2024 Current Fiscal Year Report: National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research Special Emphasis Panel

Report Run Date: 04/26/2024 06:56:57 PM

1. Department or Agency

2. Fiscal
Year

Department of Health and Human Services
2024

3b. GSA

3. Committee or Subcommittee Committee

No.

National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial

Research Special Emphasis Panel

1960

4. Is this New During 5. Current 6. Expected 7. Expected Fiscal Year? Charter Renewal Date Term Date

No 09/30/1994

8a. Was Terminated During 8b. Specific Termination Authority 8c. Actual Term Date

No

9. Agency 10b. 10a. Legislation

Recommendation for Next Req to Terminate?

FiscalYear Legislation Legislation Pending?

Continue Not Applicable Not Applicable

11. Establishment Authority Authorized by Law

12. Specific 13. 14.

Establishment Effective Committee Presidential?

Authority Date Type

42 USC 282(b)(16) 11/20/1985 Continuing No

15. Description of Committee Special Emphasis Panel

16a. Total

No Reports for this FiscalYear

Reports

17a. 0 17b. Closed 0 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total 0 Open

Meetings and Dates

No Meetings

	Current Next	
	FY	FY
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to	ድስ ሰ	ሳ ቀሳ ሳሳ
Non-Federal Members	φυ.υ	0\$0.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to	\$0.0	0\$0.00
Federal Members	φυ.υ	υ φυ.υυ
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to	\$0.0	0\$0.00
Federal Staff	ψυ.υ	υ ψυ.υυ
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to	\$0.0	00 02 C
Non-Member Consultants	\$0.00\$0.00	
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to	\$0.0	00 02 C
Non-Federal Members	\$0.00\$0.00	
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to	\$0.0	0\$0.00
Federal Members	ψυ.υ	υ ψυ.υυ
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to	\$0.0	0\$0.00
Federal Staff	ψ0.0	υ ψυ.υυ
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to	\$0.0	00 02 C
Non-member Consultants	\$0.00\$0.00	
18c. Other(rents,user charges,	\$0.0	0\$0.00
graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	ψυ.υ	υ ψυ.υυ
18d. Total	\$0.0	0\$0.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years	0.0	0.00
(FTE)	0.0	0.00

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

Section 492 of the PHS Act states that The Secretary...shall by regulation require appropriate technical and scientific peer review of -- (A) applications...; and (B) ...biomedical and behavioral research and development contracts... This committee is composed of recognized biomedical and/or behavioral research authorities who represent the forefront of research and technical knowledge and who provide first-level merit review of highly scientific and technical research grant applications (and/or contract proposals) in the fields of oral health research and

prevention. Operation of this committee is accomplished using a fluid membership, with members designated to serve for individual meetings rather than formally appointed for fixed terms of service. During this reporting period, the committee reviewed 202 applications requesting \$378,187,881.00 in support.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

This committee has a fluid membership, with members designated to serve for individual meetings rather than formally appointed for fixed terms of service. The reviewers for each meeting are selected to evaluate grant applications or contract proposals for a specific, perhaps narrow, expertise area. Participants for each meeting are assembled to most efficiently and effectively cover the number and breadth of applications or contracts requiring review.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

The National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research Special Emphasis Panel held 19 meetings during this reporting period. The flexibility in review allowed by this committee structure has proved both efficient and effective.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

This committee is composed of recognized biomedical and/or behavioral research authorities who represent the forefront of research and technical knowledge and who provide first-level merit review of highly scientific and technical research grant applications and contract proposals. These evaluations and recommendations cannot be obtained from other

sources because the specialized, complex nature of the applications and proposals requires unique balance and breadth of expertise not available from the NIH staff or from other established sources.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

The meetings of the National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research Special Emphasis Panel were closed to the public for the review of grant applications and/or contract proposals. Sections 552b(c)(4) and 552b(c)(6) of the Government in the Sunshine Act permit the closing of meetings where discussion could reveal confidential trade secrets or commercial property such as patentable material and personal information, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

21. Remarks

The DFO and committee decision maker are the same person, the NIDCR Chief of Scientific Review based on the assignment of responsibilities in this Institute. Reports: This committee did not produce any public reports during the fiscal year. There are more chairpersons listed than meetings due to some meetings having multiple chairpersons.

