

2010 Current Fiscal Year Report: State and Local Training Advisory Committee

Report Run Date: 04/07/2020 07:53:36 AM

1. Department or Agency		2. Fiscal Year	
Department of Homeland Security		2010	
3. Committee or Subcommittee		3b. GSA Committee No.	
State and Local Training Advisory Committee		1252	
4. Is this New During Fiscal Year?	5. Current Charter	6. Expected Renewal Date	7. Expected Term Date
No	03/24/2008	03/24/2010	
8a. Was Terminated During FiscalYear?	8b. Specific Termination Authority	8c. Actual Term Date	
Yes		03/24/2010	
9. Agency Recommendation for Next FiscalYear	10a. Legislation Req to Terminate?	10b. Legislation Pending?	
Terminate	No	Enacted	
11. Establishment Authority	Agency Authority		
12. Specific Establishment Authority	13. Effective Date	14. Committee Type	14c. Presidential?
AGEN	08/03/1983	Continuing	No
15. Description of Committee	Non Scientific Program Advisory Board		
16a. Total Number of Reports	No Reports for this FiscalYear		
17a. Open Meetings and Dates	17b. Closed	17c. Partially Closed	Other Activities
No Meetings	0	0	0
17d. Total	0		

	Current FY	Next FY
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18c. Other(rents,user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	\$0.00	\$0.00
18d. Total	\$0.00	\$0.00

19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)

0.00 0.00

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

For 26 years, the Committee has provided critical and timely advice to the Federal Law Enforcement Training Center (FLETC) on state, local, campus, and tribal training. Discussions and information exchanged during meetings are instrumental in ensuring that the training developed and offered by the FLETC's Office of State and Local Training is of exceptional quality, responds to the unique and specialized needs of law enforcement officers, and is timely. Comments, questions, and suggestions from the members concerning concepts for training, training delivery, and/or policy/operational modifications are considered by FLETC officials during the decision-making process. In areas of special concern, subcommittees are formed, which report back to the primary Committee. Examples include identifying training gaps in law enforcement and increasing the availability of affordable, needs-based training to rural law enforcement agencies and other emergency responders.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

The Committee is made up of representatives of federal agencies that work closely with state, local, campus, and tribal law enforcement agencies; representatives from state, local, campus, and tribal law enforcement, as well as their national organizations; and academia. Each individual's function is to represent the interests of his or her discipline/association during the deliberation and advisory activities of the Committee, ensuring that all the major elements of the law enforcement community have input prior to decisions being made.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

The Committee meets twice annually. In FY10, the FLETC plans to incorporate at least one additional meeting to increase the timeliness of advice, and utilization of web and/or teleconferencing for one or more of these meetings is being researched to reduce costs. The Advisory Committee takes an active role in advising the FLETC Director and the Deputy Assistant Director of the Office of State and Local Training on matters including training policy, training needs, training curriculum, course content and evaluation, and student admission and performance. Due to the significant law enforcement experience of the Committee members, their advice enhances the mission of the FLETC and is relevant in ensuring the delivery of top-quality training programs, particularly to rural state, local, campus, and tribal law enforcement officers and first responders.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

The Committee is unique in that there is no existing forum comprising this particular assemblage. The state, local, campus, and tribal members represent international and national law enforcement associations and organizations which are leaders in the law enforcement arena, and federal members from Department of Homeland Security (DHS) and Department of Justice (DOJ) agencies who have a vested interest in law enforcement training. DHS and DOJ share leadership of this committee through its co-chairs. The advice and information exchange is critical to identifying training gaps for state, local, campus, and tribal officers; maximizing resources via partnerships to increase training capacity and promoting those partnerships to supplement, not duplicate, existing training. The advice provided is integral for the rural law enforcement community and emergency responders in the DHS/FLETC's implementation of the Rural Policing Institute authorized by Public Law 110-53.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

