2005 Current Fiscal Year Report: Planetary Protection Advisory Committee

Report Run Date: 04/26/2024 12:09:16 AM

	26/2024 12:0)3.10 A	IIVI	
Department or Agency National Aeronautics and Space			2. Fi s	scal Year
Administration			2003	
3. Committee or Subcommittee		3b. C Com	SSA mittee No.	
Planetary Protection A	dvisory		1072	2
Committee			1012	2
4. Is this New During	5. Current	6. Exp	pected	7. Expected
Fiscal Year?	Charter	Renev	wal Date	Term Date
No	04/29/2005	04/29/	/2007	
8a. Was Terminated	8b. Spe	cific Te	ermination	8c. Actual
During FiscalYear?	Authori	ty		Term Date
	NASA S	trategio		
Yes	Manage	ment C	council,	09/20/2005
	Meeting	Minute	es	
9. Agency	10a. Le	gislatic	n Req to	10b.
B • • • •				
Recommendation for Next FiscalYear	r Termina		m rtoq to	Legislation Pending?
	r		m roq to	•
Next FiscalYear	Termina No	ate?	•	Pending?
Next FiscalYear Terminate	Termina No uthority Ag 13. Effec	ency A	•	Pending?
Next FiscalYear Terminate 11. Establishment Au 12. Specific	No No uthority Ag 13. Effec ority Date	ency A	uthority 14. Commitee Type	Pending? Enacted 14c. Presidential?
Next FiscalYear Terminate 11. Establishment Au 12. Specific Establishment Author Public 85-568, As Amended, Section 203	No No Ithority Ag 13. Effect Ority Date 3 04/24	ency A tive (uthority 14. Commitee Type Continuing	Pending? Enacted 14c. Presidential?
Next FiscalYear Terminate 11. Establishment Au 12. Specific Establishment Author Public 85-568, As Amended, Section 203 (c)(7)	No No Ithority Ag 13. Effect Ority Date 3 04/24	ency A tive (uthority 14. Commitee Type Continuing	Pending? Enacted 14c. Presidential?
Next FiscalYear Terminate 11. Establishment Au 12. Specific Establishment Author Public 85-568, As Amended, Section 203 (c)(7) 15. Description of Co	No No Ithority Ag 13. Effec Date 3 04/24 mmittee S	ency A tive (uthority 14. Commitee Type Continuing	Pending? Enacted 14c. Presidential?
Next FiscalYear Terminate 11. Establishment Au 12. Specific Establishment Author Public 85-568, As Amended, Section 203 (c)(7) 15. Description of Co Advisory Board	No Ithority Ag 13. Effec Date 3 04/24 mmittee S FReports 2	ency A tive (uthority 14. Commitee Type Continuing	Pending? Enacted 14c. Presidential?

06/26/2005 Planetary Protection Advisory Committee Meeting Report

Number of Committee Reports Listed: 2

17a. Open 2 17b. Closed 0 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total 2

Meetings and Dates

Purpose	Start	End
Planetary Protection Advisory Committee	02/08/200	05 - 02/09/2005
Meeting	02/00/200	02/03/2003
Planetary Protection Advisory Committee	06/26/200	05 - 06/27/2005
Meeting	06/26/200	5 - 00/21/2005

Number of Committee Meetings Listed: 2

	Current FY	Next FY
18a(1). Personnel Pmts		
to Non-Federal	\$0.00	\$0.00
Members		
18a(2). Personnel Pmts	¢14 000 00	\$14,400.00
to Federal Members	ф14,000.00	φ14,400.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts	¢10 000 00	\$20,300.00
to Federal Staff	ф19,000.00	φ 2 0,300.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts		
to Non-Member	\$0.00	\$0.00
Consultants		
18b(1). Travel and Per		
Diem to Non-Federal	\$25,200.00	\$36,500.00
Members		
18b(2). Travel and Per		
Diem to Federal	\$2,800.00	\$5,600.00
Members		
18b(3). Travel and Per	\$0.00	\$3,400.00
Diem to Federal Staff	ψ0.00	ψο, του.ου
18b(4). Travel and Per		
Diem to Non-member	\$0.00	\$0.00
Consultants		
18c. Other(rents,user		
charges, graphics,	\$16,874.00	\$33,000.00
printing, mail, etc.)		
18d. Total	\$78,674.00	\$113,200.00

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

The Committee advised the NASA Administrator through the NASA Advisory Council on Agency programs, policies, plans, and other matters pertinent to the Agency's responsibilities for biological planetary protection, as defined in NPD 8020.7, including NASA planetary protection policy documents and components, implementation plans, and organization. The Committee provided a forum for advice on interagency coordination and intergovernmental planning related to planetary protection. The Committee reviewed and recommended appropriate planetary protection categorizations for all bodies of the solar system to which spacecraft will be sent. The scope of the Committee's responsibilities did not include issues that pertain solely to the quality and interpretation of scientific experiments and data.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

The Committee consisted of 15 to 20 members selected to ensure a balanced representation among industry, academia, and Government. The Committee members, collectively, had the skills and capabilities to assess the issues and risks of forward and backward biological contamination for planetary missions and for biological contamination associated with the launch and return of spacecraft in interplanetary missions and their potential failure modes. At least four of the Committee members were persons knowledgeable in one or more of the fields of bioethics, law, public attitudes and the

communication of science, the Earth's environment, or related fields.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

