## 2024 Current Fiscal Year Report: National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases Special Emphasis Panel

Report Run Date: 04/24/2024 01:33:04 PM

1. Department or Agency		2. Fiscal Year		
Department of Health and Human Services			2024	
Department of Fleakin and Flaman Dervices			3b. GSA	
3. Committee or Subcommittee		Committee		
			No.	
National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases Special Emphasis Panel		2083		
4. Is this New During 5.			7. Expected	
-		newal Date	Term Date	
No 09	)/29/1995			
8a. Was Terminated Du FiscalYear?	8b. Spee Termina Authorit	tion	8c. Actual Term Date	
No				
9. Agency Recommendation for N FiscalYear	ext	gislation Ferminate?	10b. Legislation Pending?	
Continue	Not App	licable	Not Applicable	
11. Establishment Auth	ority Authori	zed by Law		
12. Specific	13.	14.	14c.	
Establishment Authority	Effective Date	Commitee Type	Presidential?	
42 USC 282(b)(16)	11/20/1985	Continuing	No	
15. Description of Committee Special Emphasis Panel				
16a. TotalNo RepNumber ofthis FishReportsthis Fish				
17a. 0 17b. Closed 0 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total 0 Open				
Meetings and Dates				
No Meetings				

**Current Next** 

	FY	FY	
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to	\$0.	00\$0.00	
Non-Federal Members	ψ0.	00 00.00	
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to	ፍብ	00\$0.00	
Federal Members	φ0.00 φ0.00		
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to	ፍብ	00\$0.00	
Federal Staff	ψ0.	ΟΟ ΦΟ.ΟΟ	
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to	\$0.00\$0.00	00 00 00	
Non-Member Consultants	φ0.00φ0.00		,
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to	\$0.00\$0.00	00 \$0 00	h
Non-Federal Members	φ0.00φ0.00		,
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to	ፍብ	00\$0.00	
Federal Members	ψ0.	00φ0.00	
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to	<u>۵</u> ۵	00\$0.00	
Federal Staff	ψ0.	Ου φυ.ου	
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to	<u>۵</u> ۵	00 \$0 00	
Non-member Consultants	\$0.00\$0.00		
18c. Other(rents,user charges,	ድብ	00 00 00	
graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	\$0.00\$0.00		
18d. Total	\$0.	00\$0.00	
19. Federal Staff Support Years	0.00 0.00	00 0.00	
(FTE)	0.	00 0.00	

## 20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

Section 492 of the PHS Act states that The Secretary...shall by regulation require appropriate technical and scientific peer review of -- (A) applications...; and (B) biomedical and behavioral research and development contracts... This committee is composed of recognized biomedical and/or behavioral research authorities who represent the forefront of research and technical knowledge and who provide first-level merit review of funding applications and proposals, including but not limited to grant and cooperative agreement applications and contract proposals, for research projects and for research and training activities in areas relevant to diabetes, endocrine and metabolic diseases; digestive diseases and nutritional disorders; and kidney, urologic, and hematologic diseases. During this reporting period the committee reviewed a total of 1001 research grant applications requesting \$1,305,788,601.00.

## 20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

The committee has a fluid membership, with members designated to serve for individual meetings rather than formally appointed for fixed terms of service. The reviewers for each meeting are selected to provide advice and recommendations on funding applications and proposals, including but not limited to grant and cooperative agreement applications and contract proposals, for research projects and for research and training activities in the broad areas of diabetes, endocrine and metabolic diseases; digestive diseases and nutritional disorders; and kidney, urologic, and hematologic diseases. Participants for each meeting are assembled to most efficiently cover the number and breadth of applications for contracts requiring review.

## 20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

The National Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases Special Emphasis Panel held 74 meetings during this reporting period. The flexibility in review allowed by this committee structure has proved both efficient and effective.

## 20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

This committee is composed of recognized biomedical and/or behavioral research authorities

who represent the forefront of research and technical knowledge and who provide first-level merit review of funding applications and proposals, including but not limited to grant and cooperative agreement applications and contract proposals, for research projects and for research and training activities. These evaluations and recommendations cannot be obtained from other sources because the specialized, complex nature of the applications and proposals requires a unique balance and breadth of expertise not available on the NIH staff or from other established sources.

## 20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

The meetings of the National Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Special Emphasis Panel were closed to the public for the review of grant applications. Sections 552b(c)(4) and 552b(c)(6) of the Government in the Sunshine Act permit the closing of meetings where discussion could reveal confidential trade secrets or commercial property such as patentable material and personal information, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

#### 21. Remarks

Reports: This committee did not produce any public reports during the fiscal year. URL: This committee does not have a dedicated website. Membership: The members of this Special Emphasis Panel (SEP) do not have standing appointments and serve on an as needed basis for meetings throughout the fiscal year. Therefore, the Members list reflects meeting dates, not appointment start and end dates. While only one meeting date is listed as an appointment start and end date, a member may have attended several meetings, either as a chairperson, co-chair, or as a member, throughout the fiscal year. As a result, the Members list, including the number of chairs, may not align or directly match to specific meeting dates. Meeting rosters, including members' affiliations and zip codes are available online at https://public.era.nih.gov/pubroster/. There are two members with the same name on the Membership list; however, they are two different individuals from two different institutions (Dr. Jonathan Katz).