Designated Federal Officer

YASAMAN SHIRAZI Chief, Scientific Review Branch

Narrative Description

NIH's mission is to seek fundamental knowledge about the nature

and behavior of living systems and the application of that knowledge to enhance health, lengthen life, and reduce illness and disability. NIH works toward that mission by supporting the research of non-Federal scientists in universities, medical schools, hospitals, and research institutions throughout the country and abroad. Section 492 of the PHS Act states that The Secretary...shall by regulation require appropriate technical and scientific peer review of -- (A) applications...; and (B) biomedical and behavioral research and development contracts...

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

	Checked if Applies
Improvements to health or safety	
Trust in government	
Major policy changes	
Advance in scientific research	✓
Effective grant making	✓
Improved service delivery	
Increased customer satisfaction	
Implementation of laws or regulatory	
requirements	
Other	
Outcome Comments	
Grant Application Review	
What are the cost savings associated with	h this committee?
	Checked if Applies
None	
Unable to Determine	✓
Under \$100,000	
\$100,000 - \$500,000	
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	
\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000	
\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000	

Over \$10,000,000

Cost Savings Comments

NIH supported basic and clinical research accomplishments often take many years to unfold into new diagnostic tests and new ways to treat and prevent diseases.

What is the approximate <u>Number</u> of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?

5,075

Number of Recommendations Comments

Grant Review

What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations that have been or will be <u>Fully</u> implemented by the agency?

0%

% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

NIH Peer Review Committees are involved in the initial review of research grant applications. The NIH dual peer review system is mandated by statute in accordance with section 492 of the Public Health Service Act. The charge to this committee is to determine scientific and technical merit of the individual grants or contracts. These recommendations are forwarded to Federal officials who generally accept the committee's recommendations and favorable applications are then forwarded for the second level of review performed by Institute and Center (IC) National Advisory Councils or Boards. Only applications that are favorably recommended by both the initial peer review committee and the Advisory Council may be recommended for funding.

What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations that have been or will be <u>Partially</u> implemented by the agency?

0%

% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

NIH Peer Review Committees are involved in the initial review of research grant applications. The NIH dual peer review system is mandated by statute in accordance with section 492 of the Public Health Service Act. The charge to this committee is to determine scientific and technical merit of the individual grants or contracts. These recommendations are forwarded to Federal officials who generally accept the committee's recommendations and favorable applications are then forwarded for the second level of review performed by

Institute and Center (IC) National Advisory Councils or Boards. Only applications that are favorably recommended by both the initial peer review committee and the Advisory Council may be recommended for funding.

Does the agency provide the committee	with feedback regarding actions taken to
implement recommendations or advice of	offered?

Yes	✓	No	Not Applicable

Agency Feedback Comments

Information resulting from closed initial peer review meetings is subject to the Freedom of Information Act. The public can view information on research projects funded by NIH on the RePORT (Research Portfolio Online Reporting Tool) website located at http://report.nih.gov. .

What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?

	Checked if Applies
Reorganized Priorities	
Reallocated resources	 ✓
Issued new regulation	
Proposed legislation	
Approved grants or other payments	 ✓
Other	

Action Comments

An action of "approved" or "recommended" for grants receiving initial peer review by this committee does not infer that the grant will be or has been funded. Research grant applications submitted to NIH must go through a two-step review process that includes the initial peer review for scientific and technical merit and a second step of review and approval by a National Advisory Council for program relevance. In addition, prior to an award or funding being made, NIH staff must conduct an administrative review for a number of other considerations. These include alignment with NIH's funding principles, review of the project budget, assessment of the applicant's management systems, determination of applicant eligibility, and compliance with public policy requirements. After all these steps have been completed, NIH officials make funding decisions on individual grant applications.

Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?

What is the estimated Number of grants reviewed for approval 202				
What is the estimated $\underline{\text{Number}}$ of grants re	commended for			
approval	202			
What is the estimated Dollar Value of gran	ts recommended for approval			
	\$378,187,881			
Grant Review Comments				
NA				
Have in according to the informati	on for the Committee de decommentation 2			
How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?				
	Checked if Applies			
Contact DFO	*			
Online Agency Web Site	\checkmark			
Online Committee Web Site	✓			
Online GSA FACA Web Site				
Publications				
Other				
Access Comments				
NA				