NASA's policy on planetary protection is essential to comply with the provisions of the 1967 United Nations Outer Space Treaty, which limits contamination of other solar-system bodies as well as harmful contamination of the Earth's biosphere caused by materials from space. NASA's policy imposes constraints on spacecraft involved in solar system exploration that depend on the nature of the mission and the identity of the target body or bodies. These constraints take into account current scientific knowledge about the target bodies through recommendations from both internal and external advisory groups, but most notably from the Space Studies Board of the National Academy of Sciences, which serves as the principal external advisory body in this area. In a series of recent reports, however, the Space Studies Board has called for NASA to establish, as an internally based advisory committee, a panel of experts, including representatives of relevant governmental and scientific bodies...as soon as possible once serious planning for a Mars sample-return mission has begun,...to advise NASA on the implementation of planetary protection measures for sample-return missions and an advisory committee with expertise in the planetary and biological sciences...when developing protocols and methods to examine returned samples for indicators of past or present extraterrestrial life forms. This committee was intended to meet this recommendation.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

internal programs, policies, plans, and other matters pertinent to the Agency's responsibilities for planetary protection, complementing the Space Studies Board's advisory role for specific, real-time advisory requirements. Advice spanned research and technology development in the areas of exobiology and solar system exploration; environmental microbiology; microbial assay and cleaning techniques; flight systems and engineering; international and domestic environmental law; and joint activities with other pertinent government agencies. This expertise was obtained in a context that is not conflicted by recommendations to accomplish specific science objectives, but in a context where non-contamination is the goal. Anticipated advice on sample return and other NEPA-sensitive issues dictated the establishment of a FACA-sanctioned group to perform this role. This work of this group could serve as a model for later interagency/international advisory group(s) on sample return missions.

The committee provided advice on NASA's

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings? No closed meetings were held.

21. Remarks

This advisory committee was terminated as part of the overall NASA advisory committee restructuring effort that took place during 2005.

Designated Federal Officer

John D. Rummel DFO

Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
Atlas, Ronald	03/15/2002	03/15/2005	University of Louisville	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member

Braun, Robert	02/24/2004	02/24/2007	Georgia Institute of Technology	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Budden, Nancy	09/10/2004	09/10/2007	Naval Postgraduate School	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Cavanaugh, Colleen	03/10/2002	03/10/2005	Harvard University	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Griner, Carolyn	03/13/2002	03/13/2005	None	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Hunt, Debra	03/10/2002	03/10/2005	Duke University	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Ladwig, Alan	03/10/2002	03/10/2005	Northrop Grumman Corporation	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Leonard, Debra	03/13/2002	03/13/2005	New York Presbyterian Hospital/Cornell	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Levy, Eugene	06/01/2005	06/01/2007	Rice University	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Noonan, Norine	10/01/2000	05/31/2005	College of Charleston	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Pieters, Carle	03/15/2002	03/15/2005	Brown University	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Priest, Susanna	05/19/2003	05/19/2006	University of South Carolina	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Robinson, George	03/13/2002	03/13/2005	Robinson and Associates Law Offices, P.C.	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Zoloth, Laurie	02/21/2002	02/21/2005	Northwestern University	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member

Number of Committee Members Listed: 14

Narrative Description

None

NASA's success in solar system exploration requires it to explore other worlds without biological cross-contamination. The Committee advises the NASA Administrator through the NASA Advisory Council on Agency programs, policies, plans, and other matters pertinent to the Agency's responsibilities for biological planetary protection, as defined in NPD 8020.7, including NASA planetary protection policy documents and components, implementation plans, and organization. The Committee provides a forum for advice on interagency coordination and intergovernmental planning related to planetary protection. The Committee reviews and recommends appropriate planetary protection categorizations for all bodies of the solar system to which spacecraft will be sent. The scope of the Committee's responsibilities do not include issues that pertain solely to the quality and interpretation of scientific experiments and data.

What are the most significant program outcomes associated

with this committee?	outcomes associated
	Checked if
	Applies
Improvements to health or safety	✓
Trust in government	✓
Major policy changes	
Advance in scientific research	✓
Effective grant making	
Improved service delivery	
Increased customer satisfaction	
Implementation of laws or regulatory	
requirements	
Other	
Outcome Comments	
NA	
What are the cost savings associated v	with this committee?
	Checked if Applies

Unable to Determine Under \$100,000 \$100,000 - \$500,000 \$500,001 - \$1,000,000 \$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000 \$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000 Over \$10,000,000 Cost Savings Other
Cost Savings Comments NA
What is the approximate <u>Number</u> of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?
Number of Recommendations Comments NA
What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations that have been or will be <u>Fully</u> implemented by the agency? 100%
% of Recommendations <u>Fully</u> Implemented Comments NA
What is the approximate $\underline{\text{Percentage}}$ of these recommendations that have been or will be $\underline{\text{Partially}}$ implemented by the agency? 0%
% of Recommendations <u>Partially</u> Implemented Comments NA
Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered? Yes No Not Applicable

Agency Feedback Comments

By subsequent meeting reports.

recommendation?	
	Checked if Applies
Reorganized Priorities	
Reallocated resources	
Issued new regulation	
Proposed legislation	
Approved grants or other payments	
Other	
Action Comments Determined specific applications of NSA's restrictions.	policy, and associated implementation
Is the Committee engaged in the review No	v of applications for grants?
Grant Review Comments NA	
How is access provided to the information	tion for the Committee's documentation?
	Checked if Applies
Contact DFO	✓
Online Agency Web Site	✓
Online Committee Web Site	✓
Online GSA FACA Web Site	
Publications	
Other	
Access Comments	
NA	

What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or