal	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
FAUSTINO, EDWARD VINCENT	06/28/2019	06/30/2025	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
FLANAGAN, JOHN	01/28/2021	06/30/2025	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
Finley, Margaret	07/01/2020	06/30/2025	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member

Flick, Randal	07/01/2023	06/30/2025	Consultant	Peer Review Consultant Member
GEMMILL, ALISON	07/01/2022	06/30/2025	ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
GOMEZ-LOPEZ, NARDHY	07/01/2024	06/30/2025	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
GROSSMAN, JENNIFER	07/01/2024	06/30/2025	SENIOR RESEARCH SCIENTIST	Peer Review Consultant Member
Gordon, Rachel	10/14/2022	06/30/2025	Associate Dean and Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
HAYASHI, KANAKO	07/01/2020	06/30/2025	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
Haider, Steven	07/01/2023	06/30/2025	Professor and Chair	Peer Review Consultant Member
Hamilton, Tod	07/01/2023	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Han, Joan	07/01/2019	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Hardeman, Rachel	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Harris-Hayes, Marcie	07/01/2020	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Heathcock, Jill	07/01/2020	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Holley, Scott	07/01/2023	06/30/2025	Professor, Yale University	Peer Review Consultant Member
Holmes, James	07/01/2023	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Huber, Kimberly	07/01/2020	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Hunt, Carl	12/10/2021	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Irwin, Julia	07/01/2022	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
JACKSON, YOLANDA	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member

JOHNSON, JOSHUA	06/06/2022	06/30/2025	ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
Jaramillo-Lambert, Aimee	07/01/2022	06/30/2025	Assistant Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Jelalian, Elissa	07/01/2020	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Jordan, Nancy	10/06/2022	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
KILLEN, MELANIE	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
KIM, YOUNG SHIN	07/01/2024	06/30/2025	Professor and Associate Chair	Peer Review Consultant Member
KNIGHT, DAVID	07/01/2024	06/30/2025	PROFESSOR AND DIRECTOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
KO, CHEMYONG	07/01/2020	06/30/2025	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
Kalantry, Sundeep	07/01/2023	06/30/2025	Professor, University of Michigan	Peer Review Consultant Member
Kohler, Hans-Peter	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
LOGAN, TREVON	08/16/2024	06/30/2025	ENGIE-AXIUM ENDOWED PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
Lim, Daniel	01/25/2022	06/30/2025	Professor, University of Michigan	Peer Review Consultant Member
Lin, David	07/01/2023	06/30/2025	Director	Peer Review Consultant Member
Littlejohn, Krystale	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Losh, Molly	07/01/2022	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Lupo, Philip	07/01/2024	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
MESSINGER, DANIEL	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
Mainigi, Monica	12/10/2021	06/30/2025	Assistant Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member

Mariscalco, Mary	12/09/2021	06/30/2025	Professor of Pediatrics and Associate Dean for Systems Based Practice	Peer Review Consultant Member
Marlow, Florence	10/14/2022	06/30/2025	Associate Professor, Icahn School of Med.	Peer Review Consultant Member
Mazzoni, Esteban	07/01/2023	06/30/2025	Associate Professor, New York University	Peer Review Consultant Member
McGinnis, Ryan	07/01/2022	06/30/2025	Associate Professor and Director	Peer Review Consultant Member
Menon, Ramkumar	07/01/2019	06/30/2025	PROFESSOR AND DEAN	Peer Review Consultant Member
Michelson, Kelly	12/10/2021	06/30/2025	Professor and Director	Peer Review Consultant Member
Monk, Christopher	07/01/2023	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Moreau, Caroline	09/09/2022	06/30/2025	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Myatt, Leslie	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Nomaguchi, Kei	07/01/2023	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Nowak, Romana	07/01/2020	06/30/2025	Assistant Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Oyesany, Tolu	07/01/2022	06/30/2025	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
PERNG, WEI	07/01/2024	06/30/2025	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
PETROFF, MARGARET	08/29/2022	06/30/2025	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
Patel, Anita	08/23/2022	06/30/2025	Assistant Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Perez, Monica	07/01/2022	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Phillippe, Mark	10/18/2022	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member

