

2009 Current Fiscal Year Report: Route 66 Corridor Preservation Program Advisory Council

Report Run Date: 06/20/2019 02:59:10 AM

1. Department or Agency		2. Fiscal Year	
Department of the Interior		2009	
3. Committee or Subcommittee		3b. GSA Committee No.	
Route 66 Corridor Preservation Program Advisory Council		25163	
4. Is this New During Fiscal Year?	5. Current Charter	6. Expected Renewal Date	7. Expected Term Date
No	09/27/2007		09/26/2009
8a. Was Terminated During FiscalYear?	8b. Specific Termination Authority	8c. Actual Term Date	
Yes	P.L. 106-45	09/27/2009	
9. Agency Recommendation for Next FiscalYear	10a. Legislation Req to Terminate?	10b. Legislation Pending?	
Terminate	No		
11. Establishment Authority	Agency Authority		
12. Specific Establishment Authority	13. Effective Date	14. Committee Type	14c. Presidential?
Public Law 106-45	08/10/1999	Continuing	No
15. Description of Committee	Non Scientific Program Advisory Board		
16a. Total Number of Reports	No Reports for this FiscalYear		
17a. Open	17b. Closed	17c. Partially Closed	Other Activities
1	0	0	0
17d. Total Meetings and Dates	1		

Purpose	Start	End
The matters discussed: —Committee report on accountability and measurement —Committee report on education and outreach —Committee report on preservation management —Strategic media initiative —Report on preliminary economic impacts of heritage tourism along Route 66 —Report on motel preservation initiatives along Route 66 —Preservation of historic bridges along Route 66	11/06/2008	11/07/2008

Number of Committee Meetings Listed: 1

	Current FY	Next FY
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$3,600.00	\$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$6,000.00	\$0.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$8,902.00	\$0.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$5,000.00	\$0.00

18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18c. Other(rents,user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	\$0.00	\$0.00
18d. Total	\$23,502.00	\$0.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)	0.10	0.00

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

The purpose of the Council is to advise the Secretary of the Interior on matters relating to the Route 66 Corridor Preservation Program. The duties of the Council are solely advisory. The November 2008 meeting represented the last meeting of the advisory council which terminated when the Program sunsetted.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

The National Park Service solicited nominations for membership on the committee from the entities specified in the Act, including state historic preservation offices, tribes, Route 66 associations, and other private and public individuals interested in Route 66.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

Estimated Number of Meetings per Year - One.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

The Route 66 Corridor Preservation Act of 1999 (Act) instructed the National Park Service to provide technical, grant-making and cost-sharing support to public and private entities that are working to preserve Route 66 resources. These resources include the highway, adjacent structures, and other sites of interest that date from Route 66's period of outstanding historic significance (1926-1970). The Act authorizes the National Park Service to enter into cooperative agreements for the study, planning, preservation, rehabilitation and restoration of resources related to the Route 66 corridor. Additionally, the National Park Service must act as a clearinghouse for communication among entities interested in the preservation of the corridor.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

All meeting are open to the public.

21. Remarks

The Route 66 Federal Advisory Council terminates with the sunset of the Route 66 Corridor Preservation Act on August 10, 2009. Committee terminated August 10, 2009.

