2013 Current Fiscal Year Report: Yakima Provincial Advisory Committee

Report Run Date: 07/12/2025 07:50:51 AM

1. Department or Agency 2. Fiscal Year

Department of Agriculture 2013

3b. GSA Committee
3. Committee or Subcommittee

No.

Yakima Provincial Advisory

Committee 7245

4. Is this New During 5. Current 6. Expected 7. Expected Fiscal Year? Charter Renewal Date Term Date

No 07/19/2011

8a. Was Terminated During 8b. Specific Termination Authority 8c. Actual Term Date

Departmental

Yes 12/17/2012

Regulation 1043-37

9. Agency 10b.

Recommendation for Next FiscalYear 10a. Legislation Req to Terminate? Legislation Pending?

Continue No Not Applicable

11. Establishment Authority Agency Authority

12. Specific 13. 14.

Establishment Effective Commitee Presidential?

14c.

Authority Date Type

Departmental Regulation

1043-37 09/12/1996 Continuing No

15. Description of Committee Non Scientific Program Advisory

Board

16a. Total

No Reports for this FiscalYear

Reports

17a. 0 17b. Closed 0 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total 0 Open

Meetings and Dates

No Meetings

	Current Next		
	FY	FY	
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to	\$0.0	00\$0.00	
Non-Federal Members	ψ0.0	λο φο.οο	
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to	\$0.0	00\$0.00	
Federal Members	ψ0.0	,ο φο.οο	
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to	\$0.0	00\$0.00	
Federal Staff	ΨΟ.	<i>γ</i> ο φο.σο	
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to	\$0.00\$0.00		
Non-Member Consultants	ΨΟι	φο.σο φο.σο	
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to	\$0.0	00\$0.00	
Non-Federal Members	Ψοιν	,ο φοισσ	
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to	\$0.0	00\$0.00	
Federal Members	4 5.1	, σ φοισσ	
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to	\$0.0	00\$0.00	
Federal Staff	, 51.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to	\$0.00\$0.00		
Non-member Consultants			
18c. Administrative Costs (FRNs,			
contractor support,	\$0.0	00\$0.00	
In-person/hybrid/virtual	•	·	
meetings)			
18d. Other (all other funds not	.		
captured by any other cost	\$0.0	00\$0.00	
category)	.		
18e. Total Costs	\$0.0	00\$0.00	
19. Federal Staff Support Years	0.0	00.00	
(FTE)			

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

The Provincial Advisory Committee was formed to advise seven federal agencies concerning implementation decisions and details pertaining to the Northwest Forest Plan (applicable to federal forests in Western Washington, Oregon and Northern California). The PACs identify concerns, which in turn are used to recommend budgeting

priorities for available federal funds on key elements of the plan such as watershed restoration, watershed analysis, and subsequent project planning efforts. Advice is tailored to site specific areas in the plan area. Intergovernmental and public relationships improve significantly as a result of these advisory committee meetings.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

On September 30, 1994, USDA published the NOI in the Federal Register announcing the Pacific Northwest Forest Plan. The Federal agencies affected by the plan formed 12 groups called the Provincial Interagency Executive Committees or PIECs for each of the 12 provinces identified in the plan. The charter lists interests that need to be represented on each of the 12 provincial advisory committees as follows:1 rep. from the Environment Protection Agency; 1 rep. from the USDA Forest Service; 1 rep. from the Bureau of Land Management; 1 rep. from the National Park Service; 1 rep. from the Bureau of Indian Affairs; 1 rep. from State government; 1 rep. from each county where province is located (maximum of 3); up to 3 reps. from Tribal government; 2 reps. from environmental interests; 2 reps. from the forest products industry; reps. from the recreation and tourism industry; 3 to 5 reps from fish, wildlife, or forestry conservation organization such as the Bureau of Reclamation, National Biological Survey, Forest Service Research, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, U.S. Geological Survey, Bonneville Power Administration, Department of Defense, and the Natural Resources Conservation Service.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

Initial meetings focused on building understanding of the Northwest Forest Plan and effective working relationships among the seven agencies identified in the charter. Meetings now also focus on implementation matters such as advising agencies concerning priorities for allocation of limited federal funds toward watershed restoration and watershed analysis needs as required by the plan. During the summer field trips give members an opportunity to see and discuss the forest situation first hand. Members are using their increased knowledge of the Northwest Forest Plan and their working relationships with other members to provide ongoing advice about making the Northwest Forest Plan work on the ground in real time. Members have also provided a valuable platform for collaborating on elements of the Forest Plan Revision project.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

