

# 2019 Current Fiscal Year Report: Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development Initial Review Group

Report Run Date: 02/19/2020 01:18:59 AM

**1. Department or Agency** Department of Health and Human Services **2. Fiscal Year** 2019

**3. Committee or Subcommittee** Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development Initial Review Group **3b. GSA Committee No.** 926

**4. Is this New During Fiscal Year?** No **5. Current Charter** 03/26/2009 **6. Expected Renewal Date** **7. Expected Term Date**

**8a. Was Terminated During Fiscal Year?** No **8b. Specific Termination Authority** **8c. Actual Term Date**

**9. Agency Recommendation for Next Fiscal Year** Continue **10a. Legislation Req to Terminate?** Not Applicable **10b. Legislation Pending?** Not Applicable

**11. Establishment Authority** Authorized by Law **12. Specific Establishment Authority** 42 U.S.C. 282(b)(16) **13. Effective Date** 11/20/1985 **14. Committee Type** Continuing **14c. Presidential?** No

**15. Description of Committee** Grant Review Committee

**16a. Total Number of Reports** No Reports for this Fiscal Year

**17a. Open** 0 **17b. Closed** 23 **17c. Partially Closed** 0 **Other Activities** 0 **17d. Total** 23

## Meetings and Dates

Purpose	Start	End
Review Grant Applications	10/05/2018	- 10/05/2018
Review Grant Applications	10/11/2018	- 10/11/2018
Review Grant Applications	10/12/2018	- 10/12/2018
Review Grant Applications	10/15/2018	- 10/15/2018
Review Grant Applications	10/26/2018	- 10/26/2018
Review Grant Applications	10/29/2018	- 10/29/2018
Review Grant Applications	11/06/2018	- 11/06/2018
Review Grant Applications	02/11/2019	- 02/11/2019
Review Grant Applications	02/22/2019	- 02/22/2019
Review Grant Applications	02/22/2019	- 02/22/2019
Review Grant Applications	02/25/2019	- 02/25/2019
Review Grant Applications	03/01/2019	- 03/01/2019
Review Grant Applications	03/04/2019	- 03/04/2019
Review Grant Applications	03/08/2019	- 03/08/2019

Review Grant Applications	03/15/2019	- 03/15/2019
Review Grant Applications	05/05/2019	- 05/05/2019
Review Grants Application	06/10/2019	- 06/10/2019
Review Grant Applications	06/14/2019	- 06/14/2019
Review Grant Applications	06/21/2019	- 06/21/2019
Review Grant Applications	06/27/2019	- 06/27/2019
Review Grants Application	06/28/2019	- 06/28/2019
Review Grant Applications	06/28/2019	- 06/28/2019
Review Grant Applications	09/19/2019	- 09/19/2019

**Number of Committee Meetings Listed: 23**

	<b>Current FY</b>	<b>Next FY</b>
<b>18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members</b>	\$87,300.00	\$87,300.00
<b>18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members</b>	\$640.00	\$640.00
<b>18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff</b>	\$1,838,709.00	\$1,873,644.00
<b>18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants</b>	\$0.00	\$0.00
<b>18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members</b>	\$134,994.00	\$136,111.00
<b>18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members</b>	\$8,283.00	\$8,366.00
<b>18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff</b>	\$0.00	\$0.00
<b>18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants</b>	\$0.00	\$0.00
<b>18c. Other(rents,user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)</b>	\$196,873.00	\$198,841.00
<b>18d. Total</b>	\$2,266,799.00	\$2,304,902.00
<b>19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)</b>	11.20	11.20

**20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?**

Section 492 of the PHS Act states that The Secretary ...shall by regulation require appropriate technical and scientific peer review of -- (A) applications . . . ; and (B) biomedical and behavioral research and development contracts . . . This committee is composed of recognized biomedical and/or behavioral research authorities who represent the forefront of research and technical knowledge and who provide first-level merit review of highly scientific and technical research grant applications and/or contract proposals in the fields of maternal and child health, reproductive and population sciences, intellectual and developmental disabilities, and medical rehabilitation. During this reporting period, the committee reviewed 519 applications requesting \$188,770,891.

**20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?**

The members of this committee are authorities knowledgeable in biomedical and behavioral research as related to intellectual and developmental disabilities, maternal and child health, medical rehabilitation, reproductive and population sciences, and medical rehabilitation.

**20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?**

The committee held 23 meetings during this reporting period.

**20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?**

This committee is composed of recognized biomedical and behavioral research authorities who represent the forefront of research and technical knowledge and who provide first-level merit review of highly scientific and technical research grant applications and contract proposals. These evaluations and recommendations cannot be obtained from other sources because the specialized, complex nature of the applications and proposals requires a unique balance and breadth of expertise not available from the NIH staff or from other established sources.