			AGNES STOOPS	Peer Review
RADEY, MELISSA	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	PROFESSOR	Consultant
			OF CHILD WELFARE	Member
			PROFESSOR	Peer Review
RUBIN, LEWIS	07/01/2024	06/30/2025	OF	Consultant
			PEDIATRICS	Member
			Associate	Peer Review
Rangel, Marcos	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Professor	Consultant
				Member
				Peer Review
Reddy, Uma	08/29/2022	06/30/2025	Professor	Consultant
				Member
			Associate	Peer Review
Rizzo, John Ross	07/20/2024	06/30/2025	Professor	Consultant
				Member
			Professor and	Peer Review
Roth, Elliot	07/01/2020	06/30/2025	Chairman	Consultant
				Member
				Peer Review
Ryckman, Kelli	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Professor	Consultant
				Member
				Peer Review
STRATHEARN, LANE	07/01/2022	06/30/2025	PHYSICIAN DIRECTOR	Consultant
				Member
			Associate	Peer Review
Saint Onge, Jarron	07/01/2023	06/30/2025	Professor	Consultant
				Member
			Assistant	Peer Review
Sanchez, Natalia	07/01/2024	06/30/2025	Professor	Consultant
				Member
				Peer Review
Sathya, Chethan	07/01/2023	06/30/2025	Director	Consultant
				Member
			Associate	Peer Review
Saxbe, Darby	07/01/2023	06/30/2025	Professor	Consultant
				Member
			Associate	Peer Review
Scheible, Kristen	07/01/2023	06/30/2025	Professor	Consultant
				Member
			Associate	Peer Review
Scottoline, Brian	07/01/2023	06/30/2025	Professor	Consultant
				Member
				Peer Review
Shah, Dinesh	08/29/2022	06/30/2025	PROFESSOR	Consultant
				Member
				Peer Review
Silver, Robert	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Professor	Consultant
				Member
				Peer Review
Snyder, Elizabeth	07/01/2022	06/30/2025	Professor	Consultant
				Member

Sosnoff, Jacob	07/01/2023	06/30/2025	Professo	Peer Review Consultant Member
Streichan, Sebastian	07/01/2023	06/30/2025	Associate Professor, University of California	Peer Review Consultant Member
Swenson, Cynthia	07/01/2019	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
TEICHER, MARTIN	07/01/2024	06/30/2025	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR and Director	Peer Review Consultant Member
THIEDE, BRIAN	07/01/2024	06/30/2025	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR OF RURAL SOCIOLOGY	Peer Review Consultant Member
TUMLINSON, KATHERINE	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
TYPPO, KATRI	08/21/2020	06/30/2025	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
Tang, Yi-Yuan	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Research scientist	Peer Review Consultant Member
Temple, Jeff	10/14/2022	06/30/2025	Founding Director	Peer Review Consultant Member
Tottenham, Nim	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Tucker, Carole	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Valentine, Gregory	07/01/2023	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Visconti, Pablo	07/01/2019	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Washington, Julie	07/01/2019	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Werner, Erika	07/01/2018	06/30/2025	Professor and Chair	Peer Review Consultant Member
White, Jessica	07/08/2020	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Williams, Corrine	07/01/2023	06/30/2025	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member

Williams, Nathalie	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Witherspoon, Dawn	10/25/2022	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
ZHU, YEYI	07/01/2024	06/30/2025	RESEARCH SCIENTIST	Peer Review Consultant Member
Zhou, Qing	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Zimmerman, Kanecia	09/07/2022	06/30/2025	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member

Number of Committee Members Listed: 129

Narrative Description

NIH's mission is to seek fundamental knowledge about the nature and behavior of living systems and the application of that knowledge to enhance health, lengthen life, and reduce illness and disability. NIH works toward that mission by supporting the research of non-Federal scientists in universities, medical schools, hospitals, and research institutions throughout the country and abroad. Section 492 of the PHS Act states that The Secretary shall by regulation require appropriate technical and scientific peer review of --(A) applications; and (B) biomedical research and development contracts. This committee is composed of recognized biomedical and behavioral research authorities who represent the forefront of research and technical knowledge and who provide first-level merit review of highly scientific and technical research grant applications and/or contract proposals. These evaluations and recommendations cannot be obtained from other sources because the specialized, complex nature of the applications and proposals requires a unique balance and breadth of expertise not available on the NIH staff or from other established sources.

