2025 Current Fiscal Year Report: Proposal Review Panel for Astronomical Sciences

Report Run Date: 06/21/2025 04:26:02 AM

1. Department or Agency 2. Fiscal Year

National Science Foundation 2025

3b. GSA

3. Committee or Subcommittee Committee No.

Proposal Review Panel for Astronomical

1186

Sciences

4. Is this New During 5. Current 6. Expected 7. Expected Fiscal Year? Charter Renewal Date Term Date

No 06/28/2024 06/28/2026

8a. Was Terminated During Termination 8b. Specific 8c. Actual FiscalYear? **Term Date**

Authority

No

9. Agency 10b. 10a. Legislation

Recommendation for Next Legislation Reg to Terminate? **FiscalYear** Pending?

Continue No Not Applicable

11. Establishment Authority Agency Authority

12. Specific 14. 13.

14c. Establishment Effective Commitee Presidential?

Authority Date **Type**

ADM IV-100 11/29/1990 Continuing No

15. Description of Committee Grant Review Committee

16a. Total

No Reports for Number of this FiscalYear

Reports

0 17b. Closed 0 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total 0

Meetings and Dates

No Meetings

Current Next

FY FY

18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00\$0.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$0.00\$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$0.00\$0.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$0.00\$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00\$0.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$0.00\$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$0.00\$0.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$0.00\$0.00
18c. Other(rents,user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	\$0.00\$0.00
18d. Total	\$0.00\$0.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)	0.00 0.00

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

Panel deliberations resulted in the review and ranking of proposals in areas of special emphasis in the Division of Astronomical Sciences. This advice aided AST Program Managers in their funding decisions. Proposals were reviewed in the following programs: Astronomy & Astrophysics Research Grants (AAG), National Radio Astronomy Observatory (NRAO), CAREER, The Astronomy & Astrophysics Postdoctoral Fellowship Program (AAPF), Advanced Technology and Instrumentation Program (ATI), Research Experiences for Undergraduates Program (REU), Major Research Instrumentation (MRI), Green Bank Observatory (GBO), NSF's National Optical-Infrared Astronomy Research

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

The makeup of the individual panels was well balanced. Represented were women and minorities, and large and small institutions. Consideration was also given to the geographic areas represented. Expertise was available in terms of subject matter and research experience in the subdisciplines.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

Each grant program in the Division of Astronomical Sciences has an annual deadline. This results in panel meetings for each program to review and rank the proposals. Periodic reviews are also held as part of the oversight and management of the national observatories.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

Because of the complex nature of many of these proposals, the give-and-take discussion about each of the proposals which results from panel meetings is necessary for a thorough review. No other source is available to us that has the required combination of expertise and experience.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

Proposals reviewed included information of a proprietary or confidential nature, including technical information; financial data, such as salaries; and personal information concerning individuals associated with the proposals.

21. Remarks

Designated Federal Officer

James Neff Deputy Division Director

Narrative Description

The NSF mission is set out in the NSF Act of 1950 and authorizes and directs the Agency to initiate and support basic scientific research and research fundamental to the engineering process; and science and engineering education programs at all levels and in all fields of science and engineering. The involvement of knowledgeable peers from outside the Foundation in the review of proposals is the keystone of NSF's proposal review system. Their judgements of the extent to which proposals address the merit review criteria are vital for informing NSF staff and influencing funding recommendations.

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

	Checked if Applies	
Improvements to health or safety		
Trust in government		
Major policy changes		
Advance in scientific research		✓
Effective grant making		✓
Improved service delivery		
Increased customer satisfaction		
Implementation of laws or regulatory		
requirements		
Other		

Outcome Comments

NA

What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

Checked if Applies

None Unable to Determine Under \$100,000 \$100,000 - \$500,000 \$500,001 - \$1,000,000 \$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000 \$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000 Over \$10,000,000 Cost Savings Other				
Cost Savings Comments NA				
What is the approximate Number of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee? 1,124				
Number of Recommendations Comments Please note that the number of recommendation is for the fiscal year.				
What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations that have been or will be <u>Fully</u> implemented by the agency? 100%				
% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments The word implement is not applicable to grant review panels. All recommendations for award are considered by the Agency.				
What is the approximate $\underline{\text{Percentage}}$ of these recommendations that have been or will be $\underline{\text{Partially}}$ implemented by the agency? 0%				
% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments Not applicable. Please see answer directly above.				
Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered? Yes ✓ No ◯ Not Applicable ◯				

Agency Feedback Comments

Although panelists may not receive direct feedback, each committee member may use the NSF Fastlane, a public web-based program, which provides information on awards made by the agency to determine the outcome of proposals reviewed by the panel.

What other ac	tions has the agend	y taken as a resu	It of the committee	's advice or
recommendati	ion?			

recommendation?				
	Checked if Applies			
Reorganized Priorities				
Reallocated resources	*			
Issued new regulation				
Proposed legislation				
Approved grants or other payments	*			
Other				
Action Comments NA				
Is the Committee engaged in the review of a	pplications for grants?			
What is the estimated Number of grants review	ved for approval			
What is the estimated $\underline{\textbf{Number}}$ of grants recom	nmended for 1,110			
approval	213			
What is the estimated Dollar Value of grants re	, ,			
	\$132,243,917			
Grant Review Comments The perceited provide information on the movit of				
The panelists provide information on the merit or rating.	or the proposals, which include an overall			
How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?				
	Checked if Applies			
Contact DFO	✓			
Online Agency Web Site	✓			
Online Committee Web Site				
Online GSA FACA Web Site	✓			
Publications				
Other				

Access Comments

N/A