2007 Current Fiscal Year Report: Crook County Resource Advisory Committee for the Rocky Mountain Region

Report Run Date: 03/29/2024 06:26:21 AM

1. Department or Agency
Year

Department of Agriculture 2007

3b. GSA

3. Committee or Subcommittee Committee

No.

Crook County Resource Advisory Committee

for the Rocky Mountain Region

13855

4. Is this New During 5. Current 6. Expected 7. Expected

Fiscal Year? Charter Renewal Date Term Date

No 07/06/2004

8a. Was Terminated During 8b. Specific Termination Authority 8c. Actual Term Date

Yes 09/30/2007

9. Agency 10b.

Continue No Enacted

11. Establishment Authority Statutory (Congress Created)

12. Specific 13. 14.

Establishment Effective Committee Presidential?

Authority Date Type

RACs 12/01/2002 Continuing No

15. Description of Committee Other Committee

16a. Total

Number of this FiscalYear

Reports

17a. 1 17b. Closed 0 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total 1 Open

Meetings and Dates

Purpose Start End

Review of previously funded projects, review

and recommendation of new project 09/12/2007 - 09/12/2007

proposals.

Number of Committee Meetings Listed: 1

	Current FY	Next FY	
18a(1). Personnel Pmts	\$0.00	\$0.00	
to Non-Federal Members	ψ0.00	ψ0.00	
18a(2). Personnel Pmts	\$0.00	\$0.00	
to Federal Members	•	·	
18a(3). Personnel Pmts	\$13,000.00\$13,000.00		
to Federal Staff	¥ 10,000.00 ¥ 10,000.00		
18a(4). Personnel Pmts			
to Non-Member	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Consultants			
18b(1). Travel and Per			
Diem to Non-Federal	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Members			
18b(2). Travel and Per			
Diem to Federal	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Members			
18b(3). Travel and Per	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Diem to Federal Staff	φοισσ	φ0.00	
18b(4). Travel and Per			
Diem to Non-member	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Consultants			
18c. Other(rents,user			
charges, graphics,	\$1,000.00	\$1,000.00	
printing, mail, etc.)			
18d. Total	\$14,000.003	\$14,000.00	
19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)	0.20	0.20	

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

Consistent with Title II of the Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act of 2000, this RAC will meet regularly to review projects proposed by the county and others for development on the Crook County portion of the Black Hills National Forest and recommend worthy projects to the Secretary for approval. The RAC will provide a vehicle for early and continuous coordination with Forest Service officials, and frequent opportunities for citizens, organizations, tribes, other land management agencies, and others to participate openly and meaningfully early in a project. The RAC gives various representatives of interests, who sometimes strongly felt opposing positions, an opportunity to negotiate to a successful conclusion and improve collaborative relationships.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

The Secretary shall appoint 15 members and 3 replacement members to represent the following interests: Five persons who represent organized labor; commercial recreations activities; and commercial timber industry. Five persons representing environmental organizations. Five persons representing State and County offices.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

Estimated Number of Meetings per Year - 1

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

As the Act requires, the RAC is necessary to review and recommend proposed projects for development on the portion of the Black Hills National Forest within county boundaries because Crook County has set aside funds (Title II) for this purpose. Another purpose of the RAC is to improve collaborative relationships in an area where positions of interest groups are strongly held.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or

partially closed committee meetings?

No meetings are closed.

21. Remarks

Terminated on the advice of the Secretariat due to lack of legislation. Agency may bring this committee back on its own authority if legislation is not forthcoming.