**20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?**

The meetings of the committee were closed to the public for the review of grant applications. Sections 552b(c)(4) and 552b(c)(6) of the Government in the Sunshine Act permit the closing of meetings where discussion could reveal confidential trade secrets or commercial property such as patentable material and personal information, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

**21. Remarks**

Reports: This committee did not produce any reports this fiscal year. URL: This committee does not have a website. The Designated Federal Official and Committee Decision Maker are the same individual based on assigned duties within the IC. Daily salary costs have been estimated for Federal members of this committee. The dollar figure represents a portion of their annual salary. No funds have been transferred between the committee. Due to the larger number of members serving on this committee, NIH staff are unable to provide additional information on Occupation or Affiliation. Additional information on an individual's affiliation may be obtained by contacting staff listed in this report. Due to the large number of members associated with this committee, NIH staff are unable to provide individual zip codes for all members. Current individual meeting rosters, including zip codes are available on line at <https://public.era.nih.gov/pubroster/>.

**Designated Federal Officer**

SHERRY L. DUPERE DIRECTOR, SCIENTIFIC REVIEW BRANCH

Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
ADAMS WALDORF, KRISTINA	07/01/2017	06/30/2021	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
BARBARES, WILLIAM	07/01/2018	06/30/2020	WADE FAMILY FOUNDATION CHAIR IN DEVELOPMENTAL MEDICINE AND PROFESSOR OF PEDIATRICS	Peer Review Consultant Member

BARBER, JENNIFER	07/01/2015 06/30/2019	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
BOBB, VANESSA	07/01/2017 06/30/2021	PSYCHIATRIST	Peer Review Consultant Member
BONUICK, KAREN	07/01/2018 06/30/2020	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
BORRERO, SONYA	07/01/2016 06/30/2022	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR OF MEDICINE	Peer Review Consultant Member
BROWNELL, CELIA	07/01/2017 06/30/2019	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
BURD, IRINA	07/11/2018 06/30/2020	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
BURKE, NANCY	07/01/2017 06/30/2021	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR AND CHAIR	Peer Review Consultant Member
CAHILL, ALISON	07/01/2015 06/30/2019	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
CHEN, FEINIAN	07/01/2015 06/30/2019	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
CHRISTENSON, LANE	07/01/2015 06/30/2019	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
COARD, STEPHANIE	11/14/2016 06/30/2020	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
COLOMBO, JOHN	07/01/2017 06/30/2021	DIRECTOR AND PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
CONDON, JENNIFER	07/12/2016 06/30/2019	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
CONRAD, DONALD	07/01/2017 06/30/2019	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
CORNFIELD, DAVID	07/01/2015 06/30/2019	PROFESSOR IN PEDIATRIC PULMONARY MEDICINE	Peer Review Consultant Member
COYNE-BEASLEY, TAMERA	07/05/2016 06/30/2022	PROFESSOR OF PEDIATRICS AND INTERNAL MEDICINE	Peer Review Consultant Member Regular
DAMIANO, DIANE	07/12/2016 06/30/2020	TENURED SENIOR INVESTIGATOR	Government Employee (RGE) Member
DAVIDSON, LANCE	07/01/2018 06/30/2022	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
DERYNCK, RIK	07/01/2017 06/30/2021	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member

FAZZIO, THOMAS	07/01/2016 06/30/2020	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
FERRIE, JOSEPH	07/01/2015 06/30/2019	PROFESSOR OF ECONOMICS AND HISTORY	Peer Review Consultant Member
FRANCIS, DAVID	11/22/2016 06/30/2020	DISTINGUISHED PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
FRANKLIN, CORINNA	07/01/2016 06/30/2020	PEDIATRIC ORTHOPAEDIC SURGEON	Peer Review Consultant Member
GALLOWAY, JAMES	07/01/2017 06/30/2021	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
GARCIA-CASTRO, MARTIN	07/01/2018 06/30/2024	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
GLICK, JENNIFER	07/01/2015 06/30/2019	HOFFMAN PROFESSOR IN SOCIOLOGY	Peer Review Consultant Member
GONZALEZ-FERNANDEZ, MARLIS	07/01/2017 06/30/2021	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR AND VICE CHAIRMAN	Peer Review Consultant Member
GRACIA, CLARISA	07/11/2016 06/30/2020	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
GYAMFI-BANNERMAN, CYNTHIA	07/01/2015 06/30/2019	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
HAAS, DAVID	07/01/2015 06/30/2019	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
HADJANTONAKIS, ANNA-KATERINA	07/01/2018 06/30/2022	MEMBER	Peer Review Consultant Member
HAWLEY, SCOTT	07/01/2018 06/30/2020	INVESTIGATOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
HEYN, PATRICIA	07/01/2017 06/30/2021	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR AND SENIOR RESEARCH MENTOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
IEZZONI, LISA	07/01/2014 06/30/2020	PROFESSOR OF MEDICINE	Peer Review Consultant Member
JACOBSON, KRISTEN	11/16/2016 06/30/2020	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
JIANG, RULANG	07/01/2018 06/30/2022	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
JOHNSON, MICHELLE	07/01/2015 06/30/2019	ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
JONES, HELEN	07/01/2015 06/30/2019	ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member