Designated Federal Officer

Michael Romero Taylor Route 66 Corridor Preservation Program Manager

Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
Bricker, David	09/27/2005	09/27/2009	State of California, Department of Transportation	Representative Member
Conkle, James	09/27/2005	09/27/2009	California Route 66 Preservation Foundation	Representative Member
Dunaway, David	09/27/2005	09/27/2009	University of New Mexico	Representative Member
Heisch, Melvena	09/27/2005	09/27/2009	Oklahoma State Historic Preservation Office	Representative Member
Jackson, Michael	09/27/2005	09/27/2009	Illinois State Historic Preservation Office	Representative Member
Knudson, David	09/27/2005	09/27/2009	National Historic Route 66 Federation	Representative Member
Murphey, John	09/27/2005	09/27/2009	New Mexico State Historic Preservation Office	Representative Member
Naber, MaryAnn	09/27/2005	09/27/2009	Ex-Officio, Federal Highway Administration	Representative Member
Pendleton, Carolyn	09/27/2005	09/27/2009	Kansas Historic Preservation Association	Representative Member
Pike, Tommy	09/27/2005	09/27/2009	Missouri Route 66 Association	Representative Member
Ross, Jim	09/27/2005	09/27/2009	Oklahoma Route 66 Association	Representative Member
Seitts, Phyllis	09/27/2005	09/27/2009	Ex-Officio, Bureau of Land Management	Regular Government Employee (RGE) Member
Smith, Gregory	09/27/2005	09/27/2009	Texas State Historic Preservation Office	Representative Member
Taylor, R.	09/27/2005	09/27/2009	State of Missouri, Department of Transportation	Representative Member
Wallis, Michael	09/27/2005	09/27/2009	National Trust for Historic Preservation	Representative Member

Number of Committee Members Listed: 15

Narrative Description

The committee supports the agency's mission and strategic plan by providing recommendations on ways to best preserve and protect the most significant and representative transportation related historic resources along the Route 66 corridor between Chicago and Los Angeles.

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

Checked if Applies

Improvements to health or safety	<input type="checkbox"/>
Trust in government	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Major policy changes	<input type="checkbox"/>
Advance in scientific research	<input type="checkbox"/>
Effective grant making	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Improved service delivery	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Increased customer satisfaction	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Implementation of laws or regulatory requirements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

Outcome Comments

In FY 2009, the committee held its last meeting. In FY 2009, this committee held its fourth meeting since it was chartered in 2005. The committee reviewed past actions and goals of the Route 66 Corridor Preservation Program and discussed recommendations for future actions and goals.

What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

Checked if Applies

- None
- Unable to Determine
- Under \$100,000
- \$100,000 - \$500,000
- \$500,001 - \$1,000,000
- \$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000
- \$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000
- Over \$10,000,000
- Cost Savings Other

Cost Savings Comments

The committee provides recommendations on how the NPS program can best provide customer service to the public in an efficient and cost-effective manner.

What is the approximate Number of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?

28

Number of Recommendations Comments

fy 09:Highlights of the recommendations made were to: 1) complete state contexts, 2)conduct roadbed surveys, 3) complete the economic impact study.FY 07: Highlights of the recommendations made were to: 1) have the NPS program determine the number of visitors along the Route 66 corridor in order to assess economic impacts from heritage tourism, 2) query past grant and technical assistance recipients as to quality of services provided by NPS, 3)produce a preservation education/assistance package for National Register property owners along Route 66, 4)plan and implement publicity workshop at the annual Route 66 festival, 5) recruit intern to work on web site, 6) prepare a tri-fold pamphlet for vintage motel property owners that contains information on technical and financial assistance.

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Fully implemented by the agency?

75%

% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

Those recommendations adopted by the NPS program are in the process of being implemented.

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Partially implemented by the agency?

25%

% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

Recommendations made and adopted by the NPS program that require substantive work hours are in the process of being implemented and expect to be completed by FY09.

Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered?

Yes No Not Applicable

Agency Feedback Comments

Periodic communication with the committee members as to status of recommendations adopted and being implemented.

What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?

Checked if Applies

- | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Reorganized Priorities | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Reallocated resources | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Issued new regulation | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Proposed legislation | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Approved grants or other payments | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Other | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Action Comments

FY 2009 - There have been four meetings since the committee was chartered in 2005. The Committee continues to review past actions and goals of the Route 66 Corridor Preservation Program and to make recommendations for future actions and goals.

Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?

Yes

What is the estimated **Number** of grants reviewed for approval 9

What is the estimated **Number** of grants recommended for approval 3

What is the estimated **Dollar Value** of grants recommended for approval \$67,000

Grant Review Comments

NA

How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

Checked if Applies

- Contact DFO
- Online Agency Web Site
- Online Committee Web Site
- Online GSA FACA Web Site
- Publications
- Other

Access Comments

N/A