N/A

21. Remarks

Designated Federal Officer

Reba L. Fischer DFO

Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
Buchanan, Dave	06/21/2009	11/06/2009	Acting Director, Community Oriented Policing Services (COPS), DOJ	Ex Officio Member
Burch, James	01/01/2009	03/24/2010	Acting Director, Bureau of Justice Assistance, DOJ	Ex Officio Member
DeVita, Charles	02/06/2007	03/24/2010	Director, Office of Training and Development, Immigration and Customs Enforcement, DHS	Ex Officio Member
Edwards, Gary	07/10/2007	03/24/2010	Director of NNALEA	Representative Member
Flaherty, Steve	07/10/2007	03/24/2010	Superintendent, Virginia State Police	Representative Member
Herriott, Cynthia	07/10/2007	03/24/2010	Rochester, New York, Police Department	Representative Member
Leyva, Ray	07/10/2007	03/24/2010	Los Angeles, California, Sheriff's Department	Representative Member
Lord, Vivian	07/10/2007	03/24/2010	Chair, Department of Criminal Justice, University of North Carolina (Charlotte)	Representative Member
Melekian, Bernard	11/09/2009	03/24/2010	Director, Community Oriented Policing (COPS), DOJ	Ex Officio Member
Milam, Terry	07/30/2007	03/24/2010	Chief of Police, St. John, Missouri, Police Department	Representative Member
Parsons, Michael	07/10/2007	03/24/2010	Executive Director, Washington State Criminal Justice Training Commission	Representative Member
Quinn, Timothy	01/18/2009	03/24/2010	Acting Director, Community Oriented Policing Services (COPS), DOJ	Ex Officio Member

Robinson, Laurie	01/28/2009	03/24/2010	Acting Assistant Attorney General, Office of Justice Programs, DOJ	Ex Officio Member
Schuetz, Steve	10/01/2007	12/15/2009	Director, Training Operations, National Preparedness Directorate, FEMA, DHS	Ex Officio Member
Straub, Frank	07/10/2007	03/24/2010	Commissioner, Department of Public Safety, White Plains, New York	Representative Member
Wilson, Laura	07/10/2007	03/24/2010	Director (Chief of Police), Department of Public Safety, Stanford University, California	Representative Member

Number of Committee Members Listed: 16

Narrative Description

The Committee membership is balanced with professionals who interact with state, local, campus, and tribal law enforcement agencies. Using this experience base, the Committee supports the FLETC's mission and strategic plan by providing timely advice on specific training needs in the law enforcement community; training delivery methods which best suit state, local, campus, rural, and tribal agencies; and recommendations on removing training barriers so training can be delivered free or at a reduced cost.

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

	Checked if Applies
Improvements to health or safety	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Trust in government	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Major policy changes	<input type="checkbox"/>
Advance in scientific research	<input type="checkbox"/>
Effective grant making	<input type="checkbox"/>
Improved service delivery	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Increased customer satisfaction	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Implementation of laws or regulatory requirements	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Outcome Comments

Improved law enforcement training and delivery methods to state, local, campus, and tribal law enforcement agencies including rural), thus reducing their training costs; identification of relevant training topics and outreach strategies; and increasing law enforcement participants' level of expertise. Advice provided by the SALTAC aligns directly with the strategic plan.

What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

	Checked if Applies
None	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unable to Determine	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Under \$100,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
\$100,000 - \$500,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
Over \$10,000,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
Cost Savings Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

Cost Savings Comments

Costs are intangible. State, local, campus, and tribal agencies (particularly rural agencies) realize a significant cost savings since the FLETC is funded to provide training tuition-free to agencies who can least afford it (e.g, rural agencies). The SALTAC's valuable advice has improved the efficiency of training delivery and operational processes, increased capacity of training programs, and expanded outreach. The SALTAC is working diligently to provide timely advice on implementing the congressionally mandated Rural Policing Institute.

What is the approximate Number of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?

66

Number of Recommendations Comments

These recommendations were submitted by members in committee meetings from September 2002 through October 2009. Recommendations/implementation information for committee's life is not available due to records storage.

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Fully implemented by the agency?

50%

% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

The majority of the Committee's recommendations were closely aligned with the strategic goals (e.g., form partnerships, deliver low or no cost training, expand availability of training, and engaged networking among federal, state, local, campus, and tribal law enforcement agencies.

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Partially implemented by the agency?

36%

% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

Recommendations were only partially implemented due to various constraints (e.g., fiscal (funds, staffing), legal, regulatory, etc).

Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered?

Yes No Not Applicable

Agency Feedback Comments

Feedback is provided to members at each Committee meeting, as well as between meetings via written and oral communication.

What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?

Checked if Applies

- Reorganized Priorities
- Reallocated resources
- Issued new regulation
- Proposed legislation
- Approved grants or other payments
- Other

Action Comments

No comment.

Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?

No

Grant Review Comments

NA

How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

Checked if Applies

- Contact DFO
- Online Agency Web Site
- Online Committee Web Site
- Online GSA FACA Web Site
- Publications

Other



Access Comments

N/A