2024 Current Fiscal Year Report: National Institute of Dental and **Craniofacial Research Special Grants Review Committee**

Report Run Date: 04/27/2024 01:24:08 AM

1. Department or Agency	2. Fiscal Year
Department of Health and Human Services	2024
	3b. GSA

3. Committee or Subcommittee Committee

No.

National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial 970 Research Special Grants Review Committee

4. Is this New During 5. Current 6. Expected 7. Expected Fiscal Year? Renewal Date **Term Date** Charter

12/01/1987 No

8b. Specific 8a. Was Terminated During Termination 8c. Actual FiscalYear? **Term Date** Authority

No

10b. 9. Agency 10a. Legislation

Recommendation for Next Legislation Reg to Terminate? **FiscalYear** Pending?

Continue Not Applicable Not Applicable

11. Establishment Authority Authorized by Law

14. 12. Specific 13.

14c. **Establishment Effective** Commitee Presidential?

Authority Date **Type**

11/20/1985 Continuing 42 U.S.C. 282(b)(16)

15. Description of Committee Grant Review Committee

16a. Total

No Reports for Number of this FiscalYear

Reports

17a. 0 17b. Closed 0 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total 0Open

Meetings and Dates

No Meetings

	Current Next	
	FY	FY
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to	\$0.0	00 \$0.00
Non-Federal Members	ψ0.0	λο ψο.σο
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to	\$0.0	00 \$0.00
Federal Members	ψ0.0	λο ψο.σο
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to	\$0.0	00 \$0.00
Federal Staff	ψ0.0	λο ψο.σο
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to	\$0.0	00 \$0.00
Non-Member Consultants	ψ0.0	λο ψο.σο
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to	\$0.0	00 \$0.00
Non-Federal Members	ψ0.0	λο ψο.σο
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to	\$0.0	00 \$0.00
Federal Members	ψ0.0	λο ψο.σσ
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to	\$0.0	00\$0.00
Federal Staff	Ψ0.	,ο φο.σσ
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to	\$0.0	00\$0.00
Non-member Consultants	ΨΟι	,ο φο.σσ
18c. Other(rents,user charges,	\$0.0	00 \$0.00
graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	ΨΟ.	<i>γ</i> ο φο.σο
18d. Total	\$0.0	00\$0.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years	0 (00.00
(FTE)	0.0	,0 0.00

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

This committee is composed of recognized biomedical and/or behavioral research authorities who represent the forefront of research and technical knowledge and who provide first-level merit review of highly scientific and technical research grant applications, including fellowship and career development award applications, and/or contract proposals. The committee reviewed 203 applications requesting \$54,275,445.

20b. How does the Committee balance its

membership?

The members of this committee are authorities knowledgeable in the fields of periodontology, immunology, connective tissue repair, immuno-pathology, collagen biosynthesis, craniofacial development, biochemistry and physical chemistry of dental materials, mechanisms of pain to applied studies, biostatistics, and clinical trials of preventive and therapeutic agents, devices and procedures.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

The National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research Special Grants Review Committee held three meetings during this reporting period - 10/20-21/2022, 2/23-24/2023, and 6/29-30/2023.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

This committee is composed of recognized biomedical and/or behavioral research authorities who represent the forefront of research and technical knowledge and who provide first-level merit review of highly scientific and technical research grant applications and contract proposals. These evaluations and recommendations cannot be obtained from other sources because the specialized, complex nature of the applications and proposals requires a unique balance and breadth of expertise not available from the NIH staff or from other established sources.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

The meetings of the National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research Special Grants Review Committee were closed to the public for the review

of grant applications. Sections 552b(c)(4) and 552b(c)(6) of the Government in the Sunshine Act permit the closing of meetings where discussion could reveal confidential trade secrets or commercial property such as patentable material and personal information, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

21. Remarks

Reports: This committee did not produce any public reports during the fiscal year. Due to the larger number of members serving on this committee, NIH staff are unable to provide individual zip codes. Additional information on an individual's zip code may be obtained by contacting the designated federal officer listed in this report. In general, initial/integrated review group (IRG) members serve up to six years, which is documented in the Members list. The permanent membership of this IRG may be supplemented at any meeting with temporary members who have experience or expertise in the disciplines and fields related to the IRG's function and are appointed to review some or all of the applications considered at that meeting. Therefore, the Members list reflects meeting dates, not appointment start and end dates for these members. While only one meeting date is listed as an appointment start and end date, an IRG temporary member may have attended several meetings throughout the fiscal year. Meeting rosters, including members' affiliations and zip codes are available online at https://public.era.nih.gov/pubroster/.

Designated Federal Officer

Thomas O'Farrell Scientific Review Officer

Committee Start End Occupation Member Designation

Baker, Olga	07/01/2022	06/30/2028	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Benner, Christopher	07/01/2022	06/30/2028	Assistant Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
COKER, MODUPE	07/01/2023	06/30/2029	Assistant Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Dongari-Bagtzoglou, Anna	07/20/2021	06/30/2027	Professor and Chair	Peer Review Consultant Member
Embree, Mildred	07/01/2020	06/30/2026	Assistant Professor of Dental Medicine	Peer Review Consultant Member
Frias-Lopez, Jorge	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Han, Xiaozhe	07/01/2020	06/30/2026	Associate Member of the Staff	Peer Review Consultant Member
JEONG, JUHEE	07/01/2018	06/30/2024	ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
KO, CHING-CHANG	07/01/2018	06/30/2024	HALE DISTINGUISHED PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
Leach, J.	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Ono, Noriaki	07/01/2022	06/30/2028	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Ovitt, Catherine	07/01/2020	06/30/2024	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
PAN, QUINTIN	07/19/2021	06/30/2027	Deputy Director of Research	Peer Review Consultant Member
Ramsey, Matthew	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Assistant Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Richtsmeier, JOAN	07/10/2023	06/30/2027	Distinguished Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
SESHADRI, MUKUND	07/01/2018	06/30/2024	PROFESSOR AND CHAIR	Peer Review Consultant Member
Serban, Nicoleta	07/01/2022	06/30/2028	Peterson Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Shaddox, Luciana	07/20/2021	06/30/2025	Professor and Associate Dean of Research	Peer Review Consultant Member

