

2007 Current Fiscal Year Report: Federal Energy Management Advisory Committee

Report Run Date: 04/26/2024 01:41:55 PM

1. Department or Agency

Department of Energy

2. Fiscal Year

2007

3. Committee or Subcommittee

Federal Energy Management Advisory
Committee

3b. GSA

Committee No.

9555

4. Is this New During Fiscal Year?

No

5. Current Charter

06/08/2005

6. Expected Renewal Date

06/08/2007

7. Expected Term Date

06/08/2007

8a. Was Terminated During Fiscal Year?

Yes

8b. Specific Termination Authority

Repealed by E.O.
13423

8c. Actual Term Date

01/24/2007

9. Agency Recommendation for Next Fiscal Year

Terminate

10a. Legislation Req to Terminate?

No

10b. Legislation Pending?

11. Establishment Authority

Presidential

12. Specific Establishment Authority

Sec. 307, E.O. 13123

13. Effective Date

06/08/1999

14. Committee Type

Continuing

14c. Presidential?

No

15. Description of Committee

National Policy Issue Advisory Board

16a. Total Number of Reports

1

16b. Report Date

10/19/2006 Public Meeting Report, October 19, 2006, Washington, DC:

Number of Committee Reports Listed:

1

Open

17b. Closed 0 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total 1

Meetings and Dates

Purpose	Start	End
Conduct a public meeting to: 1) provide updates from three working groups - Strategic Planning, Sustainable Building Design, and ESPC/UESC, 2) vote on Resolution 2006-01 (making enhancements to ESPC and UESC contracts), and 3) to receive public comments.	10/19/2006	10/19/2006

Number of Committee Meetings Listed: 1

	Current FY	Next FY
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$20,000.00	\$0.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$165,000.00	\$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$10,000.00	\$0.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$1,600.00	\$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$2,300.00	\$0.00
18c. Other(rents,user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	\$500.00	\$0.00
18d. Total	\$199,400.00	\$0.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)	0.40	0.00

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

The advisory committee meets at least once a year in a public meeting format to provide the Department of Energy with input on Federal energy management, including how to improve the use of Energy-Saving Performance Contracts and

utility energy-efficiency service contracts, improve procurement of ENERGY STAR and other energy efficient products, improve building design, reduce process energy use, and enhance applications of efficient and renewable energy technologies at Federal facilities. During FY 2006, the committee maintained three working groups to explore priority issues of concern to FEMAC; the working groups include: 1) Energy Savings Performance Contracts (ESPC)/Utility Energy Service Contracts (UESC), 2) Sustainable Design, and 3) Strategic Planning. To date, the ESPC Working Group has submitted a two-volume report to the Secretary of Energy, passed a resolution, and prepared a work plan for FY 2007. Volume I (submitted to the Secretary in September 2004) summarizes the history of Federal use of Energy Savings Performance Contracting to finance Federal energy projects and recommends the immediate and permanent authorization of ESPCs. Volume II (submitted to the Secretary of Energy in May 2005) provides recommendations for improving the Federal ESPC program. Resolution 04-01 recommended the immediate and permanent authorizations of ESPCs. The FY 2007 Work Plan, which was approved by FEMAC, establishes three new goals associated with the advancement of ESPCs in the Federal sector. The Sustainable Working Group is drafting a Work Plan for 2007; the working group is formulating a set of goals to encourage the use of sustainable design in Federal facilities government wide. The Strategic Planning Working Group is drafting a work plan that will include a set of proposed FEMAC activities for 2006-2007. All working group documents and recommendations are submitted for the full committee for approval. Accomplishments during FY 2006 include: Conducted a public meeting involving

indepth discussions between the committee and public participants (Federal officials, utilities, ESCOs, NGOs) about the ESPC and UESC financing of Federal energy management projects.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

The committee is composed of members representing Federal and state government agencies, energy service companies, utility companies, equipment manufacturers, construction and architectural companies, and other energy-related organizations.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

Estimated Number of public meetings per year - 1 - 3.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

Historically, the Department of Energy has benefitted from an ongoing working relationship with individuals representing the Federal facilities and operations, building design/construction/equipment and utility industries. These individuals provide DOE with advice on how the Federal Government can work with the private sector and take advantage of their resources and experience to accelerate the adoption of energy saving measures in Federal facilities.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

N/A

21. Remarks

The committee was established by an executive

order (E.O. 13123 - June 8, 1999) and not a public law. However, E.O. 13423, which was signed on January 26, 2007 repealed E.O. 13123.

