2011 Current Fiscal Year Report: Transformation Advisory Group

Report Run Date: 04/19/2024 08:42:29 PM

1. Department or Agency			2. Fiscal	Year		
Department of Defense			2011	2011		
3. Committee or Subcommittee 3b. GSA			Committee No.			
Transformation Advisory Group 16581			16581			
4. Is this New D	Ouring 5. Cur	rrent 6. E	Expected	7. Expected		
Fiscal Year?	Charte	er Re	newal Date	Term Date		
No	06/12/	/2009 06/	12/2011	06/11/2011		
8b. Specific 8a. Was Terminated During FiscalYear? Authority			ation	8c. Actual Term Date		
Yes		OSD		06/11/2011		
9. Agency Recommendati FiscalYear	ion for Next		gislation Ferminate?	10b. Legislation Pending?		
Terminate		No				
11. Establishm	ent Authority	y Agency	/ Authority			
12. Specific	13		14.	14c.		
Establishment	Eff	fective	Commitee	Presidential?		
Authority	Da	ate	Туре	i residentiar:		
Secretary of De Determination	fense 08	/06/2003	Continuing	No		
15. Description	of Committe	ee Natio	nal Policy Is	sue Advisory		
Board						
16a. Total Number of Reports	No Reports for this FiscalYear					
17a. 0 17b. Closed 0 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total 0 Open						
Meetings and E No Meetings)ates					
		Cur FY	rrent Next FY			
18a(1). Person Non-Federal M			\$0.00\$0.00)		

18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$0.00 \$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$7,914.00\$0.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$0.00 \$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00 \$0.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$0.00 \$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$0.00\$0.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$0.00\$0.00
18c. Other(rents,user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	\$0.00 \$0.00
18d. Total	\$7,914.00\$0.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)	0.10 0.00

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

U.S. Joint Forces Command's role to lead the joint transformation of our military requires that they seek the recommendations and advice of top leaders in industry, academia, and government sectors. USJFCOM TAG will provide timely advice on scientific, technical, intelligence, and policy-related issues to the Commander, USJFCOM. Additonally, information may be provided by establishing sub-committees, if required, in the aeas of broadening intellectual capital and shaping the Special Access Programs Agenda. Future topics may include providing strategic guidance improving response to catastrophic events; removing roadblocks to USJFCOM transformation progress and the improving the process for getting innovation to the War Fighter; moving from coordination to Unified

Action, and how to effectively represent the activities of US Joint Forces Command to its customers.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

The USJFCOM Transformation Advisory Group is composed of a broad cross-section of personnel from industry, government, academia, and major journals encompassing a broad spectrum of ivergent points of view. Candidates have been carefully selected to represent those segments of the community they represent.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

The committee meets up to twice a year and discusses issues of current interest to the Commander and also conducts additional work in assigned standing committees in accordance with its charter.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

The Joint Forces Command does not have the staff or expertise to provide the level of advice and assistance with the depth and breath of knowledge furnished by this distinguished group of Americans from across the professional spectrum. There are no existing DoD staffs or committees performing an equivalent function for US Joint forces Command.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

Meetings are not closed to the public unless the Department of Defense determines that items on the planned agenda meet the closed meeting provisions of 5 U.S.C. § 552b(c). Pursuant to DoD policy closed meetings can only be authorized by the DoD Sponsor (i.e., the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff), and only after consultation with the sponsor's General Counsel.

21. Remarks

Some associated documents are classified. The committee keeps these documents as required by the statute, and are retained and archived in accordance with DoD and National Archives and Records Administration guidelines. The Group held no meetings in FY11. Te Group was terminated based upon the Secretary of Defense's decision to distablish USFCOM. Group terminated 6/11/2011 Department of Defense (DoD) policy between fiscal year 2007 and 2011 required that subcommittee members be listed separately in the subcommittee section of GSA's Database, even if they were duly appointed members of the parent committee. This policy, in some instances, caused a duplication of entries. Beginning in fiscal year 2012, DoD will only list in the subcommittee section of GSA's Database those who are appointed to just a subcommittee. If an individual is appointed to the parent committee and to one of the committee's authorized subcommittees then his or her subcommittee affiliation will be reflected in the parent committee membership section of GSA's Database.

Designated Federal Officer

Timothy P. Keating Designated Federal Officer (DFO) Committee Member Start End Occupation Members Designation Special Senior Fellow, Government Boot, Max 11/30/2004 11/29/2010 Council on Foreign Employee Relations (SGE) Member

Colwell, Rita	11/30/2004	11/29/2010	Professor, Univ of Maryland	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Connelly, Thomas	11/30/2004	11/29/2010	DuPont Corporation	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Dugan, Regina	03/01/2010	02/28/2011	Director, DARPA	Regular Government Employee (RGE) Member
Ford, Harold	11/30/2004	11/29/2010	Chairman, Democratic Leadership Council (DLC)	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member Special
Gingrich, Newt	11/30/2004	11/29/2010	Consultant	Government Employee (SGE) Member
Haver, Richard	11/30/2004	11/29/2010	Vice President For Intelligence Programs, Northrop Grumman Corp	Government Employee
Kao, John	11/30/2004	11/29/2010	Writer/Consultant	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Krepinevich, Andrew	11/30/2004	11/29/2010	Writer/Consultant	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Swartout, William	11/30/2004	11/29/2010	Dir of Technology, University of Southern California	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Thornberry, William	01/30/2004	01/29/2011	U.S. House of Representatives	Regular Government Employee (RGE) Member
Welch, Larry	11/30/2004	11/29/2010	Senior Fellow, Institute for Defense Analyses	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member

Narrative Description

Expert outside advice to the Commander

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

	Checked if Applies	
Improvements to health or safety		
Trust in government		
Major policy changes		
Advance in scientific research		
Effective grant making		
Improved service delivery		
Increased customer satisfaction		
Implementation of laws or regulatory		
requirements		
Other		~

Outcome Comments

The committee's advice was critical shaping an understanding of the potential role DoD may have in Strategic Communication.

What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

	Checked if Applies
None	
Unable to Determine	\checkmark
Under \$100,000	
\$100,000 - \$500,000	
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	
\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000	
\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000	
Over \$10,000,000	
Cost Savings Other	

Cost Savings Comments

What is the approximate <u>Number</u> of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?

75

Number of Recommendations Comments

The TAG provided advice on how to improve DoD futures documents (JOE/CCJO). The TAG provided 25 recommendations for consideration at the 14 Aug 09 plenary session. These are currently under study.

What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations that have been or will be <u>Fully</u> implemented by the agency?

50%

% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

A majority of the TAG's recommendations to improve the CCJO/JOE were fully implemented.

What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations that have been or will be <u>Partially</u> implemented by the agency? 20%

% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

Some advice, such as on how to innovate in our mission areas, is continually addressed across TAGs.

Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered?

Yes 🗹 No 🗌 Not Applicable 🗌

Agency Feedback Comments

The TAG received updated documents reflecting changes that were made to the CCJO and JOE based upon their advice.

What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?

Checked if Applies

Reorganized Priorities

Reallocated resources	
Issued new regulation	
Proposed legislation	
Approved grants or other payments	
Other	~

Action Comments

Improved our documents.

Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?

No

Grant Review Comments

NA

How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

Contact DFO	1
Online Agency Web Site	
Online Committee Web Site	
Online GSA FACA Web Site	
Publications	
Other	

Access Comments

N/A