The complex nature of natural resource management in the Pacific Northwest and the subsequent Pacfic Northwest Forest Plan developed to guide the management of the region's natural resources require close cooperation among the many agencies having a management responsibility in the area and a forum where the public's views may be heard. The PACs provide an opportunity for Federal managers and the public to discuss the issues they identify and to offer advice and recommendations to decision makers on how to address those issues. Common effort and working together through the committee structure give rise to a synergy that brings better management of the provinces set up in the plan.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or

partially closed committee meetings?

These meetings are not closed meetings. (See Charter)

21. Remarks

The Yakima Provincial Advisory Committee was terminated by the Secretary of Agriculture on December 17, 2012.

Designated Federal Officer

Shandra Terry Public Affairs Specialist

Narrative Description

The Provincial Advisory Committee was formed to advise seven federal agencies concerning implementation decisions and details pertaining to the Northwest Forest Plan (applicable to federal forests in Western Washington, Oregon and Northern California). The PACs identify concerns, which in turn are used to recommend budgeting priorities for available federal funds on key elements of the plan such as watershed restoration, watershed analysis, and subsequent project planning efforts. Advice is tailored to site specific areas in the plan area. Intergovernmental and public relationships improve significantly as a result of these advisory committee meetings. Since 1994, the Provincial Advisory Committees and Provincial Interagency Executive Committees have met for the purpose of facilitating the successful implementation of the Northwest Forest Plan.

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

	Checked if	
	Applies	
Improvements to health or safety		
Trust in government		✓
Major policy changes		
Advance in scientific research		
Effective grant making		

Improved service delivery			
Increased customer satisfaction			
Implementation of laws or regulatory			
requirements			
Other			
Outcome Comments			
The Yakima and Eastern Washington PACs h	ave provided an excellent forum for		
discussion of forest plan revision issues and c	·		
What are the cost savings associated with	this committee?		
_	Checked if Applies		
None			
Unable to Determine	~		
Under \$100,000			
\$100,000 - \$500,000			
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000			
\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000			
\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000			
Over \$10,000,000			
Cost Savings Other			
Cost Savings Comments NA			
What is the approximate $\underline{\text{Number}}$ of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee? 0			
Number of Recommendations Comments A review of the Provincial Advisory Committees will be completed in FY2012.			
What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations that have been or will be <u>Fully</u> implemented by the agency?			

% of Recommendations $\underline{\text{Fully}}$ Implemented Comments

Many of the projects discussed at Provincial Advisory Committee meetings vary from

monitoring to full implementation. Because many of these projects are on-going and vary from forest to forest, state to state, determination for full implementation of some projects may be unpredictable.

What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations that have been or will be <u>Partially</u> implemented by the agency?

0%

% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

Since 1994, many projects have not been fully implemented due to budget constraints and declines in the workforce of the Forest Service, Bureau of Land Management and other regulatory and participating agencies that are mentioned in the Record of Decision. However, Provincial Advisory Committees remain steadfast in their commitment to deliver recommendations to implement the Northwest Forest Plan, as intended in the ROD of 1994.

Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to				
implement recomm	endations or advice off	ered?		
Yes No I	Not Applicable 🗹			
Agency Feedback 0	Comments			
NA				
What other actions recommendation?	has the agency taken a	s a result of the committee's advice or		
		Checked if Applies		
Reorganized Prioritie	es ·			
Reallocated resource	es			
Issued new regulatio	n			
Proposed legislation				
Approved grants or o	other payments			

Action Comments

Under the Record of Decision of 1994 to Implement the Northwest Forest Plan, the above are not applicable.

Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?

No

Other

Grant Review Comments

NA

How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

	Checked if Applies
Contact DFO	✓
Online Agency Web Site	✓
Online Committee Web Site	✓
Online GSA FACA Web Site	✓
Publications	✓
Other	✓

Access Comments

Each of the twelve Provincial Advisory Committees (PAC) have networked the accomplishments of their PAC via website, newspaper, radio, community review boards, and by simply sharing with other agencies and community groups during public established meetings. Some PACs have subcommittees that accompany the great work the PACs have accomplished. Furthermore, each of the PACs outreach to underserved groups to serve on the committee in order to better reflect the communities in which they serve.