2025 Current Fiscal Year Report: El Dorado County Resource Advisory

Committee

Report Run Date: 06/29/2025 04:58:10 AM

1. Department or Agency Department of Agriculture			2. Fiscal Year 2025			
3. Committee o	r Subcommi	ttee		3b. GSA Committee No.		
El Dorado County Resource Advisory Committee				73540		
4. Is this New During 5. Current 6. Expected			pected	ł	7. Expected	
Fiscal Year?	Charte	er Rene	ewal Da	ate	Term Date	
No	12/19/2	2023 12/19	9/2025			
8b. Specific 8a. Was Terminated During FiscalYear? Authority		on		8c. Actual Term Date		
No						
9. Agency Recommendation for Next FiscalYear		10a. Legislation Req to Terminate?			10b. Legislation Pending?	
Continue		Not Applicable			Not Applicable	
11. Establishment Authority Statutory (Congress Created)						
12. Specific Est Authority	ablishment	13. Effective Date	14. Com Type		14c. ee Presidential?	
SRS and Community Self-Determination Act as reauthorized in PL 110-343, PL 112-141 and as amended Agricultural Improvement Act of 2018 PL. 115-334.						
15. Description of Committee Other Committee						
16a. Total Number of Reports	No Reports this FiscalYe					
17a. 0 17b. Closed 0 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total 0 Open						
Meetings and D	ates					

	Current FY	Next FY
18a(1). Personnel Pmts	\$0.00	\$0.00
to Non-Federal Members		
18a(2). Personnel Pmts	\$0.00	\$0.00
to Federal Members	Q OIDO	<i>Q</i> 0100
18a(3). Personnel Pmts	\$34,367.00\$	35 033 00
to Federal Staff	φο 1,001.00 q	,000,000.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts		
to Non-Member	\$0.00	\$0.00
Consultants		
18b(1). Travel and Per		
Diem to Non-Federal	\$0.00	\$0.00
Members		
18b(2). Travel and Per		
Diem to Federal	\$0.00	\$0.00
Members		
18b(3). Travel and Per	\$0.00	\$0.00
Diem to Federal Staff	Q OIDO	<i>Q</i> 0100
18b(4). Travel and Per		
Diem to Non-member	\$0.00	\$0.00
Consultants		
18c. Administrative		
Costs (FRNs, contractor		
support,	\$0.00	\$0.00
In-person/hybrid/virtual		
meetings)		
18d. Other (all other	• • • • •	• • • •
funds not captured by	\$0.00	\$0.00
any other cost category)		
18e. Total Costs	\$34,367.00\$35,033.00	
19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)	0.25	0.25

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

The purpose of a Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act resource advisory committee is to improve collaborative relations and to provide advice and recommendations to the Forest Service consistent with Division C, Title VI of Public Law 110-343. The Act describes the duties of the committees as follows: to review projects proposed by counties and other interested parties; to recommend projects and funding; to provide early and continuous coordination with Forest Service officials; to provide frequent opportunities for citizens, organizations, tribes, land management agencies and other interested parties to participate openly and meaningfully, beginning in the early stages of project development; to monitor projects approved under the Act; to advise the designated federal official on the progress of monitoring; and to make recommendations for any appropriate changes or adjustments to projects being monitored.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

The committee is comprised of 15 members, five in each of three categories prescribed in the Act. Within each category, the Act identifies specific interests that members are to represent. Committees are formed in geographic areas to ensure each national forest located within a participating county or counties has access to a resource advisory committee. The Act requires members to be residents of the state or states within which the committee has jurisdiction.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

In 2023, the committee moved to hybrid meetings. Only 2 administrative meetings have occurred since 2023 because there is no membership quorum. Administrative meetings focus only on the nomination process and updates to ongoing or completed projects. Once current membership vacancies are filled, the committee has plans to meet at least quarterly or more frequently during the Spring when projects are reviewed and recommended. At meetings, the project manager updates are especially relevant to tracking project completion throughout the FY.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this

committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

This Resource Advisory Committee is mandated by the Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act as reauthorized in Public Law 110-343 and Public Law 112-141.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or

partially closed committee meetings?

All meetings are open to the public.

21. Remarks

During FY24, the committee held two hybrid administrative meetings in 7/2024 and 9/2024. Nomination package was improved on 7/23/2024.

Designated Federal Officer

Dave Fournier Forest Supervisor

Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
Bazett, Sidney	09/08/2022	12/10/2024	LTBMU Fallen Leaf Lake Tract	Representative Member
Carter, Ryan	07/30/2024	07/30/2028	State of California	Representative Member
Maviglio, Steven	07/30/2024	07/30/2028	American Political Consultant	Representative Member
Perry, Kara	10/29/2021	10/29/2025	Tribes	Representative Member
Thomas, Wendy	07/30/2024	12/10/2024	El Dorado County Board of Supervisors	Representative Member

Number of Committee Members Listed: 6

Narrative Description

By recommending projects that maintain and improve the health of national forest ecosystems and improve cooperative relationships among the people who use and care for the national forests, the Secure Rural Schools resource advisory committees support the Forest Service mission to sustain the health, diversity, and productivity of the Nation's forests and grasslands to meet the needs of present and future generations. The committees specifically support Forest Service strategic goals to 1. Restore, sustain, and enhance the national forests; and 2. Provide and sustain benefits to the American people.

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

	Checked if Applies	
Improvements to health or safety		
Trust in government		✓
Major policy changes		
Advance in scientific research		
Effective grant making		~
Improved service delivery		
Increased customer satisfaction		
Implementation of laws or regulatory		/
requirements		
Other		

Outcome Comments

Not Applicable

What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

Checked if Applies

None

Unable to Determine	✓
Under \$100,000	
\$100,000 - \$500,000	
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	
\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000	
\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000	
Over \$10,000,000	
Cost Savings Other	

Cost Savings Comments

Not Applicable

What is the approximate <u>Number</u> of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee? 84

Number of Recommendations Comments

A total of 84 project recommendations have occurred over the life of the El Dorado County Resource Advisory Committee.

What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations that have been or will be <u>Fully</u> implemented by the agency? 87%

% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

73 projects are fully implemented and funded.

What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations that have been or will be <u>Partially</u> implemented by the agency?

13%

% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

11 projects are partially implemented.

Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered?

Yes 🗹 No 🗌 Not Applicable 🗌

Agency Feedback Comments

The Forest Service (FS) reports on actions taken to implement approved projects. This may take the form of verbal reports and presentations at committee meetings or committee field trips and monitoring activities. Accomplishment reports are also prepared and posted on the RAC website.

What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?

	Checked if Applies
Reorganized Priorities	
Reallocated resources	
Issued new regulation	
Proposed legislation	
Approved grants or other payments	
Other	\checkmark

Action Comments

Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants? Yes What is the estimated <u>Number</u> of grants reviewed for approval 0 What is the estimated <u>Number</u> of grants recommended for approval 0 What is the estimated <u>Dollar Value</u> of grants recommended for approval \$0

Grant Review Comments

How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

	Checked if Applies
Contact DFO	\checkmark
Online Agency Web Site	\checkmark
Online Committee Web Site	\checkmark
Online GSA FACA Web Site	\checkmark
Publications	\checkmark
Other	

Access Comments

Not applicable.