2015 Current Fiscal Year Report: Coos Bay District Resource Advisory Committee

Report Run Date: 04/18/2024 06:07:38 PM

2. Fiscal Year 1. Department or Agency

Department of the Interior 2015

3b. GSA

3. Committee or Subcommittee

Committee No.

Coos Bay District Resource Advisory

12158 Committee

4. Is this New During 5. Current 6. Expected 7. Expected Fiscal Year? Charter Renewal Date **Term Date**

No 08/07/2012

8a. Was Terminated During Termination 8c. Actual FiscalYear? **Term Date** Authority

Yes Public Law 112-141 08/07/2014

9. Agency 10b.

10a. Legislation **Recommendation for Next** Legislation Reg to Terminate? **FiscalYear** Pending?

Terminate Yes Enacted

11. Establishment Authority Statutory (Congress Created)

14. 13. 12. Specific Establishment

Effective Committee Presidential? Authority

Date Type

The Secure Rural Schools

and Community

10/06/2000 Continuing No

Self-Determination Act of

2000 (Public Law 106-393)

15. Description of Committee Non Scientific Program Advisory

Board

16a. Total Number of

No Reports for this FiscalYear

Reports

17a.

0 17b. Closed 0 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total 0

Meetings and Dates

No Meetings

	Current Next	
	FY	FY
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to	ድስ ሰ	00 \$0.00
Non-Federal Members	φυ.(λύ φυ.υυ
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to	ያ ሰዎ	00 \$0.00
Federal Members	φυ.(λο φυ.υυ
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to	\$0.0	00 \$0.00
Federal Staff	φυ.(λο φυ.υυ
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to	ያ ሰዎ	00 \$0.00
Non-Member Consultants	φυ.(λύ φυ.υυ
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to	ያ ሰዎ	00 02 00
Non-Federal Members	\$0.00\$0.00	
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to	ድስ ሰ	00 \$0.00
Federal Members	φυ.(λύ φυ.υυ
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to	ድስ ሰ	00 \$0.00
Federal Staff	φυ.(λύ φυ.υυ
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to	ያ ሰዎ	00 \$0.00
Non-member Consultants	φυ.(λύ φυ.υυ
18c. Other(rents,user charges,	ያ ሰዎ	00 \$0.00
graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	φυ.(λύ φυ.υυ
18d. Total	\$0.0	00\$0.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years	0.0	00.00
(FTE)	0.0	0.00

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

The BLM Coos Bay District requests project proposals from the community, businesses, civic groups, and local government entities that can be funded with Title II monies. The RAC evaluates and prioritizes the proposals based on funds available, highest community priorities, resource health needs, and local economic benefit.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

The Coos Bay Resource Advisory Committee is

composed of 15 members distributed in a balanced fashion among the following groups and within those groups: commercial, conservation, and civic interests. Representation comes from organized labor, energy and minerals interests, the commercial timber industry, regional environmental organizations, historical interests, local elected officials, local tribal representatives, dispersed recreation interests, and the affected public-at-large.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

When authorized, the RAC meets approximately one-to-four times annually each fiscal year to receive and review proposals and build a consensus priority list of projects. Meetings are focused entirely on meeting the mandate of the Secure Rural Schools Act.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

The Committee has specific statutory duties to accomplish, but also provides an avenue for building consensus in the community on natural resource issues. They consider projects in light of resource health, community infrastructure, and long-term economic stability. Selected projects typically have multiple benefits and strong backing with funds or in-kind support.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

All meetings are open to the public. Notices of the meetings are published in the Federal Register, posted on the BLM Oregon website, and distributed to local newspapers.

21. Remarks

The Department of the Interior replaced the five current, single-issue Secure Rural School RACs in western Oregon with three new, all-encompassing RACs with the authority to handle all of these advisory tasks and responsibilities to include Secure Rural Schools recommendations when legislation is in effect, satisfy regulatory requirements and review recreation fee proposals. The new RACS (Coastal, Northwest, and Southwest Oregon) were established on July 29, 2015.

Designated Federal Officer

Patricia Burke BLM Coos Bay District Manager

Narrative Description

The Department of the Interior replaced the five current, single-issue Secure Rural School RACs in western Oregon with three new, all-encompassing RACs with the authority to handle all of these advisory tasks and responsibilities to include Secure Rural Schools recommendations when legislation is in effect, satisfy regulatory requirements and review recreation fee proposals. The new RACS (Coastal, Northwest, and Southwest Oregon) were established on July 29, 2015.

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

	Checked if Applies	
Improvements to health or safety	(x	
Trust in government		
Major policy changes		
Advance in scientific research		
Effective grant making	.	
Improved service delivery		
Increased customer satisfaction		

Implementation of laws or regulatory	✓
requirements	i.M.i
Other	

Outcome Comments

Citizens submit projects and participate directly, or through their representatives, in prioritizing the funding choices. This collaborative stewardship has improved resource health, generated youth employment, and fostered strong working relationships between the agency and the community.

What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

	Checked if Applies
None	
Unable to Determine	✓
Under \$100,000	
\$100,000 - \$500,000	
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	
\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000	
\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000	
Over \$10,000,000	
Cost Savings Other	

Cost Savings Comments

An in-depth analysis has not been done to determine cost savings associated with the Coos Bay District RAC. However, the contributions of the RAC are of great benefit to the BLM.

What is the approximate <u>Number</u> of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?

13

Number of Recommendations Comments

A prioritized list of projects is recommended for funding under Title II of the reauthorized Secure Rural Schools Act. In FY 2014, the RAC made one recommendation which included their recommended projects.

What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations that have been or will be Fully implemented by the agency?

% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

Projects are selected based on their feasibility, community support, partnership funding, resource benefit, and other criteria. They are implemented as soon as possible.

What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations that have been or will be <u>Partially</u> implemented by the agency?

0%

% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

Funding priorities are agreed upon by consensus. They have been implemented as approved. All recommended projects are expected to be implemented.

Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered?

Yes No Not Applicable

Agency Feedback Comments

The BLM presents a status report of previously approved projects, often depicting the work that has already been accomplished. The District implements the projects through partnership or contract work. Information is then conveyed to the public on the BLM website.

What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?

	Checked if Applies
Reorganized Priorities	✓
Reallocated resources	✓
Issued new regulation	
Proposed legislation	
Approved grants or other payments	Y
Other	

Action Comments

Not Applicable

Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?

No

Grant Review Comments

N/A

How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

	Checked if Applies
Contact DFO	✓
Online Agency Web Site	✓
Online Committee Web Site	
Online GSA FACA Web Site	✓
Publications	
Other	✓

Access Comments

The BLM uses many communication tools to convey the RAC's mission and assure transparency in their efforts. All records of RAC meetings are archived in accordance with established BLM recordkeeping procedures.