2014 Current Fiscal Year Report: Advisory Committee on Childhood Lead Poisoning Prevention

Report Run Date: 04/26/2024 03:43:11 PM

1. Department or Agency 2. Fiscal Year

Department of Health and Human Services 2014

3b. GSA
3. Committee or Subcommittee

Committee No.

Advisory Committee on Childhood Lead

Poisoning Prevention 767

4. Is this New During 5. Current 6. Expected 7. Expected Fiscal Year? Charter Renewal Date Term Date

No 10/31/2011

8a. Was Terminated During 8b. Specific Termination Authority 8c. Actual Term Date

Yes 10/31/2013

9. Agency 10b.

Recommendation for Next Req to Terminate?

Legislation Pending?

Terminate No Not Applicable

11. Establishment Authority Authorized by Law

12. Specific 13. 14.

Establishment Effective Committee Presidential?

Authority Date Type

42 U.S.C. 217a 11/17/1962 Continuing No

15. Description of Committee Scientific Technical Program

Advisory Board

16a. Total

No Reports for this FiscalYear

Reports

17a

0 17b. Closed 0 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total 0 Open

Meetings and Dates

No Meetings

Current Next

FY FY

18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00\$0.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$0.00\$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$0.00\$0.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$0.00\$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00\$0.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$0.00\$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$0.00\$0.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$0.00\$0.00
18c. Other(rents,user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	\$0.00\$0.00
18d. Total	\$0.00\$0.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)	0.00 0.00

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

The committee accomplishes its purpose by providing advice and guidance regarding new scientific knowledge and technical developments and their practical applications to childhood lead poisoning prevention efforts. The committee also reviews and reports regularly on childhood lead poisoning prevention practices and recommends improvements in national childhood lead poisoning prevention efforts.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

The committee consists of 13 members who are knowledgeable about pediatric lead screening, diagnosis, medical management, laboratory issues, environmental lead hazard detection and reduction, preventive medicine, epidemiology, public health, and parents concerns. Members represent academia, state and local lead poisoning prevention programs, other federal agencies, private organizations, and the parents of lead poisoned children. Although the views of members reflect their individual fields of expertise and experience, no one point of view or area of expertise dominates the committee's recommendations.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

The committee met twice in FY 2013. The committee charter facilitates the ability of the committee to respond to the changing problem of childhood lead poisoning in the United States. Despite dramatic reductions in the prevalence of lead poisoning over the past two decades, and while significant progress has taken place in our efforts to eliminate childhood lead poisoning, the magnitude of the problem remains large. Issues related to childhood lead poisoning remain complex, requiring the development of new strategies for prevention and control. The committee's ability to meet these challenges is enhanced by representation of ex officio's from Federal agencies and liaisons from non-governmental organizations.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

CDC's goal to eliminate childhood lead poisoning can only be accomplished through collaborative activities with state and local childhood lead poisoning prevention programs, pediatricians, public health officials, and the public. These collaborative activities include providing advice

and making recommendations regarding childhood lead poisoning prevention. Issues surrounding childhood lead poisoning prevention and control have become complex, involving the need to develop innovative approaches to reach high-risk populations. Issues include: primary prevention, improved secondary prevention through medical and environmental case management, racial/ethnic disparities, lead hazards in non paint items, and the need to address other emerging issues. The capability to exchange current information about research, technological advances, and developments in the field of environmental management does not exist elsewhere in HHS.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings? N/A.

21. Remarks

The committee was terminated October 31, 2013. The committee did not have any committee meetings in FY14 generating no costs to the committee. This committee did not generate any reports in FY13.

Designated Federal Officer

Barbara A. Ellis Acting Deputy Associate Director for Science

Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
Alarcon, Walter	07/01/2006	10/31/2013	Senior Service Fellow, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention	Ex Officio Member
Dietrich, Kim	03/28/2011	10/31/2013	Professor of Environmental Health	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member