JOURILES, ERNEST	07/17/2016 06/30/2020	DALE MCKISSICK ENDOWED PROFESSOR OF PSYCHOLOGY	Peer Review Consultant Member
KAISER, ANN	07/01/2016 06/30/2022	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
KALLEN, CALEB	07/01/2015 06/30/2019	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR AND DIRECTOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
KEENAN, HEATHER	07/01/2014 06/30/2020	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
KOENIG, MARY KAY	07/01/2014 06/30/2019	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
KRISHNAN, CHANDRAMOULI	07/01/2017 06/30/2021	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
KUMAR, SATHISH	07/01/2017 06/30/2021	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
LAURENT, LOUISE	07/01/2015 06/30/2019	ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
LEWIS, TERRI	11/14/2016 06/30/2020	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
LIECHTY, EDWARD	07/01/2015 06/30/2019	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
LI, JAMES	07/26/2016 06/30/2020	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
LOCKMAN, JEFFREY	11/10/2016 06/30/2020	PROFESSOR OF PSYCHOLOGY	Peer Review Consultant Member
LOPOO, LEONARD	07/01/2017 06/30/2019	PROFESSOR OF PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION AND INTERNATIONAL AFFAIRS; DIRECTOR, CENTER FOR POLICY RESEARCH; DIRECTOR, MAXWELL X LAB	Peer Review Consultant Member
LUKE, NANCY	08/16/2016 06/30/2020	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
MCNEILL, LORNA	07/01/2017 06/30/2021	DEPUTY DEPARTMENT CHAIR AND ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
MORENO, MEGAN	07/05/2016 06/30/2022	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
MUSICK, KELLY	08/08/2016 06/30/2020	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
NEAL-PERRY, GENEVIEVE	07/01/2017 06/30/2021	PROFESSOR AND DIVISION DIRECTOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
PAGE, STEPHEN	07/05/2016 06/30/2020	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member

PANGAS, STEPHANIE	07/01/2015	06/30/2019	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
PARSONS, MICHAEL	07/01/2015	06/30/2019	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
PICHON, LATRICE	07/01/2017	06/30/2021	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
POLLACK, MURRAY	07/14/2016	06/30/2019	PROFESSOR OF PEDIATRICS	Peer Review Consultant Member
RIGGINS, TRACY	11/09/2016	06/30/2020	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
RINAUDO, PAOLO	07/21/2016	06/30/2020	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
ROGERS, REBECCA	07/01/2015	06/30/2019	PROFESSOR AND DIRECTOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
ROSS, MARGARET	07/01/2018	06/30/2024	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
SAHIN, MUSTAFA	07/01/2015	06/30/2019	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
SAPERSTEIN, ALIYA	10/17/2017	06/30/2021	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
SAPIENZA, CARMEN	07/01/2014	06/30/2019	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
SCHUCHMAN, EDWARD	07/01/2015	06/30/2019	FRANCIS CRICK PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
SHRIER, LYDIA	07/01/2016	06/30/2022	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
SINGSON, ANDREW	07/01/2015	06/30/2019	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
STEIN, DAVID	07/01/2018	06/30/2022	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
STROBINO, DONNA	07/01/2016	06/30/2022	PROFESSOR AND VICE CHAIR OF EDUCATION	Peer Review Consultant Member
SU, EMILY	07/01/2015	06/30/2019	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
SWAMY, GEETA	07/01/2017	06/30/2019	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR, OBGYN	Peer Review Consultant Member
THIRUMURTHY, HARSHA	07/01/2017	06/30/2019	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member

TIMMS, KATHY	07/01/2017 06/30/2021 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
VANNEST, JENNIFER	11/22/2016 06/30/2020 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
VOSVICK, MARK	07/01/2018 06/30/2020 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
WARD, ROBERT	07/01/2014 06/30/2020 PROFESSOR OF PEDIATRICS	Peer Review Consultant Member

