

2008 Current Fiscal Year Report: United States European Command Senior Advisory Group

Report Run Date: 04/26/2024 06:54:26 AM

1. Department or Agency

Department of Defense

2. Fiscal Year

2008

3. Committee or Subcommittee

United States European Command Senior Advisory Group

3b. GSA

Committee No.

16576

4. Is this New During Fiscal Year?

No

5. Current Charter

05/03/2007 05/03/2009

6. Expected Renewal Date

05/02/2009

7. Expected Term Date

Yes

8a. Was Terminated During Fiscal Year?

8b. Specific Termination Authority

8c. Actual Term Date

09/30/2008

9. Agency

Recommendation for Next Fiscal Year

Terminate

10a. Legislation Req to Terminate?

No

10b. Legislation Pending?

11. Establishment Authority

Agency Authority

12. Specific Establishment Authority

Secretary of Defense Determination

13. Effective Date

05/03/2003

14. Committee Type

Continuing

14c. Presidential?

No

15. Description of Committee

Other Committee

16a. Total

Number of Reports

No Reports for this Fiscal Year

17a.

Open

0

17b. Closed

2

17c. Partially Closed

0

Other Activities

0

17d. Total

2

Meetings and Dates

Purpose

Organizational Meeting to determine scope of work and if any reports will be filed

Start

End

12/03/2007 - 12/03/2007

Number of Committee Meetings Listed: 2

	Current FY	Next FY
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$10,000.00	\$10,000.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$25,000.00	\$25,000.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$35,000.00	\$50,000.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$10,000.00	\$15,000.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$3,000.00	\$0.00
18c. Other(rents,user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	\$15,000.00	\$15,000.00
18d. Total	\$98,000.00	\$115,000.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)	3.00	3.00

**20a. How does the Committee accomplish its
purpose?**

At a time of fundamental and rapid transformation
within the DOD, the U.S. European Command is
looking for insight into the major issues across

their areas of responsibility which will help them map the road ahead. Provide guidance and assistance to the U.S. European Command on subjects related to basing, strategy, and political matters.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

The committee will be balanced among military and civilian national security experts, academicians, and civilian leaders in the public sector.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

This committee plans to meet up to four times per year.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

The U.S. European Command needs the challenge of diverse and divergent views on their operations to assist them in planning for the future. The Command does not have the staff or expertise to provide them the depth and breadth of information on the 93 countries which fall within their area of responsibility.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

In accordance with 5 USC, App. 2, Section 1, et seq. and Executive Order 12958 of 17 April 1995; the meetings of this committee will be evaluated per the forecasted agenda for closure as required based on the classification level of material presented for review. The closed meetings will be announced in the Federal register.

21. Remarks

The committee issued no separate reports for fiscal year 2006; however, it did make several recommendations to the committee's decision maker, which were contained in the committee's meeting minutes. The Department of Defense is terminating this discretionary advisory committee effective September 30, 2008.

Designated Federal Officer

James W. Herron Chief, Operations; EUCOM
Liaison Office; Joint Staff

Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
Boyd, Charles	10/01/2007	09/30/2009	USAF(Ret); President/CEO Business Executives for National Security	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Crouch, J. D.	10/01/2007	10/31/2009	Former Deputy National Security Advisor	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Davis, Jacquelyn	10/01/2007	09/30/2009	Executive VP of the Institute for Foreign Policy Analysis	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Hand, Lloyd	11/30/2007	10/31/2009	Senior Counsel King & Spalding LLC	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Hunter, Robert	10/01/2007	09/30/2009	U.S. Permanent Representative on the North Atlantic Council (AMB to NATO)	Regular Government Employee (RGE) Member
Joulwan, George	10/01/2007	09/30/2009	USA Ret; former CINCEUR and SACEUR	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Pickering, Thomas	10/01/2007	09/30/2009	Senior VP for International Relations, Boeing Executive Council	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member

Ullman, Harlan	10/01/2007	09/30/2009	Senior Associate, Center for Naval Analysis	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Ulrich, Henry	01/01/2008	01/01/2010	Retired Admiral NAVEUR	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member

Number of Committee Members Listed: 9

Narrative Description

The U.S. EUCOM Senior Advisory Group (SAG) met at Supreme Headquarters Allied Powers Europe and HQ USEUCOM in April 2006 for briefings and discussions concerning key theater and transformational objectives. The members of the SAG provided specific guidance and analysis concerning USEUCOM's relationship with these countries as well as theater transformation, security cooperation, and USEUCOM's outreach strategy.

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

Checked if
Applies

Improvements to health or safety	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Trust in government	<input type="checkbox"/>
Major policy changes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Advance in scientific research	<input type="checkbox"/>
Effective grant making	<input type="checkbox"/>
Improved service delivery	<input type="checkbox"/>
Increased customer satisfaction	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Implementation of laws or regulatory requirements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

Outcome Comments

NA

What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

Checked if Applies

None	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unable to Determine	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Under \$100,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
\$100,000 - \$500,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
Over \$10,000,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
Cost Savings Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

Cost Savings Comments

Since many initiatives in our AOR are on-going, it is difficult to say what the cost savings will be to DoD.

What is the approximate Number of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?

10

Number of Recommendations Comments

The recommendations from the SAG members reflect different strategies on how EUCOM can pursue its objectives with the private sector and various interagencies. During this fiscal year the committee only met once and there were no specific recommendations provided to the government's decision maker. It should be noted that the government's decision maker was retiring and the committee deferred additional considerations until appointment of a new EUCOM commander.

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Fully implemented by the agency?

30%

% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

Since we just had another meeting on 3 Oct 2006, it is hard to determine what percentage of the above recommendations will be fully implemented by EUCOM. Ultimately, DoD, National Interests and funding determines our success.

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Partially implemented by the agency?

30%

% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

Again, most of the issues that the committee gave recommendations on are in the infancy stage. Since the last meeting was 3 Oct 2006, it is hard to determine what percentage will actually be partially implemented by EUCOM. Ultimately, DoD, National Interests and funding determines our success.

Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered?

Yes ☒ No ☐ Not Applicable ☐

Agency Feedback Comments

Either in a memo or at the next meeting, all the members are given a status update on open items or if an item has been closed.

What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?

Checked if Applies

Reorganized Priorities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Reallocated resources	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Issued new regulation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Proposed legislation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Approved grants or other payments	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

Action Comments

Based upon the experience and knowledge of the members on our advisory group, they propose suggestions and recommendations on how the AOR should look at issues and how to achieve success when addressing these issues.

Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?

No

Grant Review Comments

NA

How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

Checked if Applies

Contact DFO	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-------------	-------------------------------------

Online Agency Web Site

☐

Online Committee Web Site

☒

Online GSA FACA Web Site

☐

Publications

☐

Other

☐

Access Comments

Information pertaining to this committee is available from the Designated Federal Officer or the GSA's FACA Web Site.