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

Checked if
Applies

Improvements to health or safety



Trust in government	<input type="checkbox"/>
Major policy changes	<input type="checkbox"/>
Advance in scientific research	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Effective grant making	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Improved service delivery	<input type="checkbox"/>
Increased customer satisfaction	<input type="checkbox"/>
Implementation of laws or regulatory requirements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

Outcome Comments

NA

What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

Checked if Applies

None	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Unable to Determine	<input type="checkbox"/>
Under \$100,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
\$100,000 - \$500,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
Over \$10,000,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
Cost Savings Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

Cost Savings Comments

NIH supported basic and clinical research accomplishments often take many years to unfold into new diagnostic tests and new ways to treat and prevent diseases.

What is the approximate Number of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?

13,580

Number of Recommendations Comments

N/A

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Fully implemented by the agency?

0%

% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

NIH Peer Review Committees are involved in the initial review of research grant applications. The NIH dual peer review system is mandated by statute in accordance with section 492 of the Public Health Service Act. The charge to this committee is to determine scientific and technical merit of the individual grants or contracts. These recommendations are forwarded to Federal officials who generally accept the committee's recommendations and favorable applications are then forwarded for the second level of review performed by Institute and Center (IC) National Advisory Councils or Boards. Only applications that are favorably recommended by both the initial peer review committee and the Advisory Council may be recommended for funding.

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Partially implemented by the agency?

0%

% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

NIH Peer Review Committees are involved in the initial review of research grant applications. The NIH dual peer review system is mandated by statute in accordance with section 492 of the Public Health Service Act. The charge to this committee is to determine scientific and technical merit of the individual grants or contracts. These recommendations are forwarded to Federal officials who generally accept the committee's recommendations and favorable applications are then forwarded for the second level of review performed by Institute and Center (IC) National Advisory Councils or Boards. Only applications that are favorably recommended by both the initial peer review committee and the Advisory Council may be recommended for funding.

Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered?

Yes ☒ No ☐ Not Applicable ☐

Agency Feedback Comments

Information resulting from closed initial peer review meetings is subject to the Freedom of Information Act. The public can view information on research projects funded by NIH on the RePORT (Research Portfolio Online Reporting Tool) website located at <http://report.nih.gov>.

What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?

Checked if Applies

Reorganized Priorities	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reallocated resources	<input type="checkbox"/>
Issued new regulation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Proposed legislation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Approved grants or other payments	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

Action Comments

An action of “approved” or “recommended” for grants receiving initial peer review by this committee does not infer that the grant will be or has been funded. Research grant applications submitted to NIH must go through a two-step review process that includes the initial peer review for scientific and technical merit and a second step of review and approval by a National Advisory Council for program relevance. In addition, prior to an award or funding being made, NIH staff must conduct an administrative review for a number of other considerations. These include alignment with NIH's funding principles, review of the project budget, assessment of the applicant's management systems, determination of applicant eligibility, and compliance with public policy requirements. After all these steps have been completed, NIH officials make funding decisions on individual grant applications.

Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?

Yes

What is the estimated Number of grants reviewed for approval 469

What is the estimated Number of grants recommended for approval 469

What is the estimated Dollar Value of grants recommended for approval \$199,339,922

Grant Review Comments

Grant Review

How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

Checked if Applies

Contact DFO	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Online Agency Web Site	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Online Committee Web Site



Online GSA FACA Web Site



Publications



Other



Access Comments

N/A