#### **Designated Federal Officer**

JOHN CONNAUGHTON CHIEF, REVIEW BRANCH

#### Narrative Description

NIH's mission is to seek fundamental knowledge about the nature and behavior of living systems and the application of that knowledge to enhance health, lengthen life, and reduce illness and disability. NIH works toward that mission by supporting the research of non-Federal scientists in universities, medical schools, hospitals, and research institutions throughout the country and abroad. Section 492 of the PHS Act states that The Secretary...shall by regulation require appropriate technical and scientific peer review of -(A) applications...; and (B)biomedical and/or behavioral research authorities who represent the forefront of research and technical knowledge and who provide first-level merit review of highly scientific and technical research grant applications in the fields of diabetes, endocrinology, metabolic diseases, digestive diseases, nutritional, kidney, urological and hematological diseases.

## What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

Checked if Applies

Improvements to health or safety	
Trust in government	
Major policy changes	
Advance in scientific research	1
Effective grant making	✓
Improved service delivery	
Increased customer satisfaction	
Implementation of laws or regulatory	
requirements	
Other	

#### **Outcome Comments**

NA

#### What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

	Checked if Applies
None	
Unable to Determine	$\checkmark$
Under \$100,000	
\$100,000 - \$500,000	
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	
\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000	
\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000	
Over \$10,000,000	
Cost Savings Other	

#### **Cost Savings Comments**

NIH supported basic and clinical research accomplishments often take many years to unfold into new diagnostic tests and new ways to treat and prevent diseases.

What is the approximate <u>Number</u> of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee? 21,877

Number of Recommendations Comments Grant Review - The number of grants recommended: 1,001

#### What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or

#### will be Fully implemented by the agency?

0%

#### % of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

NIH Peer Review Committees are involved in the initial review of research grant applications. The NIH dual peer review system is mandated by statute in accordance with section 492 of the Public Health Service Act. The charge to this committee is to determine scientific and technical merit of the individual grants or contracts. These recommendations are forwarded to Federal officials who generally accept the committee's recommendations and favorable applications are then forwarded for the second level of review performed by Institute and Center (IC) National Advisory Councils or Boards. Only applications that are favorably recommended by both the initial peer review committee and the Advisory Council may be recommended for funding.

# What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations that have been or will be <u>Partially</u> implemented by the agency?

0%

#### % of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

NIH Peer Review Committees are involved in the initial review of research grant applications. The NIH dual peer review system is mandated by statute in accordance with section 492 of the Public Health Service Act. The charge to this committee is to determine scientific and technical merit of the individual grants or contracts. These recommendations are forwarded to Federal officials who generally accept the committee's recommendations and favorable applications are then forwarded for the second level of review performed by Institute and Center (IC) National Advisory Councils or Boards. Only applications that are favorably recommended by both the initial peer review committee and the Advisory Council may be recommended for funding.

# Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered?

Yes 🗹 No 🗌 Not Applicable 🗌

#### Agency Feedback Comments

Information resulting from closed initial peer review meetings is subject to the Freedom of Information Act. The public can view information on research projects funded by NIH on the RePORT (Research Portfolio Online Reporting Tool) website located at http://report.nih.gov.

## What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?

	Checked if Applies
Reorganized Priorities	
Reallocated resources	$\checkmark$
Issued new regulation	
Proposed legislation	
Approved grants or other payments	$\checkmark$
Other	

#### **Action Comments**

An action of approved or recommended for grants receiving review by this council does not infer that the grant will be or has been funded. Research grant applications submitted to NIH must go through a two-step review process that includes initial peer review for scientific and technical merit and a second step of review for a number of other considerations. These include alignment with NIH's funding principles, review of the project budget, assessment of the applicant's management systems, determination of applicant eligibility, and compliance with public policy requirements. After all of these steps have been completed, NIH officials make funding decisions on individual grant applications.

### Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?

Yes

What is the estimated Number of grants reviewed for approvalWhat is the estimated Number of grants recommended for1,001approval1,001What is the estimated Dollar Value of grants recommended for approval\$1,305,788,601

#### **Grant Review Comments**

The dollar value indicates the total grants funded.

#### How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

Checked if Applies

	enconcer na approv
Contact DFO	×
Online Agency Web Site	×
Online Committee Web Site	
Online GSA FACA Web Site	×
Publications	

Other

#### **Access Comments**

N/A