**Number of Committee Members Listed: 82**

**Narrative Description**

The goal of NIH research is to acquire new knowledge to help prevent, detect, diagnose, and treat disease and disability, from the rarest genetic disorder to the common cold. The NIH mission is to uncover new knowledge that will lead to better health for everyone. NIH works toward that mission by supporting the research of non-Federal scientists in universities, medical schools, hospitals, and research institutions throughout the country and abroad. Section 492 of the PHS Act states that The Secretary shall by regulation require appropriate technical and scientific peer review of --(A) applications; and (B) biomedical research and development contracts. This committee is composed of recognized biomedical and behavioral research authorities who represent the forefront of research and technical knowledge and who provide first-level merit review of highly scientific and technical research grant applications and/or contract proposals. These evaluations and recommendations cannot be obtained from other sources because the specialized, complex nature of the applications and proposals requires a unique balance and breadth of expertise not available on the NIH staff or from other established sources.

**What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?**

Checked if Applies

- Improvements to health or safety
- Trust in government
- Major policy changes
- Advance in scientific research
- Effective grant making
- Improved service delivery
- Increased customer satisfaction
- Implementation of laws or regulatory requirements
- Other



### Outcome Comments

NA

### What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

Checked if Applies

- |                            |                                     |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| None                       | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Unable to Determine        | <input type="checkbox"/>            |
| Under \$100,000            | <input type="checkbox"/>            |
| \$100,000 - \$500,000      | <input type="checkbox"/>            |
| \$500,001 - \$1,000,000    | <input type="checkbox"/>            |
| \$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000  | <input type="checkbox"/>            |
| \$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000 | <input type="checkbox"/>            |
| Over \$10,000,000          | <input type="checkbox"/>            |
| Cost Savings Other         | <input type="checkbox"/>            |

### Cost Savings Comments

NIH supported basic and clinical research accomplishments often take many years to unfold into new diagnostic tests and new ways to treat and prevent diseases.

### What is the approximate Number of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?

11,254

### Number of Recommendations Comments

N/A

### What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Fully implemented by the agency?

0%

### % of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

NIH Peer Review Committees are involved in the initial review of research grant applications. The NIH dual peer review system is mandated by statute in accordance with section 492 of the Public Health Service Act. The charge to this committee is to determine scientific and technical merit of the individual grants or contracts. These recommendations are forwarded to Federal officials who generally accept the committee's recommendations and favorable applications are then forwarded for the second level of review performed by Institute and Center (IC) National Advisory Councils or Boards. Only applications that are

favorably recommended by both the initial peer review committee and the Advisory Council may be recommended for funding.

**What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Partially implemented by the agency?**

0%

**% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments**

NIH Peer Review Committees are involved in the initial review of research grant applications. The NIH dual peer review system is mandated by statute in accordance with section 492 of the Public Health Service Act. The charge to this committee is to determine scientific and technical merit of the individual grants or contracts. These recommendations are forwarded to Federal officials who generally accept the committee's recommendations and favorable applications are then forwarded for the second level of review performed by Institute and Center (IC) National Advisory Councils or Boards. Only applications that are favorably recommended by both the initial peer review committee and the Advisory Council may be recommended for funding.

**Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered?**

Yes  No  Not Applicable

**Agency Feedback Comments**

N/A

**What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?**

	Checked if Applies
Reorganized Priorities	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reallocated resources	<input type="checkbox"/>
Issued new regulation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Proposed legislation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Approved grants or other payments	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Action Comments**

An action of "approved" or "recommended" for grants receiving initial peer review by this committee does not infer that the grant will be or has been funded. Research grant applications submitted to NIH must go through a two-step review process that includes

the initial peer review for scientific and technical merit and a second step of review and approval by a National Advisory Council for program relevance. In addition, prior to an award or funding being made, NIH staff must conduct an administrative review for a number of other considerations. These include alignment with NIH's funding principles, review of the project budget, assessment of the applicant's management systems, determination of applicant eligibility, and compliance with public policy requirements. After all these steps have been completed, NIH officials make funding decisions on individual grant applications.

**Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?**

Yes

What is the estimated <u>Number</u> of grants reviewed for approval	519
What is the estimated <u>Number</u> of grants recommended for approval	519
What is the estimated <u>Dollar Value</u> of grants recommended for approval	\$188,770,891

**Grant Review Comments**

Grant Review

**How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?**

Checked if Applies

Contact DFO	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Online Agency Web Site	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Online Committee Web Site	<input type="checkbox"/>
Online GSA FACA Web Site	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Publications	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Access Comments**

N/A