2015 Current Fiscal Year Report: Lawrence Resource Advisory Committee

Report Run Date: 04/26/2024 05:33:30 PM

1. Department or Agency 2. Fiscal Year

Department of Agriculture 2015

3b. GSA Committee
3. Committee or Subcommittee

No.

10b.

14c.

Lawrence Resource Advisory

73555

Committee

4. Is this New During 5. Current 6. Expected 7. Expected Fiscal Year? Charter Renewal Date Term Date

No 06/10/2014

8a. Was Terminated During 8b. Specific 8c. Actual Termination FiscalYear? Authority

PL 110-343 and PL

Yes 07/13/2015

9. Agency

Recommendation for Next Req to Terminate? Legislation Pending?

Terminate No Not Applicable

11. Establishment Authority Statutory (Congress Created)

12. Specific Establishment 13. 14.

Authority Effective Committee Presidential?

Date Type

The SRS and Community

Self-Determination Act as

10/03/2008 Continuing No

reauthorized in PL 110-343

and PL 112-141.

15. Description of Committee Other Committee

16a. Total

No Reports for this FiscalYear

Reports

17a.

Open 0 17b. Closed 0 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total 0

Meetings and Dates

No Meetings

	Current Next	
	FY	FY
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to	ድለ ሰላ	\$0.00
Non-Federal Members	φυ.υι	, φυ.υυ
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to	ድስ በወ	\$0.00
Federal Members	φυ.υι	, φυ.υυ
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to	\$0.00	\$0.00
Federal Staff	φυ.υι	, φυ.υυ
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to	\$0.00	\$0.00
Non-Member Consultants	ψ0.00	, ψυ.υυ
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to	\$0.00	\$0.00
Non-Federal Members	ψ0.00	, ψυ.υυ
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to	\$0.00	\$0.00
Federal Members	Ψ0.00	, ψυ.υυ
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to	\$0.00	\$0.00
Federal Staff	Ψ0.00	, ψυ.υυ
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to	\$0.00	\$0.00
Non-member Consultants	Ψ0.00	, ψυ.υυ
18c. Other(rents,user charges,	\$0.00	\$0.00
graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	Ψ0.00	, ψυ.υυ
18d. Total	\$0.00	\$0.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years	0.00	0.00
(FTE)	0.00	, 0.00

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

The purpose of a Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act resource advisory committee is to provide advice and recommendations to the Forest Service consistent with Division C, Title VI of Public Law 110-343. The Act describes the duties of the committees as follows: to review projects proposed by counties and other interested parties; to recommend projects and funding; to provide early and continuous coordination with Forest Service officials; to provide frequent opportunities for

citizens, organizations, tribes, land management agencies and other interested parties to participate openly and meaningfully, beginning in the early stages of project development; to monitor projects approved under the Act; to advise the designated federal official on the progress of monitoring; and to make recommendations for any appropriate changes or adjustments to projects being monitored.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

The committee is comprised of 15 members, five in each of three categories prescribed in the Act. Within each category, the Act identifies specific interests members are to represent. Committees are formed in geographic areas to ensure each national forest located within a participating county or counties has access to a resource advisory committee. The Act requires members to be residents of the state or states within which the committee has jurisdiction.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

The committee generally will meet 1-4 times per year as needed to perform its duties.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

The RAC is necessary to improve collaborative relationships among the people that use and care for the National Forests and to provide advice and recommendations to the Forest Service concerning projects and funding consistent with Title II of the Act.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

21. Remarks

No activity in FY2015, as the committee was terminated this fiscal year to merge with a new RAC established to cover the Black Hills National Forest under the Black Hills RAC.

Designated Federal Officer

Rhonda L. OByrne District Ranger, Northern Hills Ranger District

Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
Bennett, Gerry	04/23/2010	07/13/2015	Spearfish Canyon Fire Chief; Resident	Representative Member
Coburn, William	04/23/2010	07/13/2015	Procurement Forester	Representative Member
Driscoll, J. Whitney	04/23/2010	07/13/2015	Rocky Mountain Elk Foundation	Representative Member
Jensen, Clifford	04/23/2010	07/13/2015	Ranch Manager/Owner, Permit Holder	Representative Member
Johnson, Daryl	04/23/2010	07/13/2015	County Commissioner	Representative Member
Marcus, Urla	04/23/2010	07/13/2015	Associate Director - Center for American Indian Studies, Black Hills State University; Advisor - American Indian Organizations: AISES and Lakota Omniciye	Representative Member
Miller, Dianne	04/23/2010	07/13/2015	Rancher, Permit Holder	Representative Member
Outka, Bruce	04/23/2010	07/13/2015	Chairman – Spearfish Historic Preservation Committee	Representative Member
Quaschnick, Donnie	04/23/2010	07/13/2015	Logger - Quaschnick Logging; Recreationalist	Representative Member

Number of Committee Members Listed: 9

By recommending projects that maintain and improve the health of national forest ecosystems and improve cooperative relationships among the people who use and care for the national forests, the Secure Rural Schools resource advisory committees support the Forest Service mission to sustain the health, diversity, and productivity of the Nation's forests and grasslands to meet the needs of present and future generations. The committees specifically support Forest Service stategic goals to 1. Restore, sustain, and enhance the national forests; and 2. Provide and sustain benefits to the American people.

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

	Checked if
	Applies
Improvements to health or safety	
Trust in government	✓
Major policy changes	
Advance in scientific research	
Effective grant making	✓
Improved service delivery	
Increased customer satisfaction	
Implementation of laws or regulatory	√
requirements	t.M.i
Other	
Outcome Comments	
Not Applicable	
Not Applicable	
What are the cost savings associated with the	nis committee?
	Checked if Applies
None	
Unable to Determine	✓
Under \$100,000	
\$100,000 - \$500,000	
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	

\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000 \$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000

Over \$10,000,000	
Cost Savings Other	
Cost Savings Comments	
Not Applicable	
What is the approximate Number of recomn	nendations produced by this committee
for the life of the committee? 25	
Number of Recommendations Comments N/A	
What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of thes will be <u>Fully</u> implemented by the agency?	e recommendations that have been or
% of Recommendations Fully Implemented ALL recommendations that have been complet	
What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of thes will be <u>Partially</u> implemented by the agency 0%	
% of Recommendations Partially Implement All recommendations have been completed.	ed Comments
Does the agency provide the committee with	h feedback regarding actions taken to
implement recommendations or advice offe	red?
Yes ✓ No Not Applicable	
Agency Feedback Comments	
The Forest Service (FS) Designated Federal O	fficial regularly reports to the committee on
whether recommended projects proposals are	or are not approved by the FS. The DFO
also reports on actions taken to implement app	roved projects. This may take the form of

verbal reports and presentations at committee meetings, committee field trips and

monitoring activities.

What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?

	Checked if Applies
Reorganized Priorities	✓
Reallocated resources	
Issued new regulation	
Proposed legislation	
Approved grants or other payments	✓
Other	
Action Comments	
Not Applicable	

Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?

Yes

What is the estimated <u>Number</u> of grants reviewed for approval 1
What is the estimated <u>Number</u> of grants recommended for approval 1

What is the estimated **Dollar Value** of grants recommended for approval \$62,500

Grant Review Comments

The Forest Service reviews RAC recommended projects and, if approved, incorporates the projects into its program of work. RAC project proposals are often, but not always, in the form of grant requests.

How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

	Checked if Applies
Contact DFO	✓
Online Agency Web Site	√
Online Committee Web Site	√
Online GSA FACA Web Site	√
Publications	✓
Other	

Access Comments

Not applicable.