			Associate Dean	Peer Review
Sunwoo, John	07/01/2020	06/30/2026	for Academic	Consultant
			Affairs	Member
WANG, XIAOFANG	07/19/2021	06/30/2027	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
WEI, FENG	07/01/2018	06/30/2024	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
			Professor and	Peer Review
Wu, Hui	07/01/2023	06/30/2029	Associate Dean	Consultant
			of Research	Member
Xu, Jian	07/31/2023	06/30/2029	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
zur Nieden, Nicole	07/01/2022	06/30/2028	Assistant Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member

Number of Committee Members Listed: 24

Narrative Description

NIH's mission is to seek fundamental knowledge about the nature and behavior of living systems and the application of that knowledge to enhance health, lengthen life, and reduce illness and disability. NIH works toward that mission by supporting the research of non-Federal Scientists in universities, medical schools, hospitals, and research institutions throughout the country and abroad. Section 492 of the PHS Act states that The Secretary...shall by regulation require appropriate technical and scientific peer review of: (A) applications ...; and (B) biomedical and behavioral research and development contracts...

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

	Checked if	
	Applies	
Improvements to health or safety		✓
Trust in government		
Major policy changes		
Advance in scientific research		✓
Effective grant making		✓
Improved service delivery		
Increased customer satisfaction		

Implementation of laws or regulatory requirements	
Other	
Outcome Comments NA	
What are the cost savings associated with the	nis committee?
	Checked if Applies
None	
Unable to Determine	~
Under \$100,000	
\$100,000 - \$500,000	
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	
\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000	
\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000	
Over \$10,000,000	
Cost Savings Other	
Cost Savings Comments	
NIH supported basic and clinical research acco	mplishments often take many years to
unfold into new diagnostic tests and new ways	to treat and prevent diseases.
What is the approximate Number of recomm for the life of the committee? 4,092	endations produced by this committee
Number of Recommendations Comments Grant Review	
What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these will be <u>Fully</u> implemented by the agency?	e recommendations that have been or

% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

NIH Peer Review Committees are involved in the initial review of research grant applications. The NIH dual peer review system is mandated by statute in accordance with section 492 of the Public Health Service Act. The charge to this committee is to determine

scientific and technical merit of the individual grants or contracts. These recommendations are forwarded to Federal officials who generally accept the committee's recommendations and favorable applications are then forwarded for the second level of review performed by Institute and Center (IC) National Advisory Councils or Boards. Only applications that are favorably recommended by both the initial peer review committee and the Advisory Council may be recommended for funding.

What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations that have been or will be <u>Partially</u> implemented by the agency?

0%

% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

NIH Peer Review Committees are involved in the initial review of research grant applications. The NIH dual peer review system is mandated by statute in accordance with section 492 of the Public Health Service Act. The charge to this committee is to determine scientific and technical merit of the individual grants or contracts. These recommendations are forwarded to Federal officials who generally accept the committee's recommendations and favorable applications are then forwarded for the second level of review performed by Institute and Center (IC) National Advisory Councils or Boards. Only applications that are favorably recommended by both the initial peer review committee and the Advisory Council may be recommended for funding.

Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to
implement recommendations or advice offered?

	/		
Yes	∨ No	No.	ot Applicable 🗔
	110	110	rt / tppiloubio

Agency Feedback Comments

Information resulting from closed initial peer review meetings is subject to the Freedom of Information Act. The public can view information on research projects funded by NIH on the RePORT (Research Portfolio Online Reporting Tool) website located at http://report.nih.gov.

What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?

	Checked if Applies
Reorganized Priorities	✓
Reallocated resources	
Issued new regulation	
Proposed legislation	

Approved grants or other payments	✓
Other	

Action Comments

An action of "approved" or "recommended" for grants receiving initial peer review by this committee does not infer that the grant will be or has been funded. Research grant applications submitted to NIH must go through a two-step review process that includes the initial peer review for scientific and technical merit and a second step of review and approval by a National Advisory Council for program relevance. In addition, prior to an award or funding being made, NIH staff must conduct an administrative review for a number of other considerations. These include alignment with NIH's funding principles, review of the project budget, assessment of the applicant's management systems, determination of applicant eligibility, and compliance with public policy requirements. After all these steps have been completed, NIH officials make funding decisions on individual grant applications.

Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?

Yes

What is the estimated **Number** of grants reviewed for approval 203

What is the estimated **Number** of grants recommended for

approval 203

What is the estimated **Dollar Value** of grants recommended for approval

\$54,275,445

Grant Review Comments

NA

How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

	Checked if Applies
Contact DFO	✓
Online Agency Web Site	✓
Online Committee Web Site	✓
Online GSA FACA Web Site	✓
Publications	
Other	✓

Access Comments

IC Committee Management Officer 240-669-5201