2014 Current Fiscal Year Report: Defense Legal Policy Board

Report Run Date: 04/18/2024 09:21:34 PM

1. Department or Agency 2. Fiscal Year

Department of Defense 2014

3. Committee or Subcommittee 3b. GSA Committee No.

Defense Legal Policy Board 80471

4. Is this New During 5. Current 6. Expected 7. Expected Fiscal Year? Charter Renewal Date Term Date

No 04/28/2014 04/28/2016

8a. Was Terminated During 8b. Specific 8c. Actual Termination Authority Term Date

Yes 09/30/2014

9. Agency 10b.

Recommendation for Next
FiscalYear

10a. Legislation
Req to Terminate?
Pending?

Enacted

11. Establishment Authority Agency Authority

12. Specific Establishment 13. 14. 14c. Effective Committee

Authority Date Type Presidential?

Director for Administration

and Management 04/06/2012 Continuing No

Memorandum, April 6, 2012

15. Description of Committee Other Committee

16a. Total

No Reports for this FiscalYear

Reports

17a.

Open 0 17b. Closed 0 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total 0

Meetings and Dates

No Meetings

Current Next

FY FY

18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members

\$0.00\$0.00

18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$0.00\$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$600.00\$0.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$0.00\$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00\$0.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$0.00\$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$0.00\$0.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$0.00\$0.00
18c. Other(rents,user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	\$0.00\$0.00
18d. Total	\$600.00\$0.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)	0.01 0.00

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

At the direction of the Secretary of Defense, the Deputy Secretary of Defense, or the Department of Defense General Counsel, the Board will examine and advise on legal and related legal policy matters within DoD, the achievement of DoD policy goals through legislation and regulations, and other assigned matters. In carrying out its duties, the Board shall consider, as appropriate:a. Issues and policies relating to legal and related matters;b. The interplay between laws and regulations, on the one hand, and the achievement of policy goals, on the other;c. Identifying and evaluating the process for compliance with such laws and regulations;d. Proposing necessary revisions to the Department's policy goals, and to laws, regulations or procedures intended to achieve

such goals; ande. Any other research and analysis of topics raised by the Secretary of Defense, the Deputy Secretary of Defense, or the General Counsel of the Department of Defense.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

The Board shall be composed of not more than 15 members appointed by the Secretary of Defense; all appointments are renewed on an annual basis by the Secretary of Defense. The Department of Defense, in selecting individual members for this advisory committee, looks for individuals who have distinguished backgrounds in law, investigations, military command, governmental organizations, or related fields. The Department of Defense has found that viewing complex issues through a multidiscipline advisory committee provides the Department and, more importantly, the American public, with a broader understanding on which to base subsequent policy decisions. Candidates for appointment come from the private and public sectors, and individual appointments are based on the subject matter being reviewed by the Board and a review of the individual's experiences and knowledge.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

Estimated Number of Meetings per Year - 2.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

The Board's independent advice is essential in aiding the Secretary of Defense and his principal advisors in addressing numerous legal policy matters facing the Department of Defense. The DoD OGC along with the Advisory Committee Management Officer determined no existing DoD

advisory committee, FACA or non-FACA, is available to assist the Secretary of Defense or his principal advisors in these matters.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

Meetings are not closed to the public unless the Department of Defense determines that items on the planned agenda meet the closed meeting provisions of 5 U.S.C. § 552b(c). Pursuant to DoD policy closed meetings can only be authorized by the Board's DoD Sponsor, and only after a written consultation with the Department of Defense Office of General Counsel.

21. Remarks

There were no meetings for FY14 as there was no new tasking.

Designated Federal Officer

James R Schwenk Designated Federal Officer

Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
Bellinger, John	10/04/2012	10/03/2014	Partner, Arnold & Porter	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Dell'Orto, Daniel	10/04/2012	10/03/2014	General Counsel, AM General	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
DiBattiste, Carol	10/03/2012	10/02/2014	Senior Vice President, Chief Legal and Compliance Officer at Education Management Corp	(SGE) Member