Designated Federal Officer

Steve J Kozel Bearlodge District Ranger

Oteve o Nozer Bearloage Biother Nanger					
Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation	
Berg, Cecilia	05/09/2005	05/09/2008	Nationally recognized environmental organization	Representative Member	
Burch, Harold	05/09/2005	05/09/2008	County Elected Official	Representative Member	
Burgess, Cheryl	05/09/2005	05/09/2008	Archaeological and Historical interest	Representative Member	
Dierking, Jeanine	05/09/2005	05/09/2008	Environmental	Representative Member	
Ellsbury, Larry	05/09/2005	05/09/2008	Land -Use Permittee	Representative Member	
Hougham, Deb	05/09/2005	05/09/2008	American Indian Tribe	Representative Member	
Hurd, David	05/09/2005	05/09/2008	Dispersed recreation	Representative Member	
Jeffres, Barbara	05/09/2005	05/09/2008	Energy and Mineral Development	Representative Member	
Julian, Joseph	05/09/2005	05/09/2008	Affected Public at Large	Representative Member	
Miller, Major	05/09/2005	05/09/2008	Outdoor Recreation	Representative Member	
Pearson, Wade	05/09/2005	05/09/2008	Commercial timber industry	Representative Member	
Shoffstall, John	05/09/2005	05/09/2008	Archaeological and historical interests	Representative Member	
Smith, Nels	05/09/2005	05/09/2008	Land-user permittee	Representative Member	
Stahla, Steve	05/09/2005	05/09/2008	Affected Public at Large	Representative Member	

Number of Committee Members Listed: 14

Narrative Description

This Resource Advisory Committee (RAC)is mandated by Congress, under section 205 of PL 106-393, the Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act of 2000. The Committee was established for two purposes: (1) to improve collaborative relationships among the people who use and care for the National Forests and the Forest Service, which manages the lands; and(2) to provide advice and recommendations to the Forest Service in identifying and implementing broadly supported land management projects that maintain existing infrastructure, enhance forest ecosystems, and improve land health and water quality. The RAC directly supports the agency's mission goal for ecosystem health: to Promote ecosystem health and conservation using a collaborative apporach to sustain the Nations's forests, grasslands, and watersheds.

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

	Checked if	
	Applies	
Improvements to health or safety	· ·	-
Trust in government	¥	1
Major policy changes		
Advance in scientific research		
Effective grant making	¥	1
Improved service delivery		
Increased customer satisfaction	¥	1
Implementation of laws or regulatory		
requirements		
Other	•	1

Outcome Comments

Through road re-routing and reconstruction, safety has been improved on major travelways to the National Forest. There is a greater understanding of the processes we have to go through as part of a federal agency. These processes are sometimes seen as cumbersome and unneccessary by the general public. As part of the committee, these members of the public see the value in many of the steps we must go through. This has created a greater trust and understanding in government. Several agencies that have heretofore never applied for grants have become comfortable in the grant making

process.Because of our cooperation with other agencies we see an increase in customer satisfaction.

What are the cost savings associated with this committee?				
	Checked if Applies			
None				
Unable to Determine				
Under \$100,000				
\$100,000 - \$500,000				
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000				
\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000				
\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000				
Over \$10,000,000				
Cost Savings Other				
Cost Savings Comments NA What is the approximate Number of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee? 45				
Number of Recommendations Comments NA				
What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations that have been or will be <u>Fully</u> implemented by the agency? 100%				
% of Recommendations <u>Fully</u> Implemented NA	Comments			
What is the approximate Percentage of thes will be Partially implemented by the agency 0%				

% of Recommendations $\underline{\text{Partially}}$ Implemented Comments

NA

Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regardinglement recommendations or advice offered?	rding actions taken to
Yes No Not Applicable	
Agency Feedback Comments	
Feedback is provided through mailings and meetings.	
What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the	committee's advice or
recommendation?	
Checked if App	olies
Reorganized Priorities	
Reallocated resources	
Issued new regulation	
Proposed legislation	
Approved grants or other payments	Y
Other	
Action Comments	
NA	
Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for	grants?
Yes	
What is the estimated $\underline{\textbf{Number}}$ of grants reviewed for approval	10
What is the estimated <u>Number</u> of grants recommended for	
approval	5
What is the estimated Dollar Value of grants recommended for	approval
\$40	,000
Grant Review Comments	
NA	
How is access provided to the information for the Committee	no's documentation?
Checked if App	
Contact DFO	
	Y
Online Agency Web Site	
Online Committee Web Site	
Online GSA FACA Web Site	✓
Publications	Y
Other	

Access Comments

N/A