2025 Current Fiscal Year Report: Superior Resource Advisory Committee

Report Run Date: 07/15/2025 07:20:57 PM

1. Department or Agency Department of Agriculture			2. Fiscal Year 2025			
3. Committee or Subcommitte		ttee		-	3b. GSA Committee No.	
Superior Resource Committee	e Advisory			71	71996	
4. Is this New Du	ring 5. Cur	rent	6. Exp	oecte	d	7. Expected
Fiscal Year?	Charte	er	Renew	wal D	Date	Term Date
No	12/19/2	2023	12/19/	2025	5	
8a. Was						
Terminated	8b. Speci	fic Te	ermina	tion		8c. Actual
During	Authority					Term Date
FiscalYear?						
No SRS and Community Self-Determination Act as reauthorized in PL 110-343, PL 112-141 and as amended Agricultural Improvement Act of 2018 PL, 115-334.						
9. Agency10b.Recommendation 10a. Legislation Req to for NextLegislation Pending?FiscalYearPending?			Legislation			
						Not
Continue	Continue Not Applicable			Applicable		
11. Establishmen	t Authority	S ta	tutory ((Con	gress	Created)
12. Specific Esta Authority	blishment	13. Effe Date	ective e	14. Con Typ		e Presidential?
SRS and Community Self-Determination Act as reauthorized in PL 110-343, PL 112-141 and as amended Agricultural Improvement Act of 2018 PL. 115-334.)3/2008	3Con	itinuin	g No

15. Description of Committee Other Committee

16a. Total No Reports for Number of this FiscalYear Reports

17a. 3 17b. Closed 0 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total 3 Open

Meetings and Dates

Purpose	Start	End
The purpose of the meeting was to present		
Resource Advisory Committee members		
with information on the USDA Forest Service	•	
Recreation Fee Programs and request the	10/01/2024	- 10/01/2024
committee consider hearing and making		
recommendations on the Superior National		
Forest Recreation Fee Proposals.		
The purpose of the meeting was to (1)		
present the Resource Advisory Committee		
members with information on the Superior		
National Forest Recreation Fee Proposals	10/11/2024	- 10/11/2024
and (2) hold a Resource Advisory Committee	10/11/2024 ;	- 10/11/2024
vote to recommend or not recommend		
adoption of the Recreation Fee Proposal to		
the Superior National Forest for adoption.		
The purpose of this meeting is to hear from		
Title II project proponents and discuss Title II	l	
project proposals; make funding	07/07/2025	- 07/07/2025
recommendations on Title II projects; and		
conduct other business as needed.		

Number of Committee Meetings Listed: 3

	Currer FY	nt Next FY
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to	\$0.0	0\$0.00
Non-Federal Members		
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to	\$0.0	0\$0.00
Federal Members	φ0.0	φ0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to	\$0.00 \$0.00	00 02 00
Federal Staff	φ0.00φ0.0	
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to	ድር ር	
Non-Member Consultants	Φ 0.0	0\$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to	00 00 00 00	
Non-Federal Members	\$0.00\$0.00	
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to	ድር ር	
Federal Members	\$0.00\$0.00	
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to	ድር ር	
Federal Staff	Ф 0.0	0\$0.00

18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$0.00\$0.00
18c. Administrative Costs (FRNs, contractor support, In-person/hybrid/virtual meetings)	\$0.00 \$0.00
18d. Other (all other funds not captured by any other cost category) 18e. Total Costs	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)	0.00 0.00

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

The purpose of a Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act resource advisory committee is to improve collaborative relations and to provide advice and recommendations to the Forest Service consistent with Division C, Title VI of Public Law 110-343. The Act describes the duties of the committees as follows: to review projects proposed by counties and other interested parties; to recommend projects and funding; to provide early and continuous coordination with Forest Service officials; to provide frequent opportunities for citizens, organizations, tribes, land management agencies and other interested parties to participate openly and meaningfully, beginning in the early stages of project development; to monitor projects approved under the Act; to advise the designated federal official on the progress of monitoring; and to make recommendations for any appropriate changes or adjustments to projects being monitored.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

At this date, the committee is comprised of 14 members. The committee has one vacancy in one of the categories; however, the necessary quorum within the category is still met. In total, there are five members in each of two categories and four in one of the categories prescribed in the Act. Within each category, the Act identifies specific interests members are to represent. Committees are formed in geographic areas to ensure each National Forest located within a participating county or counties has access to a resource advisory committee. The Act requires members to be residents of the state or states within which the committee has jurisdiction.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

The committee generally will meet once per year as needed to perform its duties. The committee did not meet in FY17, FY18, FY19, FY20 and FY21 as the committee had made all the necessary recommendations for the remaining SRS funds in FY16. In FY22, the Committee met two (2) times to discuss process and recommend projects for funding to the DFO. The committee did not meet in FY24 due to carrying forward funds for project solicitation in FY25.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

This Resource Advisory Committee is mandated by the Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act as reauthorized in Public Law 110-343 and Public Law 112-141.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

No meetings were held during this reporting period.

