

2025 Current Fiscal Year Report: Proposal Review Panel for Equity for Excellence in STEM

Report Run Date: 06/06/2025 04:56:22 PM

1. Department or Agency

National Science Foundation

2. Fiscal Year

2025

3. Committee or Subcommittee

Proposal Review Panel for Equity for Excellence in STEM

3b. GSA

Committee No.

1199

4. Is this New Fiscal Year?

No

5. Current Charter

06/28/2023

6. Expected Renewal Date

06/28/2025

7. Expected Term Date

8a. Was Terminated During Fiscal Year?

No

8b. Specific Termination Authority

8c. Actual Term Date

9. Agency Recommendation for Next Fiscal Year

Continue

10a. Legislation Req to Terminate?

No

10b. Legislation Pending?

Not Applicable

11. Establishment Authority

Agency Authority

12. Specific Establishment Authority

ADM IV-100

13. Effective Date

03/15/1991

14. Committee Type

Continuing

14c. Presidential?

No

15. Description of Committee

Grant Review Committee

16a. Total Number of Reports

No Reports for this Fiscal Year

17a. Open

0

17b. Closed

0

17c. Partially Closed

0

Other Activities

0

17d. Total

0

Meetings and Dates

No Meetings

Current Next

FY

FY

18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18c. Other(rents,user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	\$0.00	\$0.00
18d. Total	\$0.00	\$0.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)	0.00	0.00

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

Committee members reviewed and evaluated over 494 proposals submitted to the Division of Equity for Excellence in STEM (EES) for financial support. The Panel reviewed proposals whose goals focus on performance results that demonstrate research leading to new knowledge and techniques, dissemination and use of discovered results, preparing the STEM workforce to reflect the diverse human resource pool in the U.S., and improving skills and performances in the STEM educational continuum (K-12 and graduate levels). Guided by NSF proposal review criteria and specific programmatic criteria, the committee will review and advise division staff on the most meritorious proposals.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

Membership was selected on an as needed basis in response to specific proposals/sites to be reviewed. Members were selected for their demonstrated scientific and engineering expertise so as to represent a reasonable balance of capability in the various subfields of the proposals to be reviewed. Consideration was given to achieving geographic balance, institutional type, and to enhancing representation for women, minorities, younger and disabled scientists.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

It was necessary to convene 73 panels in FY 24; the number of meetings is determined by the number of proposals to be reviewed and the need for as timely a review as possible within the limited resources available for support of the panel.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

Proposals handled in EES are interdisciplinary which does not lend itself to mail review only. Additionally, the use of panels vs ad hoc mail review is more efficient and cost effective. Committee costs were less than 0.5% of the awards made as a result of the panels' review.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

To review proposals that included information of a proprietary or confidential nature, including technical information; financial data such as salaries; and personal information concerning individuals associated with the proposals.

21. Remarks

None

Designated Federal Officer

Felecia Nave Division Director, EES

Narrative Description

The committee implements the Agency's merit review system, recommending meritorious proposals for funding.

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

Checked if
Applies

Improvements to health or safety	<input type="checkbox"/>
Trust in government	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Major policy changes	<input type="checkbox"/>
Advance in scientific research	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Effective grant making	<input type="checkbox"/>
Improved service delivery	<input type="checkbox"/>
Increased customer satisfaction	<input type="checkbox"/>
Implementation of laws or regulatory requirements	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

Outcome Comments

NA

What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

Checked if Applies

None	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unable to Determine	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Under \$100,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
\$100,000 - \$500,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000	<input type="checkbox"/>

\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000

☐

Over \$10,000,000

☐

Cost Savings Other

☐

Cost Savings Comments

The most promising proposals are supported, thus minimizing risk.

What is the approximate Number of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?

531

Number of Recommendations Comments

The number of recommendations is for the fiscal year.

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Fully implemented by the agency?

100%

% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

NA

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Partially implemented by the agency?

0%

% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

NA

Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered?

Yes ☒ No ☐ Not Applicable ☐

Agency Feedback Comments

NSF Post Awards via Agency Web-Page.

What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?

Checked if Applies

Reorganized Priorities

☐

Reallocated resources	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Issued new regulation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Proposed legislation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Approved grants or other payments	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

Action Comments

NA

Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?

Yes

What is the estimated **Number** of grants reviewed for approval 494

What is the estimated **Number** of grants recommended for approval 185

What is the estimated **Dollar Value** of grants recommended for approval \$155,007,299

Grant Review Comments

NA

How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

Checked if Applies

Contact DFO	<input type="checkbox"/>
Online Agency Web Site	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Online Committee Web Site	<input type="checkbox"/>
Online GSA FACA Web Site	<input type="checkbox"/>
Publications	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

Access Comments

N/A