Designated Federal Officer

Brad Gustafson Designated Federal Officer

Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
Bradley, Edward	05/05/2005	11/30/2007	Department of Veterans' Affairs, DC	Representative Member
DiEnna, John	02/08/2006	10/31/2008	Geothermal National and International Initiative	Representative Member
Duncan, Roger	05/05/2005	11/30/2007	Austin Energy, TX	Representative Member
Dykes, David	03/29/2004	06/08/2007	Southern Company, GA	Representative Member
Henderson, Brian	07/15/2003	06/08/2007	New York State Energy Research and Development Authority, NY	Representative Member
Heneghan, Tia	02/08/2006	10/31/2008	CTG Energetics, Inc.	Representative Member
Jackson, Brian	05/05/2005	11/30/2007	Federal Financing Bank, U.S. Department of the Treasury	Regular Government Employee (RGE) Member
McSeveney, Richard	02/08/2006	10/31/2008	DMJM H+N - 3D/International	Representative Member
McShea, Anne Marie	07/15/2003	06/08/2007	New Jersey Board of Public Utilities	Representative Member
Mitchell, John	05/05/2005	11/30/2007	ConEdison Solutions, NY	Representative Member
Molino, Anita	02/08/2006	10/31/2008	Bostonia Partners, LLC	Representative Member
Moy, Get	07/15/2003	06/08/2007	Department of Defense, Office of the Under Secretary	Representative Member

Number of Committee Members Listed: 12

Narrative Description

The committee advises DOE on measures to advance energy management in the Federal sector.

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

Checked if
Applies

Improvements to health or safety	<input type="checkbox"/>
Trust in government	<input type="checkbox"/>
Major policy changes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Advance in scientific research	<input type="checkbox"/>
Effective grant making	<input type="checkbox"/>
Improved service delivery	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Increased customer satisfaction	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Implementation of laws or regulatory requirements	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

Outcome Comments

The committee provides DOE with recommendations for policy changes to implement the latest energy efficiency, renewable energy, and water conservaton measures and technologies in Federal facilities government wide.

What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

Checked if Applies

None	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unable to Determine	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Under \$100,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
\$100,000 - \$500,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
Over \$10,000,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
Cost Savings Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

Cost Savings Comments

Impact of recommendations are not quantifiable because committee input is more directly related to policy changes rather than direct technical applications.

What is the approximate Number of recommendations produced by this committee

for the life of the committee?

9

Number of Recommendations Comments

1. Consolidate quality assurance and improvement activities under the auspices of the Federal ESPC Steering Committee. 2. Reduce ESPC financing costs to maximize benefit/cost impacts. 3. Strengthen Monitoring and Verification procedures and contract administration. 4. Continue to periodically assess the performance of ESPC projects. 5. Reduce the cycle time and transaction costs of entering into ESPCs. 6. Increase the sharing of information and lessons learned between FEMP, Federal agencies, and state and local governments. 7. Increase the application of advanced energy efficiency, distributed generation, and renewable energy technologies in ESPCs. 8. Promote the benefits of ESPC and encourage permanent authorization. 9. FEMAC recommends that DOE make enhancements to the third party financing procedures for ESPC and UESC contracts (e.g., standardized parameters for financier bids and selection, continue to require ESCOs to receive a minimum of 3 bids per project, require disclosure by the ESCO of the selection process, and establish a financial data tracking system and periodically report generic information and findings to the ESCO and financing community).

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Fully implemented by the agency?

35%

% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

Recommendations being integrated into the FEMP management and planning process on an ongoing basis, so the final percentage of recommendations implemented determined by the time the committee was terminated.

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Partially implemented by the agency?

65%

% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

Recommendations being integrated into the FEMP management and planning process on an ongoing basis, so the final percentage of recommendations implemented determined by the time the committee was terminated.

Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered?

Yes ☒ No ☐ Not Applicable ☐

Agency Feedback Comments

Through monthly conference calls between FEMP management and FEMAC and through participation on FEMAC working groups.

What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?

Checked if Applies

Reorganized Priorities	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reallocated resources	<input type="checkbox"/>
Issued new regulation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Proposed legislation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Approved grants or other payments	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

Action Comments

FEMP program planning has been revised to reflect FEMAC input for ESPC.

Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?

No

Grant Review Comments

N/A

How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

Checked if Applies

Contact DFO	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Online Agency Web Site	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Online Committee Web Site	<input type="checkbox"/>
Online GSA FACA Web Site	<input type="checkbox"/>
Publications	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Access Comments

FEMAC holds public meetings generally once or twice a year and reports are available on the FEMP web site and DOE's Freedom of Information web site.