Fidell, Eugene	10/03/2012	10/02/2014	Florence Rogatz Visiting Lecturer in Law Yale Law School	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Gorelick, Jamie	10/25/2012	10/24/2014	Partner, WilmerHale	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Huffman, Walter	10/09/2012	10/08/2014	Dean Emeritus and Professor of Law, Texas Tech School of Law	(SGE)
McPherson, James	10/03/2012	10/02/2014	Executive Director, National Association of Attorneys General	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Miller, Judith	10/01/2012	09/30/2014		Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Parrino, Roger	10/11/2012	10/10/2014	Retired NYPD (criminal investigator)	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Rich, Michael	10/01/2012	09/30/2014	Assistant United States Attorney	Regular Government Employee (RGE) Member
Smith, Jeffrey	10/03/2012	10/02/2014	Partner, Arnold & Porter	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
At Lee, William [Review and Assessment of the Application of Military Justice in Deployed Areas]	10/01/2012	09/30/2014	Air Force	Regular Government Employee (RGE) Member
Chiarelli, Peter [Review and Assessment of the Application of Military Justice in Deployed Areas]	10/04/2012	10/03/2014	CEO, One Mind for Research	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member

Fidell, Eugene [Review and Assessment of the Application of Military Justice in Deployed Areas]	10/03/2012	10/02/2014	Florence Rogatz Visiting Lecturer in Law, Yale Law School	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Huffman, Walter [Review and Assessment of the Application of Military Justice in Deployed Areas]	10/09/2012	10/08/2014	Dean Emeritus and Professor of Law, Texas Tech School of Law	(SGE)
Kovats, Charles [Review and Assessment of the Application of Military Justice in Deployed Areas]	10/01/2012	09/30/2014	Assistant U.S. Attorney	Regular Government Employee (RGE) Member
Lederer, Calvin [Review and Assessment of the Application of Military Justice in Deployed Areas]	10/01/2012	09/30/2014	Deputy Staff Judge Advocate, U.S. Coast Guard	Regular Government Employee (RGE) Member
Miller, Judith [Review and Assessment of the Application of Military Justice in Deployed Areas]	10/01/2012	09/30/2014	Former DoD General	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Parrino, Roger [Review and Assessment of the Application of Military Justice in Deployed Areas]	10/11/2012	10/10/2014	Retired New York Police Department (criminal investigator)	Government Employee (SGE)
Rosen, Richard [Review and Assessment of the Application of Military Justice in Deployed Areas]	10/19/2012	10/18/2014	Professor, Texas Tech School of Law	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Sattler, John [Review and Assessment of the Application of Military Justice in Deployed Areas]	10/01/2012	09/30/2014	Former Director, Strategic Plans and Policy, Joint Staff	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member

Number of Committee Members Listed: 21

Narrative Description

The Board, through the General Counsel of the Department of Defense, provides the Secretary of Defense and the Deputy Secretary of Defense, independent, informed advice, opinions, and recommendations concerning matters referred to the Board relating to legal and related legal policy matters within the Department of

Defense, the achievement of Departmental policy goals through legislation and regulations, and other matters as assigned.

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

	Checked if	
	Applies	
Improvements to health or safety		
Trust in government		
Major policy changes		
Advance in scientific research		
Effective grant making		
Improved service delivery		
Increased customer satisfaction		
Implementation of laws or regulatory		
requirements		
Other		

Outcome Comments

The Board has made its recommendations to the Secretary of Defense and they remain pending.

What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

	Checked if Applies
None	
Unable to Determine	✓
Under \$100,000	
\$100,000 - \$500,000	
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	
\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000	
\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000	
Over \$10,000,000	
Cost Savings Other	

Cost Savings Comments

The Board has made its recommendations to the Secretary of Defense and they remain pending.

What is the approximate Number of recomme for the life of the committee? 51	endations produced by this committee	
Number of Recommendations Comments The Board has made its recommendations to the pending.	e Secretary of Defense and they remain	
What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these will be <u>Fully</u> implemented by the agency?	recommendations that have been or	
% of Recommendations Fully Implemented C The Board has made its recommendations to the pending.		
What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these will be <u>Partially</u> implemented by the agency?	recommendations that have been or	
% of Recommendations <u>Partially</u> Implemente The Board has made its recommendations to the pending.		
Does the agency provide the committee with implement recommendations or advice offered Yes No Not Applicable		
Agency Feedback Comments N/A		
What other actions has the agency taken as a recommendation?	result of the committee's advice or	
	Checked if Applies	
Reorganized Priorities		
Reallocated resources		
Issued new regulation		
Proposed legislation		
Approved grants or other payments		

Other	
Action Comments The Board has made its recommendations to the pending.	e Secretary of Defense and they remain
Is the Committee engaged in the review of ap No	plications for grants?
Grant Review Comments N/A	
How is access provided to the information fo	r the Committee's documentation?
	Checked if Applies
Contact DFO	✓
Online Agency Web Site	
Online Committee Web Site	
Online GSA FACA Web Site	✓
Publications	
Other	
Access Comments N/A	