Gardner, Sher	03/10/2011	10/31/2013	Assistant Professor of Pediatrics, Emory University Foreign Affairs	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Glasser, Joshua	12/14/2012	10/31/2013	Officer, Bureau of Oceans and International Affairs, Department of State	Ex Officio Member
Kosnett, Michael	07/13/2011	10/31/2013	University of Colorado Health Sciences Center	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Malone, Jane	01/31/2003	10/31/2013	Housing Policy Director	Representative Member
McKee-Huger, Beth	02/17/2011	10/31/2013	Executive Director	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Michaelson, Lori	09/29/2011	10/31/2013	International Relations Officer	Ex Officio Member
Murray, Edward	09/30/2011	10/31/2013	Division Director	Ex Officio Member
Norton, Ruth Ann	09/01/2010	10/31/2013	Coliation to end Childhood Lead Poison	Representative Member
Parsons, Patrick	03/01/2011	10/31/2013	Chief Laboratory of Inorganic and Nuclear Chemistry	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Rooney, Andrew	12/03/2012	10/31/2013	National Institute of Environmental Health	Ex Officio Member
Ruff, Cynthia	09/30/2011	10/31/2013	Sciences CMS EPSDT Coordinator	Ex Officio Member
Sandel, Megan	02/14/2011	10/31/2013	Medical Director	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Silverman, Benson	04/10/2013	10/31/2013	US Food and Drug Administration	Ex Officio Member
Simmons, Donald	01/23/2010	10/31/2013	Association of Public Laboratory	Representative Member

Slechta, Deborah

02/23/2011 10/31/2013 Rochester

University of Rochester School of Medicine

Professor,

Special Government Employee (SGE) Member

Number of Committee Members Listed: 17

Narrative Description

The Advisory Committee on Childhood Lead Poisoning Prevention shall provide advice and guidance to the Secretary; the Assistant Secretary for Health; and the Director, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), regarding new scientific knowledge and technological developments and their practical implications for childhood lead poisoning prevention efforts. The Committee shall also review and report regularly on childhood lead poisoning prevention practices and recommend improvements in national childhood lead poisoning prevention efforts. This committee was terminated October 31, 2013 and did not meet in FY13 generating no costs to the committee.

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

	Checked if	
	Applies	
Improvements to health or safety		✓
Trust in government		✓
Major policy changes		✓
Advance in scientific research		✓
Effective grant making		
Improved service delivery		
Increased customer satisfaction		✓
Implementation of laws or regulatory		
requirements		
Other		

Outcome Comments

This committee was terminated October 31, 2013 and did not meet in FY13 generating no

costs to the committee.

What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

	Checked if Applies
None	
Unable to Determine	✓
Under \$100,000	
\$100,000 - \$500,000	
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	
\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000	
\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000	
Over \$10,000,000	
Cost Savings Other	

Cost Savings Comments

There is no methodology to accuractely determine cost saving directly associated with actions by the committee.

What is the approximate <u>Number</u> of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?

27

Number of Recommendations Comments

The Laboratory Workgroup was established in November 2011 to investigate and report on five issues: proficiency testing (PT) limits; guidelines for point of care lead testing; alternative matrices for assessing exposure to lead; environmental lead analytical issues; and, reference intervals for adult lead exposure. The Laboratory Workgroup compiled a draft report entitled Guidelines for Measuring Lead in Blood Using Point of Care Instruments. This draft report provides 19 guidelines for point of care lead testing. The draft report was approved by the ACCLPP with the understanding that the document would be revised based on the input provided during the teleconference meeting.

What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations that have been or will be <u>Fully</u> implemented by the agency?

87%

% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

Some recommendations supercede outdated recommendations. Recommendations are implemented by CDC, require agreement with other federal agencies, and grantees.

Grantees and other federal agencies often require additional time implement due to conflicting federal rules, funding constraints, or structure.

What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations that have been or will be <u>Partially</u> implemented by the agency?

13%

% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

Recommendations partially implemented are somtimes supplemental to original recommendation. Recommendations are implemented by CDC and grantees. Grantees require additional time implement due to local policy and structure.

Does the agency provide the committee with feed	dback regarding actions taken to
implement recommendations or advice offered?	

Yes No Not Applicable

Agency Feedback Comments

Formal presentations at committee meetings and monthly updates of committee chair via scheduled phone calls. CDC representative provides ACCLPP meeting with CDC updates and guidelines. CDC representative brings back CDC feedback in-progress tasks and current CDC policy.

What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?

	Checked if Applies
Reorganized Priorities	~
Reallocated resources	
Issued new regulation	
Proposed legislation	
Approved grants or other payments	
Other	

Action Comments

This committee was terminated October 31, 2013 and did not meet in FY13 generating no costs to the committee.

Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?

No

Grant Review Comments

ACCLPP is not a grant review committee.

How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

	Checked if Applies
Contact DFO	✓
Online Agency Web Site	
Online Committee Web Site	√
Online GSA FACA Web Site	√
Publications	✓
Other	

Access Comments

CDC NCEH HHLPPB has dedicated webspace to ACCLPP activities including past minutes, materials provided at ACCLPP meetings, and includes cleared documents derived from ACCLPP activity.