

2011 Current Fiscal Year Report: Independent Review of Judge Advocate Requirements of the Department of the Navy

Report Run Date: 04/19/2024 12:42:32 PM

1. Department or Agency		2. Fiscal Year	
Department of Defense		2011	
3. Committee or Subcommittee		3b. GSA Committee No.	
Independent Review of Judge Advocate Requirements of the Department of the Navy		73653	
4. Is this New Fiscal Year?	5. Current Charter	6. Expected Renewal Date	7. Expected Term Date
No	06/30/2010	06/30/2012	06/29/2012
8a. Was Terminated During Fiscal Year?	8b. Specific Termination Authority		8c. Actual Term Date
Yes	Section 506, Public Law 111-84		03/05/2011
9. Agency Recommendation for Next Fiscal Year	10a. Legislation Req to Terminate?	10b. Legislation Pending?	
Terminate	Yes	Enacted	
11. Establishment Authority Statutory (Congress Created)			
12. Specific Establishment Authority	13. Effective Date	14. Committee Type	14c. Presidential?
Section 506, Public Law 111-84	10/28/2009	Ad hoc	No
15. Description of Committee Other Committee			
16a. Total Number of Reports 1			
16b. Report Date	Report Title		

FINAL REPORT OF THE
INDEPENDENT REVIEW PANEL
02/22/2011 TO STUDY THE JUDGE
ADVOCATE REQUIREMENTS OF
HTE DEPARTMENT OF HTE NAVY

Number of Committee Reports Listed: 1

17a. 4 **17b. Closed** 0 **17c. Partially Closed** 0 **Other Activities** 0 **17d. Total** 4
Open

Meetings and Dates

Purpose	Start	End
Public meeting: Office of Military Commissions, Center for Naval Analyses, and Military Justice	10/06/2010	10/06/2010
Public Meeting: Operational Law Commanders' Perspective, Operational Law Judge Advocates' Perspective, Marine Corps Judge Advocate Career Patterns and Disability Evaluation System Panel.	10/13/2010	10/13/2010
Public Meeting: Department of the Navy Legal Community Today, Budgetary and Manpower Policies, Management, and Organizational Practices, Determining the number of Judge Advocates Required to Fultill the Legal Mission of the Department of the Navy	12/08/2010	12/08/2010
Public Meeting: United States Marine Corps Updates, Role of the Navy JAG and SJA to CMC	01/07/2011	01/07/2011

Number of Committee Meetings Listed: 4

	Current FY	Next FY
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$10,000.00	\$0.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$100.00	\$0.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00

18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18c. Other(rents,user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	\$6,951.00	\$0.00
18d. Total	\$17,051.00	\$0.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)	0.10	0.00

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

The purpose of the committee is to carry out a study of the policies and management and organizational practices of the U.S. Navy and the U.S. Marine Corps with respect to the responsibilities, assignment, and career development of judge advocates for purposes of determining the number of judge advocates required to fulfill the legal mission of the Department of the Navy

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

The Panel, pursuant to section 506(a) of Public Law 111-84, shall be comprised of five members appointed by the Secretary of Defense from among private U.S. citizens who have expertise in law, military manpower policies, the missions of the Armed Forces of the United States, or the current responsibilities of judge advocates in ensuring competent legal representation and advice to commanders. The Panel chairperson shall be appointed by the Secretary of Defense from among the total membership. All Panel members shall be appointed for the life of the Panel, and any Panel vacancy shall be filled in the same manner as the original appointment.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

Estimated Number of Meetings per Year - 5

Estimated Total Meetings - 5

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

This advisory committee is established by an Act of Congress -- section 506 of Public Law 111-84.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

Meetings are not closed to the public unless the Department of Defense determines that items on the planned agenda meet the closed meeting provisions of 5 U.S.C. § 552b(c). Pursuant to DoD policy closed meetings can only be authorized by the DoD Sponsor (i.e., the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness), and only after consultation with the Office of the Department of Defense General Counsel.

21. Remarks

The Panel is charged by statute with only one report of advice and recommendations which is due 120 days after the Panel's first meeting. Department of Defense (DoD) policy between fiscal year 2007 and 2011 required that subcommittee members be listed separately in the subcommittee section of GSA's Database, even if they were duly appointed members of the parent committee. This policy, in some instances, caused a duplication of entries. Beginning in fiscal year 2012, DoD will only list in the subcommittee section of GSA's Database those who are appointed to just a subcommittee. If an individual is appointed to the parent committee and to one of the committee's authorized subcommittees then his or her subcommittee affiliation will be reflected

in the parent committee membership section of GSA's Database.

Designated Federal Officer

Frank A. Putzu Designated Federal Officer

Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
Dell'Orto, Daniel	07/19/2010	02/25/2011	Attorney	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
McPherson, James	07/19/2010	02/25/2011	Attorney	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Miller, Judith	07/19/2010	02/25/2011	Attorney	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Molzahn, William	07/19/2010	02/25/2011	Attorney	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Osman, H. Peter	07/19/2010	02/25/2011	Executive Vice President, Marine Toys for Tots Foundation	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member

Number of Committee Members Listed: 5

Narrative Description

The Independent Review of Judge Advocate Requirements of the Department of the Navy is a non-discretionary FACA established under Section 506 of the FY10 NDAA

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

Checked if Applies

Improvements to health or safety

☐

Trust in government

☐

Major policy changes

☐

- | | |
|---|--------------------------|
| Advance in scientific research | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Effective grant making | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Improved service delivery | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Increased customer satisfaction | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Implementation of laws or regulatory requirements | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Other | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Outcome Comments

N/A

What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

Checked if Applies

- | | |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| None | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Unable to Determine | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Under \$100,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| \$100,000 - \$500,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| \$500,001 - \$1,000,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| \$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| \$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Over \$10,000,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Cost Savings Other | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Cost Savings Comments

N/A

What is the approximate Number of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?

9

Number of Recommendations Comments

Under Section 506, the Panel will issue its only and final report on or about 1 January 2011; received approved extension until the end February 2011.

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Fully implemented by the agency?

0%

% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

N/A

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Partially implemented by the agency?

0%

% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

Unknown because statutory changes are required

Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered?

Yes ☐ No ☐ Not Applicable ☒

Agency Feedback Comments

N/A

What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?

Checked if Applies

Reorganized Priorities	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reallocated resources	<input type="checkbox"/>
Issued new regulation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Proposed legislation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Approved grants or other payments	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

Action Comments

N/A

Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?

No

Grant Review Comments

n/a

How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

Checked if Applies

Contact DFO ☒

Online Agency Web Site
Online Committee Web Site
Online GSA FACA Web Site
Publications
Other

☐
☐
☒
☐
☐

Access Comments

N/A