2007 Current Fiscal Year Report: Telecommunications Service Priority System Oversight Committee

Report Run Date: 04/23/2024 07:32:34 PM

1. Department or Agency	2. Fiscal Year
Department of Homeland Security	2007

3. Committee or Subcommittee	3b. GSA Committee No.
Telecommunications Service Priority System Oversight Committee	137

4. Is this New During Fiscal Year?	5. Current Charter	6. Expected Renewal Date	7. Expected Term Date
No	02/04/2005	02/04/2007	

8a. Was Terminated During FiscalYear?	8b. Specific Termination Authority	8c. Actual Term Date
Yes	AGEN	02/04/2007

9. Agency Recommendation for Next FiscalYear	10a. Legislation Req to Terminate?	10b. Legislation Pending?
Terminate	No	

11. Establishment Authority Agency Authority

12. Specific Establishment Authority	13. Effective Date	14. Commitee Type	14c. Presidential?
FCC Report and Order 88-341	11/17/1988	Continuing	No

15. Description of Committee Non Scientific Program Advisory Board

16a. Total Number of Reports	No Reports for this FiscalYear

17a. Open 0 **17b. Closed** 0 **17c. Partially Closed** 0 **Other Activities** 0 **17d. Total** 0

Meetings and Dates

No Meetings

	Current FY	Next FY
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members	$0.00	$0.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	$0.00	$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	$0.00	$0.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	$0.00	$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	$0.00	$0.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	$0.00	$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	$0.00	$0.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	$0.00	$0.00
18c. Other(rents,user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	$0.00	$0.00
18d. Total	$0.00	$0.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)	0.00	0.00

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

The TSP System Oversight Committee (OC) did not meet during FY 2007 due to charter renewal and whether or not the committee should have FACA status.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

There are sixteen voting members: seven members from the Federal government; seven members from the telecommunications industry; and two members representing State government interests. The non-voting members represent the

FCC and the TSP program office.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

The Committee meets at least semiannually to address systemic problems in the TSP system and recommend solutions to the Manager, NCS.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

The Committee was established pursuant to Title 47 CFR, Part 64, Appendix A, Telecommunications Service Priority (TSP) System for National Security Emergency Preparedness (NS/EP) and NCS Directive 3-1, Telecommunications Service Priority (TSP) System for National Security Emergency Preparedness. The membership of the Committee represents the users, vendors/providers and administrators of the TSP system. Familiarization with the use, provision and administration of the TSP system are required to be a member of the Committee. Information sharing is the primary purpose of this community.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

There were no meetings were held in FY 2007, but if there had been, they would have been open.

21. Remarks

It has been determined this committee is for information sharing purposes and does not require FACA status to operate.

Designated Federal Officer

Brian P. Carney DFO

Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation

Bea, Debbie	07/01/2003	09/30/2008	DHS/NPPD/CS&C/NCS-CIP, TSP Program Office	Regular Government Employee (RGE) Member
Carney, Brian	04/17/2006	09/30/2008	DHS/NPPD/CS&C/NCS-CIP, Manager, National Coordinating Center for Telecommunications	Regular Government Employee (RGE) Member
Chiarella, Ricardo	09/30/2004	11/07/2008	Department of State	Regular Government Employee (RGE) Member
Gormsen, Ernestine	07/01/2003	11/07/2008	Verizon	Representative Member
Greenhill, John	07/01/2003	09/30/2009	Department of Energy	Regular Government Employee (RGE) Member
Higgins, Roger	07/01/2003	11/07/2008	VerizonBusiness/MCI	Representative Member
Hixson, Roger	09/30/2004	11/07/2009	National Emergency Number Association (NENA)	Representative Member
Keim, Ken	07/01/2003	11/07/2008	National Emergency Management Association (NEMA)/Oregon State Police	Representative Member
Kennedy, Jon	11/07/2006	09/30/2008	U.S. Department of Defense	Regular Government Employee (RGE) Member
Laretto, Kenneth	09/30/2004	11/07/2009	Sprint	Representative Member
Leffler, Rosemary	07/01/2003	11/07/2008	AT&T/SBC	Representative Member
Manuel, Allan	10/01/2005	09/30/2008	Federal Communications Commission	Regular Government Employee (RGE) Member
Miller, Robert	11/07/2006	09/30/2009	General Services Administration	Regular Government Employee (RGE) Member
Ross, Marshall	10/01/2005	09/30/2009	DOC/National Telecommunications & Information Agency	Regular Government Employee (RGE) Member