21. Remarks

In FY24, the Committee did not meet and did not make any new recommendations. FY24 funds are being carried forward to FY25 during which time recommendations will be made.

Designated Federal Officer

Ellen Bogardus-Szymaniak District Ranger, Superior National Forest

Committee	Ctort	Fad	Occupation	Member
Members	Start	End	Occupation	Designation
Doo-Kirk, Heidi	10/21/2021	10/21/2025	Property owner	Representative Member
Eklund, Rob	10/21/2021	10/21/2025	State Rep	Representative Member
Frahm, Gunnar	10/21/2021	10/21/2025	Student, UM-Duluth	Representative Member
Gilbertson, Ken	10/21/2021	10/21/2025	University of MN Duluth	Representative Member
Goutermont, Rick	10/21/2021	10/21/2025	County Commissioner	Representative Member
Igoa, Ada	10/21/2021	10/21/2025	Owner, Tuscarora Lodge	Representative Member
McDonald, Paul	10/21/2021	10/21/2025	County Commissioner	Representative Member
Ongaro, Frank	10/21/2021	10/21/2025	Mining Minnesota	Representative Member
Parma, Jim	10/21/2021	10/21/2025	Bell Timber Inc	Representative Member
Rom, Becky	10/21/2021	10/21/2025	Save the Boundary Waters Campaign	Representative Member
Sorenson, Greg	10/21/2021	10/21/2025	MN United Snowmobiler Association	Representative Member
Swingen, Morgan	10/21/2021	10/21/2025	1854 Treaty Authority	Representative Member
Thompson, Molly	10/21/2021	10/21/2025	Sugarloaf	Representative Member
Trexel, Dawn	10/21/2021	10/21/2025	Summer Work Outreach Project	Representative Member

Number of Committee Members Listed: 14

Narrative Description

By recommending projects that maintain and improve the health of national forest ecosystems and improve cooperative relationships

among the people who use and care for the National Forests, the Secure Rural Schools resource advisory committees support the Forest Service mission to sustain the health, diversity, and productivity of the Nation's forests and grasslands to meet the needs of present and future generations. The committees specifically support Forest Service strategic goals to (1) restore, sustain, and enhance the National Forests and (2) provide and sustain benefits to the American people.

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

	Checked if	
	Applies	
Improvements to health or safety		~
Trust in government		1
Major policy changes		
Advance in scientific research		
Effective grant making		~
Improved service delivery		
Increased customer satisfaction		~
Implementation of laws or regulatory		/
requirements		
Other		

Outcome Comments

Not applicable.

What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

Checked if Applies

None	
Unable to Determine	✓
Under \$100,000	
\$100,000 - \$500,000	
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	
\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000	
\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000	
Over \$10,000,000	
Cost Savings Other	

Cost Savings Comments

Not applicable.

What is the approximate <u>Number</u> of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?

Number of Recommendations Comments

In FY24, there were 0 project recommendations made.

What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations that have been or will be <u>Fully</u> implemented by the agency? 95%

% of Recommendations <u>Fully</u> Implemented Comments 57 recommendations are fully implemented.

What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations that have been or will be <u>Partially</u> implemented by the agency? 5%

% of Recommendations <u>Partially</u> Implemented Comments 3 recommendations are partially implemented.

Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered?

Yes 🗹 No 🗌 Not Applicable 🗌

Agency Feedback Comments

The agency provides feedback to the committee, and vice versa, via email, in-person communication, and during meetings. The public can obtain information on agency feedback by reaching out to the DFO, the RAC coordinator, and by accessing meeting notes.

What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?

Checked if Applies

Reorganized Priorities

Reallocated resources	\checkmark
Issued new regulation	
Proposed legislation	
Approved grants or other payments	\checkmark
Other	

Action Comments

Not applicable.

Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants? Yes

What is the estimated Number of grants reviewed for approval	0
What is the estimated Number of grants recommended for	
approval	0
What is the estimated Dollar Value of grants recommended for a	approval
	\$0

Grant Review Comments

No grant applications were solicitated for committee review and recommendation in FY23.

How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

	Checked if Applies
Contact DFO	1
Online Agency Web Site	\checkmark
Online Committee Web Site	\checkmark
Online GSA FACA Web Site	\checkmark
Publications	\checkmark
Other	

Access Comments

Not applicable.