2017 Current Fiscal Year Report: Chemical Safety Advisory Committee

2531

Report Run Date: 04/20/2024 07:14:43 AM

1. Department or Agency 2. Fiscal Year

Environmental Protection Agency 2017

3b. GSA Committee

3. Committee or Subcommittee No.

Chemical Safety Advisory

Committee

4. Is this New During 5. Current 6. Expected 7. Expected Fiscal Year? Charter Renewal Date Term Date

No 06/29/2015 06/29/2017

8a. Was Terminated During 8b. Specific Termination Authority 8c. Actual Term Date

Yes 01/18/2017

9. Agency 10b.

Recommendation for Next Req to Terminate?

| Continue of the c

Terminate No Not Applicable

11. Establishment Authority Agency Authority

12. Specific 13. 14.

Establishment Effective Commitee Presidential?

Authority Date Type

Agency Decision Memo 04/24/2015 Continuing No

15. Description of Committee Scientific Technical Program

Advisory Board

16a. Total

No Reports for this FiscalYear

Reports

17a.

Open 0 17b. Closed 0 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total 0

Meetings and Dates

No Meetings

Current Next

FY FY

18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00\$0.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$0.00\$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$0.00\$0.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$0.00\$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00\$0.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$0.00\$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$0.00\$0.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$0.00\$0.00
18c. Other(rents,user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	\$0.00\$0.00
18d. Total	\$0.00\$0.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)	0.00 0.00

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

The Chemical Safety Advisory Committee (CSAC) provided expert scientific advice, information, and recommendations to the EPA Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics (OPPT) on the scientific basis for risk assessments, methodologies, and pollution prevention measures or approaches. The Committee held meetings, analyzed issues, conducted reviews, produced reports, and made necessary recommendations to meet its responsibilities. The primary objectives were to provide expert advice and recommendations to EPA on: review of risk assessments, models, tools, guidance documents, chemical category documents, and other chemical assessment and pollution prevention products as deemed

appropriate, that were prepared by OPPT, and addressing other issues that OPPT identified as critical to its programs.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

The CSAC was composed of approximately 10 members who served as Regular Government Employees (RGEs) or Special Government Employees (SGEs). Members demonstrated high levels of competence, knowledge, and expertise in scientific/technical fields relevant to chemical risk assessment and pollution prevention, and to the extent feasible, included representation of the following disciplines, including, but not limited to: toxicology, pathology, environmental toxicology and chemistry, exposure assessment, and related sciences, e.g., synthetic biology, pharmacology, biotechnology, nanotechnology, biochemistry, biostatistics, PBPK modeling, computational toxicology, epidemiology, environmental fate, and environmental engineering and sustainability.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

The CSAC met two times in Fiscal Year 2016 for an orientation session and for a meeting to consider and review the Draft Risk Assessment for TSCA Work Plan Chemical, 1-Bromopropane. The Agency presented topics to the Committee and the Committee provided recommendations in response to the charge questions posed by the Agency. The Committee reviews were relevant to the Agency's risk assessment of TSCA Work Plan Chemicals.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?
When CSAC was established, there were no other

existing EPA advisory committees that had a mission to look at the risk assessments, models, tools, guidance documents, chemical category documents, and other chemical assessment and pollution prevention products prepared by OPPT. The CSAC brought together a cross-section of knowledgeable individuals with the needed range of experience and perspectives to facilitate a more effective discussion of the technical issues and options to support adequate evaluation of, and input into, agency positions and actions.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings? No meetings were closed or partially closed.

21. Remarks

Pursuant to the Frank R. Lautenberg Chemical Safety for the 21st Century Act., the Chemical Safety Advisory Committee has been superseded by the Science Advisory Committee on Chemicals (Committee No. 2582).

Designated Federal Officer

Laura E. Bailey Designated Federal Officer

Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
Davies, Holly	02/16/2016	01/18/2017	Tier 1, Senior Toxicologist, Washington State Department of Ecology, Olympia, WA	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Doucette, William	02/16/2016	01/18/2017	Tier 1, Professor, Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Associate Director, Utah Water Research Laboratory, Utah State University, Logan, UT	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member

Georgopoulos, Panos	02/16/2016	01/18/2017	Tier 1, Professor, Department of Environmental and Occupational Health, Rutgers University, Piscataway, NJ Tier 1, Professor,	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Gilbert, Kathleen	02/16/2016	01/18/2017	Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences, Little Rock, AR	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Kissel, John	02/16/2016	01/18/2017	Tier 1, Professor, Professor, Environmental and Occupational Health Sciences, University of Washington, Seattle, WA	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Lanphear, Bruce	02/16/2016	01/18/2017	Tier 1, Professor, Faculty of Health Sciences, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, BC, Canada	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Meliker, Jaymie	02/16/2016	01/18/2017	Tier 1, Associate Professor of Preventive Medicine, Stony Brook University, Long Island, NY	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Portier, Kenneth	02/16/2016	01/18/2017	Tier 1, Director of Statistics, Statistics Evaluation Center, Research Department, The American Cancer Society, National Home Office,	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Schlenk, Daniel	02/16/2016	01/18/2017	Atlanta, GA Tier 1, Professor of Aquatic Ecotoxicology and Environmental Toxicology, University of California Riverside, Riverside, CA	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member