Schmidt, Tim	10/01/2005	09/30/2009	Department of Transportation	Regular Government Employee (RGE) Member
Shirley, Rusty	10/01/2005	09/30/2008	DHS/Federal Emergency Management Agency	Regular Government Employee (RGE) Member
Simon, Angela	09/30/2004	11/07/2009	AT&T/BellSouth	Representative Member
Snee, Tom	07/01/2003	11/07/2008	Qwest	Representative Member
Underhill, Harry	09/30/2004	11/07/2009	AT&T	Representative Member

Number of Committee Members Listed: 19

Narrative Description

DHS missions include preventing terrorist attacks within the United States, reducing America's vulnerability to terrorism, and minimizing the damage for potential attacks and natural disasters. The TSP Program ensures the availability of communications assets to meet DHS missions, ensuring priority treatment for telecommunications services supporting national security and/or emergency preparedness (NS/EP). By reviewing and assessing the responsiveness of the TSP system to NS/EP requirements and recommending potential solutions to any issues with TSP System policies and procedures, the TSP Oversight Committee (OC) provides the guidance that enables the TSP Program to support DHS.

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

	Checked if Applies
Improvements to health or safety	✓
Trust in government	☐
Major policy changes	☐
Advance in scientific research	☐
Effective grant making	☐
Improved service delivery	☐

	Checked if Applies
Increased customer satisfaction	✔
Implementation of laws or regulatory requirements	✔
Other	☐

Outcome Comments
NA

What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

	Checked if Applies
None	☐
Unable to Determine	✔
Under $100,000	☐
$100,000 - $500,000	☐
$500,001 - $1,000,000	☐
$1,000,001 - $5,000,000	☐
$5,000,001 - $10,000,000	☐
Over $10,000,000	☐
Cost Savings Other	☐

Cost Savings Comments
Historically, the precise cost savings that the TSP Program has generated as a result of TSP OC guidance is difficult to estimate. The TSP OC recommendations and policy enhancements result in improved functionality of the TSP Program, enabling improved response time for restoring critical infrastructures and ensure public safety.

What is the approximate Number of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?
3

Number of Recommendations Comments
1. The TSP OC recommended the TSP System Confirmation Process be completed prior to beginning the Revalidation Process. 2. The TSP OC recommended upgrading the Priority Telecommunications System (the TSP database) to a web-based application. 3. The TSP OC made recommendation to send information on the NCS Outreach programs to industry to assist with awareness and education efforts.

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or

will be Fully implemented by the agency?
100%

% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments
NA

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Partially implemented by the agency?
0%

% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments
NA

Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered?
Yes ✓   No ☐   Not Applicable ☐

Agency Feedback Comments
The agency provides feedback to the TSP OC at the TSP OC meetings, relaying the status of action items and the implementation of TSP OC recommendations.

What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?

	Checked if Applies
Reorganized Priorities	☐
Reallocated resources	☐
Issued new regulation	☐
Proposed legislation	☐
Approved grants or other payments	☐
Other	☐

Action Comments
NA

Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?
No

Grant Review Comments
NA

How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

Checked if Applies

Contact DFO ✓

Online Agency Web Site ☐

Online Committee Web Site ☐

Online GSA FACA Web Site ☐

Publications ☐

Other ☐

Access Comments

N/A