

2004 Current Fiscal Year Report: Panel to Review Sexual Misconduct Allegations at the United States Air Force Academy

Report Run Date: 05/12/2021 09:11:49 AM

1. Department or Agency

Department of Defense

2. Fiscal Year

2004

3. Committee or Subcommittee

Panel to Review Sexual Misconduct Allegations at the United States Air Force Academy

3b. GSA

Committee No.

16586

4. Is this New During Fiscal Year?

No

5. Current Charter

05/30/2003

6. Expected Renewal Date

05/30/2005

7. Expected Term Date

05/30/2005

8a. Was Terminated During Fiscal Year?

Yes

8b. Specific Termination Authority

8c. Actual Term Date

12/31/2003

9. Agency Recommendation for Next Fiscal Year

Terminate

10a. Legislation Req to Terminate?

No

10b. Legislation Pending?

11. Establishment Authority

Statutory (Congress Created)

12. Specific Establishment Authority

Public Law 108-11

13. Effective Date

14. Committee Type

Ad hoc

14c. Presidential?

No

15. Description of Committee

Other Committee

16a. Total Number of Reports

No Reports for this Fiscal Year

17a. Open Meetings and Dates

No Meetings

17b. Closed

0

17c. Partially Closed

0

Other Activities

0

17d. Total

0

Current FY Next FY

18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members

\$0.00 \$0.00

18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members

\$0.00 \$0.00

18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff

\$13,000.00 \$0.00

18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants

\$0.00 \$0.00

18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members

\$0.00 \$0.00

18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members

\$0.00 \$0.00

18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff

\$0.00 \$0.00

18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants

\$0.00 \$0.00

18c. Other(rents,user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	\$50,000.00	\$0.00
18d. Total	\$63,000.00	\$0.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)	0.10	0.00

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

The panel carried out a study of the policies, management and organizational practices, and cultural elements of the United States Air Force Academy that were conducive to allowing sexual misconduct at the United States Air Force Academy. The panel reviewed the actions taken by the US Air Force Academy personnel and other Department of the Air Force officials in response to allegations of sexual assaults at the Air Force Academy; reviewed directives issued by the US Air Force pertaining to sexual misconduct at the US Air Force Academy; review the effectiveness of the process, procedures, and policies used at the Academy to respond to allegations of sexual misconduct; reviewed the relationship between the command climate for women at the Academy, and circumstances that resulted in sexual misconduct; reviewed, evaluated, and assessed such other matters and materials appropriate for the study; and reviewed and incorporated, as appropriate, the findings of ongoing studies being conducted by the Air Force General Counsel and Inspector General.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

The law states that the panel shall be composed of 7 members, appointed by the Secretary of Defense from among private United States citizens who have expertise in behavioral and psychological sciences and standards and practices relating to proper treatment of sexual assault victims, as well as the United States military academies. Nearly 90 names were considered for appointment, and the selected members represent a cross-section of expertise and points of view.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

The Panel held three publicly noticed meetings.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

The Panel was established by law to conduct a study independent of any other DoD studies. The panel reported its findings, conclusions, and recommendations to the Secretary of Defense and the Chairmen of the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives on 9/22/03, 90 days after its first meeting. Public Law 108-11, Emergency Wartime Supplemental Appropriations Act, April 2003, directed the Panel be established.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

To protect individual privacy.

21. Remarks

Designated Federal Officer

Sheila Earle Acting Director, Military Personnel Policy, OUSD (Personnel and Readiness)

Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
Bunting, Josiah	06/23/2003	12/31/2003	Superintendent of the Virginia Military Institute	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Carpenter, Anita	07/01/2003	12/31/2003	INCASA Sexual Assault Victim Advocate	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Fowler, Tillie	06/23/2003	12/31/2003	Attorney, Holland & Knight Law Firm	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Miller, Laura	06/23/2003	12/31/2003	Social Scientist, Rand Corp	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Nardotti, Michael	06/23/2003	12/31/2003	Attorney, Patton, Boggs, LLP	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Ripley, John	06/23/2003	12/31/2003	Director, Marine Corps History Center and Museum	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Satel, Sally	06/23/2003	12/31/2003	Pyschiatrist, Oasis Drug Treatment Center	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member

Number of Committee Members Listed: 7

Narrative Description

The panel reported its findings, conclusions, and recommendations to the Secretary of Defense and the Chairmen of the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives on 9/22/03, 90 days after its first meeting.

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

Checked if Applies

- Improvements to health or safety
- Trust in government
- Major policy changes
- Advance in scientific research
- Effective grant making
- Improved service delivery
- Increased customer satisfaction
- Implementation of laws or regulatory requirements
- Other

Outcome Comments

NA

What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

Checked if Applies

- None
- Unable to Determine
- Under \$100,000
- \$100,000 - \$500,000
- \$500,001 - \$1,000,000
- \$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000
- \$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000
- Over \$10,000,000
- Cost Savings Other

Cost Savings Comments

NA

What is the approximate Number of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?

0

Number of Recommendations Comments

NA

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Fully implemented by the agency?

0%

% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

NA

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Partially implemented by the agency?

0%

% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

NA

Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered?

Yes No Not Applicable

Agency Feedback Comments

NA

What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?

Checked if Applies

Reorganized Priorities	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reallocated resources	<input type="checkbox"/>
Issued new regulation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Proposed legislation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Approved grants or other payments	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

Action Comments

NA

Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?

No

Grant Review Comments

NA

How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

Checked if Applies

Contact DFO	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Online Agency Web Site	<input type="checkbox"/>
Online Committee Web Site	<input type="checkbox"/>
Online GSA FACA Web Site	<input type="checkbox"/>
Publications	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

Access Comments

NA