Tier 1, Deputy **Division Director** for Analysis, and Director of the National Toxicology Regular Program's Office Government Thayer, 02/16/2016 01/18/2017 of Health **Employee** Kristina Assessment and (RGE) Member Translation, National Institute for Environmental Health Sciences, Research Triangle Park, NC

Number of Committee Members Listed: 10

Narrative Description

Pursuant to the Frank R. Lautenberg Chemical Safety for the 21st Century Act, the Chemical Safety Advisory Committee has been superseded by the Science Advisory Committee on Chemicals (Committee No. 2582). The CSAC was established under agency authority and supported Goal 4 of EPA's FY 2014-2018 Strategic Plan: Ensuring the Safety of Chemicals and Preventing Pollution. The purpose of the CSAC was to provide expert scientific advice and recommendations to the EPA Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics (OPPT) for chemical assessments, methodologies and other complex pollution prevention measures or chemical safety approaches. EPA appointed members and held the first CSAC meetings in FY 2016.

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

	Checked if	
	Applies	
Improvements to health or safety		✓
Trust in government		✓
Major policy changes		
Advance in scientific research		✓
Effective grant making		
Improved service delivery		✓
Increased customer satisfaction		✓

Implementation of laws or regulatory	
requirements	✓
Other	
Outcome Comments	
What are the cost savings associated with th	is committee?
	Checked if Applies
None	
Unable to Determine	✓
Under \$100,000	
\$100,000 - \$500,000	
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	
\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000	
\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000	
Over \$10,000,000	

Cost Savings Comments

Cost Savings Other

The Chemical Safety Advisory Committee provided many benefits (e.g., cost savings), with respect to saving time and resources that are difficult to quantify in terms of dollars. The availability of the Committee as an entity providing scientific peer review for OPPT allowed the Agency to seek credible input on its risk assessments. The CSAC public process allowed the Agency to obtain broader input concurrent with the Committee's review and resulted in savings of time and resources.

What is the approximate <u>Number</u> of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?

14

Number of Recommendations Comments

The number of recommendations represents the approximate number of scientific issues addressed in the reports issued by the CSAC in Fiscal Year 2016. Scientific recommendations were provided by the Committee regarding the scientific analyses of the Agency's 1-Bromopropane risk assessment.

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or

will be <u>Fully</u> implemented by the agency 0%	?
nature of the CSAC's advice and the Agend facilitate estimating a specific percentage of by the Agency. While a percentage on impl	ed the Agency with recommendations on Work Plan Chemical, 1-Bromopropane. The
What is the approximate Percentage of twill be Partially implemented by the age 0%	these recommendations that have been or ncy?
recommendations that have been partially i	nented Comments Luestion, a specific percentage of Committee Emplemented by the Agency is not available. Lee recommendations in its assessments and
Does the agency provide the committee implement recommendations or advice of the committee implement recommendation in the committee implementation is adviced by the committee implementation in the committee implementation is adviced by the committee implementation in the committee implementation is adviced by the committee implementation in the committee implementation is adviced by the committee implementation in the committee implementation is adviced by the committee implementation in the committee implementation is adviced by the committee implementation in the committee implementation is adviced by the committee implementation in the committee implementation is adviced by the committee implementation in the committee implementation is adviced by the committee implementation in the committee implementation in the committee implementation is adviced by the committee implementation in the committee implementation is adviced by the committee implementation in the committee implementation is adviced by the committee implementation in the committee implementation is adviced by the committee implementation in the committee impl	with feedback regarding actions taken to offered?
recommendations and use of the recomme	C meetings, and in the implementation of the ndations to inform science decisions. n as a result of the committee's advice or
recommendation?	
	Checked if Applies
Reorganized Priorities	⊻
Reallocated resources	✓
Issued new regulation	✓
Proposed legislation	

Approved grants or other payments

Other

N/A Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants? No

Grant Review Comments

Action Comments

N/A

How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

	Checked if Applies
Contact DFO	✓
Online Agency Web Site	✓
Online Committee Web Site	✓
Online GSA FACA Web Site	✓
Publications	✓
Other	✓

Access Comments

EPA Office of Pollution Prevention Public Docket and the Federal eRulemaking Portal: http://www.regulations.gov